Four-to-Three Decisions

4-3 Alignments

Justices	Total	
Owen, Vinje, Winslow, Siebecker	1	Hahn v. Keith
Rosenberry, Eschweiler, Winslow, Kerwin	1	Wilczynski v. Milwaukee E. R. & L. Co.
Rosenberry, Vinje, Winslow, Kerwin	1	H. H. Camp Co. v. Pabst Brewing Co.
Rosenberry, Owen, Eschweiler, Winslow	1	Dobelin v. Ladies of Maccabees of World

4-3 Membership in the Majority

Justice	Votes
Rosenberry	3
Owen	2
Eschweiler	2
Vinje	2
Winslow	4
Siebecker	1
Kerwin	2

4-3 Majority Opinions Authored

	Opinions
Rosenberry	1
Owen	0
Eschweiler	1
Vinje	2
Winslow	0
Siebecker	0
Kerwin	0
Total	4

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0, 5-0, 4-0)	6-1 (or 5-1, 4-1)	5-2 (or 4-2, 3-2)	4-3
232/266 (87%)	19/266 (7%)	11/266 (4%)	4/266 (2%)
State ex rel. Howe v. Lee	Pierce v. Wright	Gilbert v. Cousins	Hahn v. Keith
Ramstrom v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.	Sheffield-King Milling Co. v. Jacobs	Sharpe v. Cousins	Wilczynski v. Milwaukee E. R. & L. Co.
State ex rel. Cramer v. Bodden	State v. Labuwi Wisconsin Trust Co. v. Cousins		H. H. Camp Co. v. Pabst Brewing Co.
State ex rel. Milwaukee E. R. & L. Co. v. Braman	Worsley v. Johnson	State ex rel. Wisconsin Trust Co. v. Phelps	Dobelin v. Ladies of Maccabees of World
Westby v. Bekkedal	John v. Pierce	Brenner v. Heruben	_
Will of Harker	KUCHERA v. KUCHERA	Cranberry Creek Drainage Dist. v. Elm Lake Cranberry Co.	
A. J. Monday Co. v. Automobile, Aircraft & Vehicle Workers	Harrington v. Legge	Miller Saw-Trimmer Co. v. Cheshire	
Cochrane v. Jacob E. Decker & Sons	Pfeffer v. Milwaukee	Maine v. Maryland Casualty Co.	
Harloff v. Merwin	Bishop v. Bishop	Jacky v. McAdoo	
Singer v. Millard	CLARK v. HORICON STATE BANK	State ex rel. Moon v. Nygaard	
Strange v. Harwood	Merchants' & Mfrs' Bank v. Chaudoir v. Witt Terrace Realty Co.		
Will of Payne	Pickard v. Farmers' & Merchants' Bank		
Zolesky v. Briggs Loading Co.	Luethe v. Schmidt-Gaertner Co.		
Bayfield County v. Ogren	George v. Bode		
ESTATE OF SPOONER	Milwaukee Corrugating Co. v. Flagge		
Krueger v. Chase	Lange v. Heckel		
Merrill v. Chicago, N. S. & M. R. Co.	Sheafor v. Standard Acc. Ins. Co.		
Pope v. Thompson	Monroe v. Railroad Com. of Wisconsin		
Schrader v. Gundeck	Swennes v. Citizens' State Bank		
Till v. State			
Christian v. Great N. R. Co.			
In re Baird's Will			
McChesney v. Dane County			
Northwestern Bridge & Iron Co. v.			
Maryland Casualty Co.			
Nelson v. Ballestad			
Phillips v. Fraternal Reserve Asso.			
State ex rel. Miller v. Baxter			
Will of McGinty			
WORDEN-ALLEN CO. v. CITY OF			
(continued on following page)			

¹ Justices occasionally author a separate opinion that concurs in part and dissents in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

As explained in this post's introduction, it is impossible to determine how frequently Justices Winslow and Kerwin participated in deciding cases. Consequently, while the *totals* are accurate for each of the four columns in this table, I have not disaggregated the votes in individual columns (for example, I could not establish how many decisions in the first column were 7-0 and how many were 6-0 or 5-0).

	1	1
Gimbel Bros. v. Kelly		
Gordon v. Wisconsin Nat'l Bank		
Koenig v. George Logemann & Sons		
Co.		
Pinz v. Milwaukee E. R. & L. Co.		
Ullman v. Austin		
Warren v. Globe Indem. Co.		
Arthur Koenig Co. v. Graham Glass Co.		
ESSEX v. STATE		
Rowell v. Rhadans		
Gulbranson-Dickinson Co. v. Hopkins		
Sharkey v. Shurman		
State ex rel. Blaine v. Erickson		
In re Grbic		
MORGAN v. RICHTER		
West Salem v. Industrial Com. of		
Wisconsin		
Eau Claire Dells Improv. Co. v. Eau Claire		
Smith v. Crucible Steel Casting Co.		
Fox v. Boldt		
Hammer v. Cash		
In re Oswald's Will		
Montague v. Northwestern Mut. Life		
Ins. Co.		
State ex rel. Melms v. Young		
Clune v. Catholic Order of Foresters		
Feyrer v. Durbrow		
KUDER v. STATE		
Moran v. Moran		
Oshkosh v. Eastern Wisconsin Electric Co.		
Paul v. Pfefferkorn		
Russell v. Ives		
Schultz v. Andrews & Co.		
Shortle v. Sheill		
State ex rel. Lotz v. Hull		
State ex rel. Mariner v. Hampel		
State ex rel. McDiarmid v. Knight		
State ex rel. Oshrosh Country Club v.		
Petrick		
Strayer v. Gimbel Bros.		
United Shoe Repairing Mach. Co.		
Asoumanakis		
American Steam Laundry Co. v.		
Riverside Printing Co. Becker v. West Side Dye Works		
Benesch v. Pagel		
Dargert v. Dietrich		
ESTATE OF STANCHFIELD		
Etscheid v. Tiefenthaler		
Ex parte Henke		
Falk v. Devendorf		
Federal Asbestos Co. v. Zimmermann		
Gauerke v. Kiley		
In re Citizens' Sav. & Trust Co.		
Jaeger v. Salentine	 	
Katzer v. Schuenke		
Klemens v. Mororw Milling Co.	 	
/ · · · 1 · · · · · · ·		

Kramer v. Chicago & M. E. R. Co.		
Krueger v. State		
Kuhn v. Wagner		
Kupsick v. Diestelhorst		
Ky. Fin. Corp. v. Paramount Auto Exch.		
Corp.		
La Crosse v. Railroad Com. of		
Wisconsin		
Linden v. Miller		
Pringle v. Jackson Fence Co.		
Schuck v. Blodgett		
State ex rel. Knutson v. Johnson		
State ex rel. Pollard v. Wisconsin State		
Board of Medical Examiners		
Stenkowiczki v. Lytle		
Tingley v. Richter		
Todorovic v. Hirschberg		
Wilson v. Stork		
Bell Lumber Co. v. Northern Nat'l Bank		
Brunette v. Brunette		
Bruno v. State		
CHAFEE LAND CO. v. SUMPTION		
ESTATE OF SALZWEDEL		
ESTATE OF STEPHENSON		
Feldmeier v. Springfield Fire & Marine		
Ins. Co. Flamme v. State		
Goldberg v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co. Kalashian v. Hines		
Lyannes v. Lyannes Rickert v. Prehn		
Roberts, Johnson & Rand v. Mackowski		
Scott v. State		
State ex rel. Loehr v. Hanson		
State ex rel. Milwaukee County v. Buech		
State v. Zirbel		
Wilbur v. Means		
Winner v. Winner		
Anstee v. Monroe Light & Fuel Co.		
Baraboo v. Excelsior Creamery Co.		
Bird v. Bird		
Clarke v. Maisch		
Gemert v. Pooler		
Haswell v. Reuter		
HUDSON v. TRUSTEES OF THE		
FUNDS & PROP. OF THE		
PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH		
Kaspar v. Murray		
Leemans v. Hines		
Milwaukee E. R. & L. Co. v. Railroad		
Com. of Wisconsin		
Outagamie County Bank v. Tesch		
Padden v. Padden		
Richardson v. State		
Schwartz v. State		
State ex rel. Schertz v. Spiegel		
State ex rel. Shawano v. Engel		
State ex rel. Superior v. Duluth &		
Superior Bridge Co.		
(continued on following page)		

State Historical Soc. v. Foster		
Suick v. Krom		
Aurich General Acci. & Liability Ins.		
Co. v. Bowers		
Darling v. Nelson		
E. WEINER CO. v. FREYGANG		
Estes v. Crosby		
HAMILTON v. STATE		
In re Keenan's Will		
In re Liquidation of Citizens Sav. &		
Trust Co.		
James v. Woerpel		
Mann v. Becker		
Neacy v. Milwaukee		
Ninneman v. Industrial Com. of		
Wisconsin		
Puhr v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.		
RUBEKEIL v. BOWMAN		
Tollefson v. Tollefson		
Wegner v. Sheboygan-Elkhart Lake R.		
& E. Co.		
Youghiogheny & Ohio Coal Co. v.		
Lasevich		
Byrne Bros. Co. v. Barnekow		
Dering v. Milwaukee E. R. & L. Co.		
Greene v. Minn Billiard Co.		
In re Bilty's Will		
Jaeger v. Stratton		
Kashuda v. Adams Express Co.		
Kessler v. Leinss		
Kettle River Co. v. Hase		
SCHEUER v. R. J. SCHWAB & SONS		
CO.		
Schuenemann v. John C. Wollaeger Co.		
Stahl v. Broeckert		
Starkey v. Murphy State ex rel. Atwood v. Johnson [170]		
Wis. 251]		
State ex rel. Houghton v. Phelps		
Towns v. Towns		
Trustees of Armenia Lodge v. United States Fidelity & Guaranty Co.		
WISCONSIN SAV. LOAN & BLDG.		
ASS'N v. BOEHME		
Peschel v. Klug		
Madler v. Kersten		
Sherwood v. Redfield		
Defiance Mach. Works v. Gill	 	
ESTATE OF JOHNSON		
Gross Coal Co. v. Milwaukee	 	
In re Lauburg's Will		
John A. Tolman & Co. v. Infusina		
Kollentz v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.		
Lippert v. Chicago & N. R. Co.		
Noer v. G. W. Jones Lumber Co.		
Rathmann v. Schwanz		
Smith v. Federal Rubber Co.		
State ex rel. Stern Milling Co. v.		
Wisconsin Tax Com.		
(4 4 F - 11)		

State ex rel. T. L. Smith Co. v. Superior		
Court of Dane County		
Steinkrause v. Eckstein		
Whitewater Tile & Pressed Brick Mfg.		
Co. v. Johnson		
State ex rel. Atwood v. Johnson [170 Wis. 218]		
Brown v. Wisconsin-Minnesota Light & Power Co.		
Carlson v. Scandia Life Ins. Co.		
Milwaukee v. Fera		
United States Nat'l Bank v. Lake Superior T. & T. R. Co.		
Belle City Malleable Iron Co. v. Rowland		
Buroff v. Bergmann		
Cram v. Cram		
Denis v. Nu-Way Puncture Cure Co.		
FELZ v. ESTATE OF FELZ		
Frank v. Frost		
In re Bare's Guardianship		
Jones v. Wixom		
Lappley v. State		
LEZALA v. JAZEK		
NEIL & CO. v. WISCONSIN TEL. CO.		
Trzebiatowski v. Pike Lake		
Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co. v. Milwaukee		
Crowley v. Ficks		
Scholl v. Muscovitz		
SMITH v. SCHEIDEGGER BROS.		
Storma v. Wippich		
Finke v. Hess		
New Richmond Roller Mills Co. v.		
Arnquist		
Olson v. Laun		
American Amusement Co. v.		
McWilliams		
Application of Doss		
Application of Kaiser		
Banner Coffee Co. v. Billig		
Branegan v. Verona		
Goldberg v. Seneca, Sigel & Rudolph Mut. Fire Ins. Co.		
Hiller v. Trout Brook Co.		
MILLER-PIEHL CO. v. MULLEN		
Pawling & Harnischfeger Co. v.		
Mildenberger		
PROKOPOVITZ v. KUROWSKI		
Reeves v. Midland Casualty Co.		
Sedgwick v. Blanchard		
Squires v. Brown State ex rel. Johnson v. Board of		
Trustees		
Knapp v. State (per curiam)		
Mantz v. Schoen & Walter Co. (per		
curiam)		
State ex rel. Cantwell Printing Co. v. Hull		

Attorney Gen. ex rel. Becker v. Bay Boom Wild Rice & Fur Co.		
McCarthy v. State		
State ex rel. Ingold v. Madison		
Ford Motor Co. v. Maeder		
State v. Laabs		
GOODWIN v. VON COTZHAUSEN		
State v. Meen		

Frequency in the Majority

These tables display how frequently each justice voted in the majority. The first table includes all cases in which a justice voted, while the second table includes only cases decided by split votes. As explained in this post's introduction, it is impossible to determine how many decisions included participation from Justices Winslow and Kerwin—hence the "blanks" for them in these tables.

All Cases

Justice	Majority Votes		Percent in Majority
Rosenberry	258	265	97%
Owen	254	261	97%
Eschweiler	247	265	93%
Vinje	260	266	98%
Winslow			
Siebecker	254	265	96%
Kerwin			

Non-Unanimous Decisions

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Rosenberry	27	34	79%
Owen	27	34	79%
Eschweiler	16	34	47%
Vinje	28	34	82%
Winslow			
Siebecker	23	34	68%
Kerwin			

Opinions Authored²

The first table indicates how many majority opinions a justice authored in cases decided by each of the four possible majority vote totals. The second table shows how many concurrences and dissents each justice wrote. If a justice concurred or dissented without authoring an opinion, he is not credited.

Opinion Author	7-0 (or 6-0, 5-0, 4-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3
Rosenberry	44	2	1	1
Owen	46	3	0	0
Eschweiler	40	5	5	1
Vinje	38	2	4	2
Winslow	13	2	1	0
Siebecker	45	4	0	0
Kerwin	4	1	0	0

Opinion Author	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions
Rosenberry	1	0
Owen	2	5
Eschweiler	0	15
Vinje	0	1
Winslow	0	0
Siebecker	0	0
Kerwin	1	1

² Per curiam decisions are omitted.

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices

The following tables show the percentage of decisions in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all decisions; the second table narrows its focus to decisions that were not unanimous. When reading the <u>second</u> table, for instance, one finds that Justices Owen and Eschweiler voted together in 38% of the decisions, while the figure for Justices Rosenberry and Vinje was 62%. As explained in this post's introduction, it is impossible to determine how many decisions included participation from Justices Winslow and Kerwin—hence the "blanks" for them in these tables.

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—All Decisions

	Owen	Eschweiler	Vinje	Winslow	Siebecker	Kerwin
Rosenberry	246/260= 95%	247/264= 94%	252/265= 95%	-	252/264= 95%	
	Owen	239/260= 92%	250/261=96%	1	248/260= 95%	
		Eschweiler	243/265= 92%	1	237/264= 90%	
			Vinje	1	254/265=96%	
				Winslow		
					Siebecker	
						Kerwin

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—Non-Unanimous Decisions

	Owen	Eschweiler	Vinje	Winslow	Siebecker	Kerwin
Rosenberry	20/34= 59%	17/34= 50%	21/34= 62%		22/34= 65%	
	Owen	13/34= 38%	23/34=68%	1	22/34= 65%	
		Eschweiler	12/34= 35%	-	7/34= 21%	
			Vinje	1	23/34=68%	
				Winslow		
					Siebecker	
						Kerwin