

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1	5-2	4-3 (or 3-2)
258/287 (90%)	14/287 (5%)	8/287 (3%)	7/287 (2%)
<i>Drott v. Stevens</i>	<i>Northwestern Mut. Life Ins. Co. v. State</i>	<i>In re Waterbury's Will</i>	<i>Martin v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.</i>
<i>Abaly v. State</i>	<i>State v. Widule</i>	<i>Regina Co. v. Toynbee</i>	<i>Burkhardt Milling & Electric Power Co. v. Hudson</i>
<i>Birdsong & Co. v. Marty</i>	<i>Stetz v. F. Mayer Boot & Shoe Co.</i>	<i>State v. Pierce</i>	<i>Norris v. Norris</i>
<i>Canning v. Chicago & M. E. R. Co.</i>	<i>T. W. Stevenson Co. v. Peterson</i>	<i>Saudek v. Milwaukee Electric R. & Light Co.</i>	<i>Calhoun v. Great N. R. Co.</i>
<i>Emerson-Brantingham Implement Co. v. Paul</i>	<i>State ex rel. Richter v. Chadbourne</i>	<i>Curtice v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.</i>	<i>Fergen v. Lyons</i>
<i>Gertz v. Vaughn</i>	<i>Ballard v. Bellevue Apartment Co.</i>	<i>Randall v. Minneapolis, S. P. & S. S. M. R. Co.</i>	<i>Whinfield v. Massachusetts Bonding & Ins. Co.</i>
<i>Grand Chute v. Herrick</i>	<i>Banks v. Banks</i>	<i>Green v. Reedsburg</i>	<i>Delvaux v. Kewaunee G. B. & W. R. Co.</i>
<i>Gurnett v. J. H. Flick Const. Co.</i>	<i>Harris v. Hoyt</i>	<i>Weston v. Dahl</i>	
<i>Hammond-Chandler Lumber Co. v. Industrial Com.</i>	<i>Eagle Chemical Co. v. Nowak</i>		
<i>In re Lynch's Will</i>	<i>Vennen v. New Dells Lumber Co.</i>		
<i>O'Connor v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.</i>	<i>Weigell v. Gregg</i>		
<i>Piper v. State</i>	<i>Coon v. Metzler</i>		
<i>Polzen v. Polzen</i>	<i>Laurent v. Van Somple</i>		
<i>Rinder v. Madison</i>	<i>Kuryer Pub. Co. v. Messmer (per curiam)</i>		
<i>Ripon Hardware Co. v. Haas</i>			
<i>Slack v. Joyce</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Gilbert v. Philipp</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Superior v. Donald</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Trustees La Crosse Public Library v. Bentley</i>			
<i>State v. Helmann</i>			
<i>Sullivan v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Union Bank of Milwaukee v. Commercial Sec. Co.</i>			
<i>Vaillant v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>VAN BRUNT v. FERGUSON</i>			
<i>Welch v. Dunning</i>			
<i>WILL OF ALLIS</i>			

(continued on following page)

¹ Occasionally, a justice will author a separate opinion that concurs in part and dissents in part. For SCOWstats tables, each such vote is categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it is classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it is classified as a concurrence.

As explained in this post's introductory comments, certain justices were not on the court for the entire term, and it is not possible to determine precisely when they began, or ceased, to participate in decisions. Consequently, while the *totals* are accurate for each of the four columns in this table, I have not disaggregated the votes in individual columns (for example, I have not tried to establish how many decisions in the first column were 7-0, how many were 6-0, and so on).

<i>Bishop v. State</i>			
<i>BROADBENT v. HUTTER</i>			
<i>Buchholz v. Rosenberg</i>			
<i>Campbell v. Germania Fire Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Charles A. Stickney Co. v. Lynch</i>			
<i>Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co. v. Shepard Drainage Dist.</i>			
<i>Christman v. Christman</i>			
<i>CHURCH v. NASH</i>			
<i>Cole v. Christensen</i>			
<i>Cotter v. Joint School Dist.</i>			
<i>Cronin v. Janesville Traction Co.</i>			
<i>Greeney v. Greeney</i>			
<i>In re Wausau Inv. Co.</i>			
<i>Karakutza v. State</i>			
<i>Kelley v. Haylock</i>			
<i>Kiel v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Mallon v. Tonn</i>			
<i>McLennan v. Church</i>			
<i>Mitchell v. Lyons</i>			
<i>Nickel v. Chapman</i>			
<i>Polebitzke v. John Week Lumber Co.</i>			
<i>RAYWORTH v. GOODRICK</i>			
<i>Schumann v. Kaukauna</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Bundy v. Nygaard</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Milwaukee v. Circuit Court</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Owen v. Stoughton Club</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Tate v. Wolf</i>			
<i>State v. Guaranteed Inv. Co.</i>			
<i>Will of Barron</i>			
<i>ZENTZIS v. ZENTZIS</i>			
<i>Riggles v. Priest</i>			
<i>Becker v. Jones</i>			
<i>Derr v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Ditberner v. Bess</i>			
<i>FORT WAYNE PRINTING CO. v. HURLEYREILLY CO.</i>			
<i>Gardner v. Young's Estate</i>			
<i>Hickman v. Wellauer</i>			
<i>Hiecke v. Hiecke</i>			
<i>Hoeffler Mfg. Co. v. MacHajewski</i>			
<i>Huebner v. Huebner</i>			
<i>Interior Woodwork Co. v. Hackett, Hoff & Thierman</i>			
<i>Johns v. Platteville</i>			
<i>Klar Piquett Mining Co. v. Platteville</i>			
<i>Masbruch v. Von Oehsen</i>			
<i>MIDLAND TERRA COTTA CO. v. ILLINOIS SUR. CO.</i>			
<i>Miranovitz v. Gee</i>			
<i>Mossrud v. Lee</i>			
<i>National Life Ins. Co. v. Brautigam</i>			
<i>Ormond v. McKinley</i>			
<i>State v. Lange Canning Co.</i>			
<i>Tanner v. Rushford</i>			

(continued on following page)

<i>State ex rel. Board of Regents v. Donald</i>			
<i>Baldwin v. Frisbie</i>			
<i>Bugajski v. Milwaukee Western Fuel Co.</i>			
<i>Concrete Steel Co. v. Illinois Surety Co.</i>			
<i>Dahlgren v. State</i>			
<i>Eisler v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Green v. Somers</i>			
<i>Heath v. Cappel</i>			
<i>Hornburg v. Morris</i>			
<i>Hrubes v. Faber</i>			
<i>In re Woolcott</i>			
<i>Lesh v. Illinois Steel Co.</i>			
<i>Leshin v. Routt</i>			
<i>Mahoney v. Kurth</i>			
<i>Miley v. Heaney</i>			
<i>Milwaukee Bldg. Supply Co. v. Illinois Surety Co.</i>			
<i>Montague v. State</i>			
<i>Prellwitz v. Milwaukee Electric R. & Light Co.</i>			
<i>Spence v. Milwaukee Electric R. & Light Co.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Langland v. Manegold</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Pabst Brewing Co. v. Kotecki</i>			
<i>Stoughton Wagon Co. v. Myre</i>			
<i>Szeliwicki v. Connor Lumber & Land Co.</i>			
<i>Torrey v. Riverside Sanitarium</i>			
<i>Trojanowski v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Zielica v. Worzalla</i>			
<i>Andreyszak v. Werthmann</i>			
<i>Connolly v. Waushara Granite Co.</i>			
<i>Hamley v. Till</i>			
<i>Harder v. Reinhardt</i>			
<i>Jensen v. Miller</i>			
<i>Klaus v. Klaus</i>			
<i>Look v. Johnson</i>			
<i>Morgan v. Budlong</i>			
<i>Pellett v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i>			
<i>Peterson v. Independent Order of Foresters</i>			
<i>Rohleder v. Wright</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Nehrbass v. Harper</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Volkman v. Waltermath</i>			
<i>Timme v. Kopmeier</i>			
<i>Witt v. Voigt</i>			
<i>Baker Land & Title Co. v. Bayfield County Land Co.</i>			
<i>Bayfield County v. Pishon</i>			
<i>Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co. v. Rock County Sugar Co.</i>			
<i>Jay v. Northern P. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Lundberg v. Interstate Business Men's Acc. Ass'n</i>			

(continued on following page)

<i>Molzoff v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Nuthals v. Green Bay</i>			
<i>Ogden v. State</i>			
<i>Pawlak v. Hayes</i>			
<i>Porte v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Conway v. District Board of Joint School Dist.</i>			
<i>Tarczek v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>WARDEN v. HART</i>			
<i>Wisconsin Tel. Co. v. Railroad Com.</i>			
<i>Brobst v. Marty</i>			
<i>Citizens Sav. & Trust Co. v. Rogers</i>			
<i>Collins v. State</i>			
<i>Dagan v. State</i>			
<i>Dean v. Dean</i>			
<i>ELLIOTT v. FISK</i>			
<i>Federal Rubber Mfg. Co. v. Havolic</i>			
<i>Hiltgen v. Bieber</i>			
<i>I. L. Lamm Co. v. Peaks</i>			
<i>J. B. Bradford Piano Co. v. Hacker</i>			
<i>J. I. Case Plow Works v. J. I. Case Threshing Mach. Co.</i>			
<i>Jacobs v. Wisconsin Nat'l Life Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Meidenbauer v. Pewaukee</i>			
<i>Menominee Bay Shore Lumber Co. v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i>			
<i>Mohawk Co. v. Bankers Surety Co.</i>			
<i>Ocean Acci. & Guarantee Corp. v. Combined Locks Paper Co.</i>			
<i>Rhein v. Burns</i>			
<i>Rheinschmidt v. Tomah</i>			
<i>Rock v. Ekern</i>			
<i>Schmidt v. Grenzow</i>			
<i>Sprout, Waldron & Co. v. Amery Mercantile Co.</i>			
<i>Stack v. Roth Bros. Co.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Wisconsin Traction, Light, Heat & Power Co. v. Circuit Court</i>			
<i>Wegener v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Zaremba v. International Harvester Corp.</i>			
<i>Arapahoe State Bank v. Houser</i>			
<i>Bystrom Bros. v. Jacobson</i>			
<i>Chicago & N. W. R. Co. v. Railroad Com. of Wisconsin</i>			
<i>Cohen v. Minneapolis, S. P. & S. S. M. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Conway v. Grand Chute</i>			
<i>Coombs v. Southern W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Eccles v. Free High School Dist.</i>			
<i>FISCHBECK v. MIELENZ</i>			
<i>Foley v. Marsch</i>			
<i>Gagen v. Dawley</i>			
<i>Green v. Appleton Woolen Mills</i>			
<i>Haycock v. Sovereign Camp, W. W.</i>			
<i>Hempton v. Green Bay & W. R. Co.</i>			

(continued on following page)

<i>Hiller v. Johnson</i>			
<i>Jilek v. Zahl</i>			
<i>Knapp v. Deer Creek</i>			
<i>Milwaukee v. Railroad Com. of Wisconsin</i>			
<i>Murphy v. Interlake Pulp & Paper Co.</i>			
<i>Nelson v. Goddard & Co.</i>			
<i>Read v. Madison</i>			
<i>Schabow v. Wisconsin Traction, Light, Heat & Power Co.</i>			
<i>Smith v. Illinois C. R. Co.</i>			
<i>St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co. v. Laubenstein</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Schumacher v. Markham</i>			
<i>West Salem v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i>			
<i>Wisconsin Zinc Co. v. Fidelity & Deposit Co.</i>			
<i>Bartlett v. Doyle</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF DE ROY v. GRIEM</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF SMITH v. STATE</i>			
<i>Filer & Stowell Co. v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Foth v. Macomber & Whythe Rope Co.</i>			
<i>Guillaume v. Wisconsin-Minnesota Light & Power Co.</i>			
<i>Hettinger v. Wells</i>			
<i>Hilton v. Rahr</i>			
<i>In re Evenson's Will</i>			
<i>LANDS OF CHRISTIANSON: MILLER v. HART</i>			
<i>Leuch v. Berger</i>			
<i>Menasha v. Wisconsin Traction Light, Heat & Power Co.</i>			
<i>Ohio Electric Co. v. Wisconsin-Minnesota Light & Power Co.</i>			
<i>Smith v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Owen v. Steber</i>			
<i>Stumpf & Langhoff v. Espenhain Dry Goods Co.</i>			
<i>Sutter v. Milwaukee Board of Fire Underwriters</i>			
<i>Armstrong v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i>			
<i>Brandel v. State</i>			
<i>Dreyfus v. Milwaukee Electric R. & Light Co.</i>			
<i>First Nat'l Bank v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i>			
<i>GREENYA v. RELIANCE SEC. CO.</i>			
<i>Hannah v. Knuth</i>			
<i>John E. Dewolf Co. v. Harvey</i>			
<i>Klann v. Minn</i>			
<i>Meyer v. Margolis</i>			
<i>Neff v. Rubin</i>			
<i>Pirie v. Le Saulnier</i>			
<i>(continued on following page)</i>			

<i>Spencer v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Wehr v. Gimbel Bros.</i>			
<i>Zavitowsky v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Zwietusch v. East Milwaukee</i>			
<i>State ex rel. McLogan v. Burke</i>			
<i>Ballard v. Thomas Furnace Co.</i>			
<i>Banaszek v. F. Mayer Boot & Shoe Co.</i>			
<i>Chudnow v. Ketter</i>			
<i>Field v. Milwaukee</i>			
<i>Gimbel Bros. v. Tolman</i>			
<i>Heileman Brewing Co. v. Shaw</i>			
<i>Milwaukee v. Filer & Stowell Co.</i>			
<i>MUEHLBAUER v. KLOKNER</i>			
<i>Niederfriedrich v. Milwaukee E. R. & L. Co.</i>			
<i>South Side Lumber Co. v. John Eller Lumber Co.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Brenk v. Widule</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Kempsmith v. Widule</i>			
<i>Sulzer v. Moyer</i>			
<i>Tabak v. Milwaukee Electric R. & Light Co.</i>			
<i>Winternitz v. Schmidt</i>			
<i>Brustman v. Dunn</i>			
<i>De Laval Separator Co. v. Hofberger</i>			
<i>Harvie v. Caledonia</i>			
<i>Horlick v. Mt. Pleasant</i>			
<i>In re Sydow</i>			
<i>Loomans Lumber Co. v. Mielke</i>			
<i>Pedersen v. Hansen</i>			
<i>People's Land & Mfg. Co. v. Beyer</i>			
<i>Rosenthal v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Roundy, Peckham & Dexter Co. v. Baldwin</i>			
<i>Van Valkenburg v. Jantz</i>			
<i>Wagner v. Racine County</i>			
<i>Zemke v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Hartwig v. Aetna Life Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Ervin v. Board of Sup'rs</i>			
<i>Johnson v. Bank of Wisconsin</i>			
<i>Kerwin v. Chippewa Shoe Mfg. Co.</i>			
<i>Putnam v. Browne</i>			
<i>Bright v. Superior</i>			
<i>Page v. Modern Woodmen of America</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF DAVIES: KAREL v. PERELES</i>			
<i>Gimbel Bros. v. Milwaukee Boston Store</i>			
<i>Pfeiffer v. Chicago & M. Electric R. Co. (per curiam)</i>			
<i>Ward v. Babcock (per curiam)</i>			
<i>Engen v. Chippewa Valley R. Light & Power Co. (per curiam)</i>			

(continued on following page)

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, 1915-16

<i>In re Weaver</i> (per curiam)			
<i>Chicago, B. & Q. R. Co. v. Railroad Com.</i> (per curiam)			