

Decisions by Vote Split<sup>1</sup>

<b>7-0 (or 6-0)</b>	<b>6-1 (or 5-1)</b>	<b>5-2 (or 4-2)</b>	<b>4-3</b>
<b>163/194 (84%)</b>	<b>14/194 (7%)</b>	<b>8/194 (4%)</b>	<b>9/194 (5%)</b>
<i>Lemon v. Aronson</i>	<i>Jurkovic v. Chicago, M. &amp; S. P. R. Co.</i>	<i>Simon v. De Gersdorff</i>	<i>Trumpf v. Shoudy</i>
<i>J. B. Bradford Piano Co. v. Baal</i>	<i>UPHAM v. PLANKINTON</i>	<i>Holmes v. Webb</i>	<i>Eberhardt v. Randall</i>
<i>EHLERS v. AUTOMOBILE LIAB. CO.</i>	<i>State ex rel. Columbia Const. Co. v. Tax Com. of Wisconsin</i>	<i>State ex rel. News Pub. Co. v. Park</i>	<i>Miller v. Mead-Morrison Co.</i>
<i>Reynolds v. Pfister</i>	<i>Jefferson Transfer Co. v. Hull</i>	<i>State ex rel. Board of Trustees v. Oshkosh</i>	<i>Stahl v. Broeckert</i>
<i>New Dells Lumber Co. v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i>	<i>Will of Nachtsheim</i>	<i>Stryk v. Mnichowicz</i>	<i>Raymond v. Sauk County</i>
<i>MAHER v. LOCHEN</i>	<i>In re Bocker's Will</i>	<i>Marlatt v. Western Union Tel Co.</i>	<i>Ellis v. Chicago &amp; N. W. R. Co.</i>
<i>State ex rel. Milwaukee N. R. Co. v. Delaney</i>	<i>Methodist Episcopal Church Baraca Club v. Madison</i>	<i>Miley v. Heaney</i>	<i>Keith v. Rust Land &amp; Lumber Co.</i>
<i>Bremer v. Milwaukee</i>	<i>DAVIS v. ESTATE OF DAVIS</i>	<i>Rodermund v. State (4-2)</i>	<i>WISCONSIN TRUST CO. v. MUNDAY</i>
<i>Patulski v. Belmont Realty Co.</i>	<i>Krause v. Reichel</i>		<i>Delvaux v. Kewaunee, G. B. &amp; W. R. Co.</i>
<i>Royal Indem. Co. v. Sangor</i>	<i>State ex rel. Catlin v. Galligan</i>		
<i>State ex rel. West Allis v. Milwaukee, Light, Heat &amp; Traction Co.</i>	<i>FROST TRUST CO. v. HOLDEN</i>		
<i>Crowley v. Milwaukee</i>	<i>White v. White</i>		
<i>Morse v. Modern Woodmen of America</i>	<i>Menasha Woodenware Co. v. Railroad Com. of Wisconsin (5-1)</i>		
<i>Neff v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i>	<i>Builders Lumber &amp; Supply Co. v. Chicago Bonding &amp; Surety Co. (5-1)</i>		
<i>Van Der Blomen v. Milwaukee</i>			
<i>Koeffler v. Koeffler</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Milwaukee v. Milwaukee Electric R. &amp; L. Co.</i>			
<i>Klokow v. Harbaugh</i>			
<i>Phoenix Nursery Co. v. Trostel</i>			
<i>Will of Pullen</i>			
<i>Gewanski v. Ellsworth</i>			
<i>Hommel v. Badger State Inv. Co.</i>			
<i>Northland Co. v. Kohl</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Hellige v. Milwaukee Liedertafel</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Hansen Storage Co. v. Bodden</i>			
<i>Lutz v. Wilmanns Bros. Co.</i>			
<i>Ott v. Cream City Sand Co.</i>			
<i>Brovan v. Kyle</i>			
<i>Kuetbach v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i>			
<i>Phelps v. Monroe</i>			

(continued on following page)

<sup>1</sup> Justices occasionally author a separate opinion that concurs in part and dissents in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, 1917-18

<i>Chapman v. Schroeder</i>			
<i>THONI v. ESTATE OF MCDONNELL</i>			
<i>Ward v. Smith</i>			
<i>Baraboo v. Dwyer</i>			
<i>MCHATTON v. ESTATE OF MCDONNELL</i>			
<i>Busley v. Hotel Wisconsin Realty Co.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Bautz v. Harper</i>			
<i>Sperry &amp; Hutchinson Co. v. Weigle</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Owen v. Rogers</i>			
<i>B---- v. STATE</i>			
<i>Seymour State Bank v. Rettler</i>			
<i>Woldenberg v. Riphan</i>			
<i>Zimmerman v. Fraternal Reserve Ass'n</i>			
<i>Great N. R. Co. v. Hocking Valley Fire Clay Co.</i>			
<i>Roeser v. Sauk County</i>			
<i>West v. Andrews</i>			
<i>Berger v. Superior</i>			
<i>Laney v. Pemberton</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Otto Eisenlohr &amp; Bros., Inc. v. Dickinson</i>			
<i>Atwood v. Gugel</i>			
<i>Schwenn v. Schwenn</i>			
<i>State v. Sutherland</i>			
<i>St. Croix Consol. Copper Co. v. Guaranteed Inv. Co.</i>			
<i>Sheafor v. Standard Acc. Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Woods v. Standard Acc. Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Casson v. Schoenfeld</i>			
<i>Pavelka v. Pavelka</i>			
<i>Clune v. School Dist.</i>			
<i>Superior v. Duluth S. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Curtice v. Chicago &amp; N. W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Schultz v. Tasche</i>			
<i>Baker Land &amp; Title Co. v. Bayfield County Land Co.</i>			
<i>Rosenthal v. Pleck</i>			
<i>Pugh v. Christensen</i>			
<i>Wooster v. Chicago &amp; N. W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Harrington v. Downing</i>			
<i>Kadolph v. Herman</i>			
<i>DAVIS v. ESTATE OF SMOCK</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF STAAB</i>			
<i>Glander v. Glander</i>			
<i>Reiten v. J. S. Stearns Lumber Co.</i>			
<i>Grant Marble Co. v. Marshall &amp; Ilsley Bank</i>			
<i>State ex rel. McKay v. Randall</i>			
<i>Wade v. Sheboygan County</i>			
<i>In re Brooks' Will</i>			
<i>Hume v. Chilton</i>			
<i>Himstreet v. Chicago &amp; N. W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Bank of Evansville v. Kurth</i>			
<i>De Groot v. Veldboom</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Manitowoc Land &amp; Fuel Co. v. Kelley</i>			
<i>Lumbermen's Nat'l Bank v. Corrigan</i>			
<i>Marshall-Jackson Co. v. Jeffery</i>			
<i>Morgan v. Chicago &amp; N. W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Sharpley v. Oconto</i>			
<i>Martin v. Martin</i>			
<i>Quam v. Ft. Atkinson</i>			
<i>Meyers v. State</i>			

(continued on following page)

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, 1917-18

<i>In re Hagemeister's Will</i>			
<i>Rowell v. Women's Catholic Order of Foresters</i>			
<i>Hollingsworth v. Shannon</i>			
<i>Gnat v. Westchester Fire Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Progress Blue Ribbon Farms v. George Miner v. Rolling</i>			
<i>Booher v. Slathar</i>			
<i>WEYMOUTH v. GRAY</i>			
<i>Peters v. National Surety Co.</i>			
<i>Douglas v. Vorpahl</i>			
<i>White v. Brotherhood of Locomotive F. &amp; E.</i>			
<i>In re Hall's Will</i>			
<i>Hanson v. Chicago &amp; L. S. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Harper v. McMahon</i>			
<i>De Fyn v. Power</i>			
<i>In re Drainage System</i>			
<i>Allen v. Fulton</i>			
<i>Milwaukee Electric R. &amp; Light Co. v. Milwaukee</i>			
<i>Pawlak v. Pelkey</i>			
<i>Kenese v. Cudahy Bros. Co.</i>			
<i>Roberts v. Goodlad</i>			
<i>Williams v. Oconomowoc</i>			
<i>Green Lake County v. Eau Claire</i>			
<i>W. E. SMITH LUMBER CO. v. ESTATE OF FITZHUGH</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF GILBERT</i>			
<i>New York Continental Jewell Filtration Co. v. Kenosha</i>			
<i>KITZMAN v. WERNER</i>			
<i>State v. Scholl</i>			
<i>LEHMAN v. WEINER</i>			
<i>Zawacki v. Good Samaritan Hospital</i>			
<i>Woodard v. Citizens' Sav. &amp; T. Co.</i>			
<i>Zimmer v. Schmitt</i>			
<i>Williams v. Thrall</i>			
<i>Youngquist v. L. J. Droese Co.</i>			
<i>PROHL v. CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE &amp; ST. PAUL RY. CO.</i>			
<i>Merkel v. State</i>			
<i>Roohana v. State</i>			
<i>Nelson v. State</i>			
<i>Kunz v. Whitney</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Johnson v. Board of Sup'Rs.</i>			
<i>Hayden v. Women's Catholic Order of Foresters</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF KLEINSCHMIDT: KLEINSCHMIDT v. KLEINSCHMIDT</i>			
<i>Agnew v. Sun Ins. Office</i>			
<i>Elmergreen v. Kern</i>			
<i>Concordia F. Ins. Co. v. Simmons Co.</i>			
<i>Brendlson v. Ed. Schuster &amp; Co.</i>			
<i>Bakula v. Schwab</i>			
<i>Wisconsin Ass'n of Master Bakers v. Weigle</i>			
<i>Sullivan v. Minneapolis, St. P. &amp; S. S. M. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Ryan v. State</i>			
<i>Stankiewicz v. Prange</i>			
<i>Ewig v. Chicago, M. &amp; S. P. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Boardman v. Courteen</i>			

(continued on following page)

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, 1917-18

<i>State v. Guaranteed Inv. Co.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Central Sec. Co. v. Milwaukee-Waukesha Brewing Co.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Wausau Lumber Co. v. Industrial Com.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Pfister Land Co. v. Milwaukee</i> (6-0)			
<i>Richmond v. Conservative Life Ins. Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Demund v. State</i> (6-0)			
<i>Simmons v. State</i> (6-0)			
<i>Wisconsin Lakes Ice &amp; Cartage Co. v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i> (6-0)			
<i>A. Breslauer Co. v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i> (6-0)			
<i>APFELBACHER v. STATE</i> (6-0)			
<i>Chicago &amp; N. W. R. Co. v. Railroad Com. of Wisconsin</i> (6-0)			
<i>In re Wells</i> (6-0)			
<i>State v. Jacobs</i> (6-0)			
<i>Foster-Latimer Lumber Co. v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i> (6-0)			
<i>Kuhl v. State</i> (6-0)			
<i>Holmen Creamery Ass'n v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i> (6-0)			
<i>White v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i> (6-0)			
<i>Vasey v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i> (6-0)			
<i>Jordan v. Weinman</i> (6-0)			
<i>In re Knoll Guardianship</i> (6-0)			
<i>State ex rel. Downey-Farrell Co. v. Weigle</i> (6-0)			
<i>F. C. Gross &amp; Bros. Co. v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i> (6-0)			
<i>Newport Hydrocarbon Co. v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i> (6-0)			