Agreement Among Pairs of Justices

The following tables show the percentage of cases in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side in a decision—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all cases; the second table narrows its focus to cases in which decisions were not unanimous. When reading the *second* table, for instance, one finds that Justices Dallet and Karofsky voted together in 93% of the cases, while the figure for Justices Ziegler and Hagedorn was 54%.

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices—All Cases

	Ziegler	R. Bradley	Dallet	Hagedorn	Karofsky	Protasiewicz
A. Bradley	11/21=**52%**	10/22=**45%**	22/22=**100%**	17/22=**77%**	21/22=**95%**	21/22=**95%**
	Ziegler	20/21=**95%**	11/21=**52%**	15/21=**71%**	10/21=**48%**	10/21=**48%**
		R. Bradley	10/22=**45%**	15/22=**68%**	9/22=**41%**	9/22=**41%**
			Dallet	17/22=**77%**	21/22=**95%**	21/22=**95%**
				Hagedorn	16/22=**73%**	16/22=**73%**
					Karofsky	20/22=**91%**
						Protasiewicz

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices—Non-Unanimous Cases

	Ziegler	R. Bradley	Dallet	Hagedorn	Karofsky	Protasiewicz
A. Bradley	3/13=**23%**	2/14=**14%**	14/14=**100%**	9/14=**64%**	13/14=**93%**	13/14=**93%**
	Ziegler	12/13=**92%**	3/13=**23%**	7/13=**54%**	2/13=**15%**	2/13=**15%**
		R. Bradley	2/14=**14%**	7/14=**50%**	1/14=7%	1/14=7%
			Dallet	9/14=**64%**	13/14=**93%**	13/14=**93%**
				Hagedorn	8/14=**57%**	8/14=**57%**
					Karofsky	12/14=**86**
						Protasiewicz