Four-to-Three Decisions

4-3	Alignments	
-----	------------	--

	0	
Justices	Total	Case Names
Crownhart, Rosenberry, Owen, Doerfler	2	Draper v. Rodd; First Nat'l Bank v. Hartford
Eschweiler, Doerfler, Vinje, Jones	1	Federal Rubber Co. v. Industrial Com.

4-3 Membership in the Majority

Justice	Votes
Crownhart	2
Rosenberry	2
Owen	2
Eschweiler	1
Doerfler	3
Vinje	1
Jones	1

4-3 Majority Opinions Authored

	Opinions
Crownhart	0
Rosenberry	1
Owen	1
Eschweiler	0
Doerfler	0
Vinje	1
Jones	0
Total	3

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1	5-2	4-3
258/292 (88%)	28/292 (10%)	3/292 (1%)	3/292 (1%)
Ad. Dernehl & Sons Co. v. Detert	B.F. Sturtevant Co. v. Indus. Comm'n of Wis.	State ex rel. Waldheim & Co. v. Wisconsin Tax Com.	Draper v. Rodd
Ajax Rubber Co. v. Western Petroleum Co.	Bentson v. Brown	WILL OF WILLIAMS v. LAKE	Federal Rubber Co. v. Industrial Com.
American Exch. Bank v. Bornstein	Dax v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.	Zweifel v. Milwaukee	First Nat'l Bank v. Hartford
Andersen Yard Co. v. Citizens' State Bank	Derbeck v. Albright		
ARCHER v. INDUSTRIAL COMM'N OF WISCONSIN	Desmond v. Pierce		
Automatic Screw Machine Products Co.	ESTATE OF CARLIN:		
v. Continental Casualty Co.	EVANS v. CARLIN		
Balistrieri v. Chicago, N. S. & M. R. R.	ESTATE OF PADDOCK: TRAPHAGEN v. PADDOCK		
Baraboo v. Industrial Com.	Fehrman v. Bissell Lumber Co.		
Bartozek v. State	Gray v. Waupun		
Barutio v. Dowling	Haritos v. Haritos		
Basile v. Fath	Hepp v. Petrie		
BASSETT v. SOELLE	Highway Trailer Co. v. Janesville Electric Co.		
Baumann v. West Allis	Interlake Pulp & Paper Co. v. Indus. Comm'n of Wis.		
Behling v. Rock County Sugar Co.	Maryland Casualty Co. v. Thomas Furnace Co.		
Bitof v. Hoppe	Ridgeway State Bank v. Bird		
Blumenstein v. Pilon	RONNING v. INDUSTRIAL COMM'N		
Blumenthal v. Schneider	Ronning v. State		
Booth Fisheries Co. v. Industrial Com.	Roth v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.		
Bowen v. Osceola	Schmidt v. Riess		
Breese v. Wagner	Shawano County v. Froemming Bros.		
Bremer v. Rufener	Shields v. State		
Brown v. Redmond	Southern Colonization Co. v. Howard Cole & Co.		
Building Contractors' Ltd. Mut. Liability Ins. Co. v. Southern Surety Co. (continued on following page)	State ex rel. Dewey v. Kibbe		

¹ Occasionally, a justice will author a separate opinion that concurs in part and dissents in part. For SCOWstats tables, each such vote is categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it is classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it is classified as a concurrence.

		WISCONSIN SUFKEME	COURT DECISION
Burham Bros. Brick Co. v. Riesen	Stephenson v.		
	Wisconsin Gas &		
	Electric Co.		
Caley v. Weyerhaeuser State Bank	Stresney v. State		
Canadian Steel Foundries, Ltd. v.	TRUELSCH v.		
Thomas Furnace Co.	MILLER		
CARCHIDI v. STATE	Wachowski v. Lutz		
Carlson v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.	West Allis Foundry	V	
_	Co. v. State		
Carson v. Leet			
Chicago & N. W. R. Co. v. Railroad			
Com.			
Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co. v. Malleable			
Iron Range Co.			
Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co. v. Railroad			
<i>Com.</i> [187 Wis. 364]			
Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co. v. Railroad			
Com. [187 Wis. 380]			
Christensen v. Badger Improv. Co.			
Christensen v. Mann			
CITY OF MILWAUKEE v.			
INDUSTRIAL COMM'N OF			
WISCONSIN			
Clark v. Wisconsin-Minnesota Light &			
Power Co.			
COCHRANE v. C. HENNECKE CO.			
Colby Cheese Box Co. v. Laabs			
Combined Locks Paper Co. v. Indus.			
Comm'n of Wis.			
Cutter v. Greene			
DAMORJIAN v. STATE			
Davis v. State			
Davis v. Williams Creamery Co.			
Davis-Watkins Dairymen's Mfg. Co. v.			
Cronin Dairy & Ice Cream Co.			
Day v. Morgan			
Day v. Pauly			
Dietrich v. State			
Doolittle v. Keller			
Dorcey v. Milwaukee Electric R. & L.			
Co.			
Duff v. State			
EMIELITY v. STATE			
Erie City Iron Works v. Marshfield			
ESTATE OF ARNEBERG			
ESTATE OF BEYER			
ESTATE OF BRADLEY v. TWEEDY			
ESTATE OF BRUNDAGE:			
BRUNDAGE v. TOWERS			
ESTATE OF DRAPER: F. DOHMEN			
CO. v. DRAPER			
ESTATE OF DRAPER: YAHR &			
LANGE DRUG CO. v. DRAPER			
ESTATE OF DUERRWAECHTER:			
DUERRWAECHTER v. WISCONSIN			
TAX COMM'N			
ESTATE OF GARBADE			
ESTATE OF HARTER: HARTER V.			
BRIGUM			
ESTATE OF JOHNSTON: STATE v.			
JOHNSTON			
ESTATE OF KOCHANSKI v.			
GDANIEC			
ESTATE OF WEGNER: WAGNER v.			
WEGNER			
(continued on following page)			

Evenson v. State	
Ex parte Kreutzer	
Fahrbach v. Fahrbach	
Fannin v. Minneapolis, S. P. & S. S. M.	
R. Co.	
Farmers' & Merchants' State Bank v.	
Perry	
First Nat'l Bank v. Joint School Dist.	
Freimann v. Cumming	
Fuller v. Ringling	
Ganzel v. State	
Gesell v. Bradley Co.	
Gesell v. Tomahawk Land Co.	
Getts v. Olsen	
Glick v. Baer	
Green Bay Fish Co. v. State	
Gregory v. Milwaukee County	
Grieb & Erickson v. Estberg	
Griffin v. Milwaukee E. R. & L. Co.	
Grimm v. State	
Gruszka v. Mitchell Street State Bank	
H. Schmitt & Son v. Milwaukee	
Hall v. Wisconsin L. Ins. Co.	
Hanson v. Ryan	
*	
Harder v. Davelaar	
Hardy v. People's State Bank	
Haselmaier v. Milwaukee Electric R. &	
Light Co.	
Hearth v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.	
Hennig v. Iron Ridge Canning Co.	
Henry v. Dolen	
Hobbins v. Hannan	
Holcomb v. Szymczyk	
Holmes v. Racine Furnace & Foundry	
Co.	
Hovie v. Pleshek	
In re Adams	
In re Bearby	
In re Borchardt's Will	
In re Dereg's Will	
In re Estate of Allis	
In re Foxen's Will	
In re Hamburger's Will	
In re Hughes's Will	
In re Kelly's Will	
In re Lotwin's Will	
In re Milwaukee Sheep & Wool Co.	
In re Milwaukee, E. R. & L. Co.	
In re Read's Will	
In re Richter	
In re Rocky Run Drainage Dist.	
In re Whitman	
Ireland v. Tomahawk Light, Tel. &	
<i>Improv. Co.</i> [185 Wis. 153]	
Ireland v. Tomahawk Light, Tel. &	
Improv. Co. [185 Wis. 148]	
Isaacson v. Wisconsin Casualty Ass'n	
Iverson v. Union Free High School Dist.	
Jensen v. Oconto Falls	
Jentzsch v. Roenfanz	
Junion v. Snavely Motor Co.	
Kappers v. Cast Stone Const. Co.	
Karney v. Boyd	
Kelenic v. Berndt	
(continued on following page)	

	11150	ONDIN DOI KEME	COOL DECISION
Kiel v. Scott & Williams, Inc.			
Kimberly-Clark Co. v. Industrial Com.			
Kleeman v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.			
Kosak v. Boyce			
Kuehne v. Kuehne			
Kuglich v. Fowle			
Lakeside Packing Co. v. Minneapolis, S.			
P. & S. S. M. R. Co.			
Lang v. Findorff			
Lange v. Olson			
Latta v. Fidelity-Phenix Fire Ins. Co.			
Lehner v. Rudinger			
Lipman v. Manger			
Lippert v. School Dist.			
Lozon v. Leamon Bakery Co.			
Lustik v. State			
Luttropp v. Kilborn			
Macomber v. Minneapolis Fire &			
Marine Ins. Co.			
Marine Ins. Co. Magnuson v. State			
Maryland Casualty Co. v. Hjorth			
McAbee v. Gerarden			
McIntyre v. McGovern			
McLery v. McLery			
McMahon v. Lower Baraboo River			
Drainage Dist.			
MCMYNN v. PETERSON			
Menasha Woodenware Co. v. Industrial			
Com.			
Mertz v. Fleming			
Miller v. Frank I. Epstein Co.			
Milquet v. Van Straten			
Milton v. Railroad Com. of Wisconsin			
Milwaukee v. Industrial Com.			
Milwaukee v. Stachelski			
Moller v. Moller			
Morgan Co. v. Industrial Com.			
Muscoda State Bank v. Kolar			
Naus v. Chicago M. E. R. Co.			
Nelson v. State			
Nichols v. Galpin			
Novak v. State			
Nowiny Publ'g Co. v. Kappl			
O'Connor v. Pawling & H. Co.			
Oeland v. Woldenberg			
Ogden v. Straus Bldg. Corp.			
Olsen v. Brown			
Olson v. Herman Farmers' Mut. Ins. Co.			
Ovitt v. Schumekosky			
Parker v. Brumder			
Pella v. Gresch			
Pisarek v. Singer Talking Mach. Co.			
Prahl v. Hogensen			
Price v. Wisconsin Tel. Co.			
PRUNO v. INDUSTRIAL COMM'N OF			
WISCONSIN			
Rainbow Gardens v. Industrial Com.			
Rappaport v. Reliance Sec. Co.			
Remington v. Judd			
Ridgeway State Bank v. Severson			
Riley v. State			
Roberts v. Gerber			
Robicheau v. Arnovitz			
Robinson v. Marachowsky			
(continued on following page)			

	TIBE	ONSIN DOI REME C	Decki Decision
Rock County v. Industrial Com.			
Roiesler v. State			
Rooney v. Rooney			
· · ·			
Rosandich v. Chicago, N. S. & M. R.			
Co.			
Rosenberg v. Borst			
Ross v. C. P. Crosby Lumber Co.			
Rottman v. Toft			
3			
Rusk Farm Drainage Dist. v. Industrial			
Com.			
Rusk v. Bank of La Farge			
Ryan v. Milwaukee N. R. Co.			
· · ·			
Saltzberg v. Tax			
Schaefer & Co. v. Indus. Comm'n of			
Wis.			
Schissler v. Wisconsin Life Ins. Co.			
SCHNICK v. STATE			
School Dist. v. First Wisconsin Co.			
Schumacher v. Railroad Com.			
Schwemer v. Milwaukee Commercial			
Bank			
Sheban v. A. M. Castle & Co.			
Shier v. Gillett			
Simpson v. Waukesha County			
Singer Sewing Machine Co. v. Lang			
Sippel v. Fond Du Lac			
Sloss-Sheffield Steel & I. Co. v.			
Wisconsin Foundry & Mach. Co.			
Southern Surety Co. v. Hotchkiss			
Southern Surety Co. v. Metropolitan			
Sewerage Co.			
State ex rel. Allis v. Wiesner			
State ex rel. Carnegie Dock & Fuel Co.			
v. Beckley			
State ex rel. Consolidation Coal Co. v.			
Arnold			
State ex rel. Crucible Steel Casting Co.			
v. Wisconsin Tax Com.			
State ex rel. Donahue-Stratton Co. v.			
Grimm			
State ex rel. Duesing v. Lechner			
State ex rel. Ekern v. Zimmerman			
State ex rel. Horton v. Brechler			
State ex rel. Kieckhefer v. Cary			
State ex rel. Knapp v. Pohle			
State ex rel. Mellen v. Public School			
Teachers' Annuity & Retirement Fund			
Trustees			
State ex rel. Southern Colonization Co.			
v. Circuit Court of St. Croix County			
State ex rel. Weisskopf v. Byrne Bros.			
Co.			
State v. Blumenstein			
STATE v. INDUSTRIAL COMM'N OF			
WISCONSIN			
State v. Krause			
State v. Lavanias			
Stephan v. Abe			
Stevens v. Morse			
Stockhausen v. Oehler			
Stradling v. Nelson			
Suren v. Zuege			
Terry v. Schmidt			
Thomsen v. Gennrich			
(continued on following page)			1
(Commuea on following page)			

Thunder Lake Lumber Co. v. Carpenter	
Ticknor v. Sinclair	
Traffic Signal Light Mfg. Co. v. Lange	
Trudell v. James Cape & Sons Co.	
Union State Bank v. Savord	
Van Burnt v. Joint School Dist.	
Vejih v. State	
Voell v. Klein	
Wallach v. Rabinowitz	
West Bend v. West Bend Heating &	
Lighting Co.	
Wetzler v. Glassner	
Will of Behm	
Will of Eaton	
Will of Leitsch	
Will of Mangan	
WILL OF UIHLEIN: UIHLEIN v.	
WISCONSIN TAX COMM'N	
Will of Wagen	
Wilson v. Demos	
Wilson v. State	
Wisconsin Electric Power Co. v. Lake	
Wisconsin Public Service Co. v.	
Railroad Com.	
Wisconsin S. R. Co. v. Wisconsin R.	
Com.	
Zetley v. James Realty Co.	
State v. Smith (7-0 per curiam)	
Joint School Dist. v. Marathon County	
Bank (6-0)	
Lamb v. Shoemaker (6-0)	
Miller v. McManus (6-0)	

99%

98%

Frequency in the Majority

These tables display how frequently each justice voted in the majority. The first table includes all cases in which a justice voted, while the second table includes only cases decided by split votes.

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Crownhart	280	292	96%
Rosenberry	289	291	99%
Owen	285	292	98%
Eschweiler	279	291	96%
Doerfler	290	291	99.7%

All Cases

Non-Unanimous Decisions

292

292

289

286

Vinje

Jones

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Crownhart	22	34	65%
Rosenberry	32	34	94%
Owen	27	34	79%
Eschweiler	22	34	65%
Doerfler	33	34	97%
Vinje	31	34	91%
Jones	28	34	82%

The first table indicates how many majority opinions a justice authored in cases decided by each of the four possible majority vote totals. The second table shows how many concurrences and dissents each justice wrote. If a justice dissented without authoring an opinion, he is not credited.

Opinion Author	7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1	5-2	4-3
Crownhart	31	3	1	0
Rosenberry	40	4	0	1
Owen	47	3	1	1
Eschweiler	28	4	0	0
Doerfler	36	8	0	0
Vinje	34	3	0	1
Jones	41	3	1	0

Opinion Author	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions
Crownhart	0	10
Rosenberry	0	1
Owen	1	5
Eschweiler	0	4
Doerfler	0	0
Vinje	0	2
Jones	0	1

² *Per curiam* decisions are omitted.

The following tables show the percentage of decisions in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all decisions; the second table narrows its focus to decisions that were not unanimous. When reading the <u>second</u> table, for instance, one finds that Justices Crownhart and Eschweiler voted together in 29% of the decisions, while the figure for Justices Rosenberry and Vinje was 85%.

	Rosenberry	Owen	Eschweiler	Doerfler	Vinje	Jones
Crownhart	279/291= 96%	275/292= 94%	267/291= 92%	278/291= 96%	277/292 =95%	274/292= 94%
	Rosenberry	284/291= 98%	276/290= 95%	287/290= 99%	286/291= 98%	283/291= 97%
		Owen	272/291= 93%	283/291= 97%	282/292= 97%	279/292= 96%
			Eschweiler	279/290= 96%	280/291= 96%	279/291= 96%
				Doerfler	287/291= 99%	284/291= 98%
					Vinje	289/292= 99%
						Jones

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices-All Decisions

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices-Non-Unanimous Decisions

	Rosenberry	Owen	Eschweiler	Doerfler	Vinje	Jones
Crownhart	22/34= 65%	17/34= 50%	10/34= 29%	21/34= 62%	19/34= 56%	16/34= 47%
	Rosenberry	27/34= 79%	20/34= 59%	31/34= 91%	29/34= 85%	26/34= 76%
		Owen	15/34= 44%	26/34= 76%	24/34= 71%	21/34= 62%
			Eschweiler	23/34= 68%	23/34= 68%	22/34= 65%
				Doerfler	30/34= 88%	27/34= 79%
					Vinje	31/34= 91%
						Jones