Four-to-Three Decisions

4-3 Alignments

Justices	Total	Case Names
Crownhart, Owen, Doerfler, Jones	1	Hotel Wisconsin Realty Co. v. Phillips Gross Realty Co.

4-3 Membership in the Majority

Justice	Votes
Crownhart	1
Rosenberry	0
Owen	1
Eschweiler	0
Doerfler	1
Vinje	0
Jones	1

4-3 Majority Opinions Authored

	Opinions
Crownhart	1
Rosenberry	0
Owen	0
Eschweiler	0
Doerfler	0
Vinje	0
Jones	0
Total	1

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2	4-3
270/305 (89%)	28/305 (9%)	6/305 (2%)	1/305 (0.3%)
Bankers Finance Corp. v. Christensen	BUILDING HEIGHT CASES. STATE ex rel. KLEFISCH v. WISCONSIN TEL. CO.	State ex rel. Symmonds v. Barnett	Hotel Wisconsin Realty Co. v. Phillips Gross Realty Co.
Berry v. Merchants Life & Casualty Co.	ESTATE OF LAPER: LAPER v. CHESEBRO	Gerkhardt v. Mandarin Co.	
Carmody v. Kolocheski	Thoe v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.	Northern Wisconsin Co-operative Tobacco Pool v. Bekkedal	
Coakley v. Prentiss-Wabers Stove Co.	Tharp v. Seventh Day Adventist Church	Zastrow v. Milwaukee E. R. & L. Co.	
Collum v. National Fire Ins. Co.	Bradley v. Wisconsin Drainage Co.	State v. Warfield	
De Young v. Koehler	In re Milwaukee Electric R. & Light Co.	State ex rel. United States Fidelity & Guaranty Co. v. Smith	
Dekowski v. Stachura	State ex rel. Howard W. Russell, Inc. v. Board of Fire & Police Comm'rs		
Doberstein v. Dahl	Niles v. Rogovsky		
Donahue v. Keaveny	Ptak v. Kuetemeyer		
Fritz v. Southern Wisconsin Power Co.	Schroeder v. Moeley		
In re Decker's Guardianship	ESTATE OF ANDERSON		
J. F. Rappel Co. v. Manitowoc	Johnson v. Blumer		
John H. Kaiser Lumber Co. v. Indus. Comm'n of Wis. [181 Wis. 516]	Milwaukee Coke & Gas Co. v. Central Wisconsin Supply Co.		
John H. Kaiser Lumber Co. v. Industrial Com. [181 Wis. 513]	Allen v. State		
JUNEAU COUNTY STATE BANK v. STATE BANK OF MAUSTON	First Wisconsin Nat'l Bank v. Catawba		
Kloman v. Industrial Com.	State ex rel. Board of Regents v. Zimmerman		
Liesse v. Fontaine	Standingwater v. School Dist.		
Luedtke v. Luedtke	In re Cramer's Will [183 Wis. 525]		
Prokopovitz v. Carl Manthey & Sons Co.	Milwaukee Corrugating Co. v. Krueger		
Rhinelander Paper Co. v. Bushman	Oconto County v. Carey		
State ex rel. Strohmayer v. Melstrand	Breitenbach v. Schoen		
Tesch v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.	ESTATE OF SCHLESINGER		
Union Mfg. Co. v. Spies	State ex rel. Time Ins. Co. v. Smith		
Oconto Co. v. Bacon	Shepard v. State		

(continued on following page)

¹ Due to rounding, the percentages do not add up to one hundred. Occasionally, a justice will author a separate opinion that concurs in part and dissents in part. For SCOWstats tables, each such vote is categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it is classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it is classified as a concurrence.

State ex rel. Transportation Ass'n v.	Wisconsin Mut. Liability Co.	
Zimmerman	v. Industrial Com.	
Arneberg v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.	Belongy v. Kewaunee, G. B.	
	& W. R. Co.	
Bertschy v. Seng	Ex parte Bentine (5-1)	
Bloom v. Krueger	Turner v. Goetz (5-1)	
	Turner v. Goetz (3-1)	
Callies v. Reliance Laundry Co.		
Carson, Pirie Scott & Co. v. Karp		
Fernhaber v. Stein		
Fox v. Interstate Exchange		
GALLUN v. HIBERNIA BANK &		
TRUST CO.		
Goerner v. Goerner		
Goetz v. Zeif		
Hagenau v. Millard		
Hayden v. Carey		
Hotel Martin Co. v. Industrial Com. of		
•		
Wisconsin		
In re Scott's Will		
James v. Tobin-Sutton Co.		
Jewett & Sherman Co. v. Rosenberg		
Bros. & Co.		
Luedke v. Pauly Motor Truck Co.		
Madregano v. Wisconsin Gas &		
Electric Co.		
Mitchell v. Raymond		
Siegl v. Watson		
State ex rel. Harvey v. Plankinton		
Arcade Co. [182 Wis. 20]		
State ex rel. Harvey v. Plankinton		
Arcade Co. [182 Wis. 23]		
Vogel v. Otto		
Wisconsin White Lily Butter Co. v. Safer		
Woelfel v. New England Mut. Life Ins.		
Co.		
Worthington Pump & Machinery Corp.		
v. Cudahy		
Zeidler v. Goelzer		
Afflerbaugh v. Geo. Grede & Bro.		
Appeal of Schuessler		
Barnhart v. Stern		
Baum v. Lighting Products Co.		
Bowen v. Inter-State Business Men's		
Acc. Ass'n		
Bratonja v. Wisconsin Ice & Coal Co.		
Cousins v. Flertzheim		
Deakin v. Scheuer		
ESTATE OF WAKEFIELD		
ESTATE OF WILM: FUTTERLIEB v.		
WIGHT		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Foster v. State		
Holmes v. Holmes		
In re Rees		
Jahns & Knuth Co. v. American Indem.		
Co.		
JEFFERIS v. AUSTIN		
Klein v. Barry		
Metropolitan Inv. Co. v. Milwaukee		
Miller v. Milwaukee		
Milwaukee Bedding Co. v. Graebner		
Novotny v. State		
Shanley v. Monarch Coffee Co.		
State ex rel. Carter v. Harper		

(continued on following page)

	l I	l i
State v. Washburn Waterworks Co.		
Tiefenthaler v. Biersach		
VAIVADA v. STATE		
Vejih v. Redford		
Wibmer v. State		
Wirthwein v. Dailey		
Barnum v. Moldenhauer		
Bekkedal v. Viroqua Blumme v. Tierney		
Brown v. County State Road & Bridge		
Committee		
Carl Miller Lumber Co. v. Meyer		
Creasey Corp. v. Dunning		
Ellefson v. Smith		
Hanson v. Knutson Hardware Co.		
Holak v. Southard		
In re Clark's Will		
In re Crawford County Levee &		
Drainage Dist.		
In re Loker's Guardianship		
Kile v. Anderson		
Klagus v. Baraboo		
Kuchera v. Kuchera		
Miller v. Anderson		
Milwaukee v. Railroad Com.		
Muender v. Muender		
Olson v. Skroch		
Reuter v. Swarthout		
Roen v. State		
Simpson Garment Co. v. Schultz		
State Bank of Eastman v. Rawson		
W.M. Bell Co. v. Emberson		
Warsco v. Oshkosh Sav. & Trust Co.		
WENRICH v. INDUSTRIAL COMM'N		
OF WISCONSIN		
Beetham v. State		
Breon v. Genger		
Chapleau v. State		
ESTATE OF LEHMANN v. MAERZKE		
Farmers' & Merchants' State Bank v.		
Huss		
Hauser v. Fetzer		
Johnson v. Fuller & Johnson Mfg. Co. Knerzer v. Worthing		
Libby v. Central Wisconsin Trust Co.		
MacHae v. Fellenz Coal & Dock Co.		
Mayer v. Hipke		
Minneapolis, St. P. & S. S. M. R. Co. v.		
Railroad Com.		
Missos v. Spyros		
Pieckowski v. Golonka		
Pitkunas v. State		
Roys v. First Nat'l Bank		
Share v. Trickle		
State ex rel. Courteen v. Cary		
State ex rel. Globe Steel Tubes Co. v.		
Lyons		
State ex rel. Oelhafen-Mondeau Co. v.		
Werner		
State ex rel. Pierce v. Jodon		
State ex rel. S. Heymann Co. v. Lyons		
State ex rel. Schuster Realty Co. v.		
Lyons		
(continued on following page)		

State v. Chicago, M. & S. P. R. Co.	
State v. Surdick	
STEHLI SILKS CORP. v.	
PETTIBONEPEABODY CO.	
Vanden Heuvel v. Schultz	
Walsh v. State	
Waterproof Paper & Board Co. v. Van	
Buren	
Weber v. State	
Wenneby v. Time Ins. Co.	
Winninger v. Waupun	
Wisconsin-Minnesota Light & Power	
Co. v. Railroad Com. [183 Wis. 96]	
Wisconsin-Minnesota Light & Power	
Co. v. Railroad Com. [183 Wis. 104]	
Andrews v. School Dist.	
Anger v. Al. G. Barnes Amusement Co.	
Awe v. Domer	
Beaudin v. Oconto	
Braun v. Montello Granite Co.	
Chicago, S. P. M. & O. R. Co. v. New	
Dells Lumber Co.	
Cicchini v. State	
ESTATE OF BRILL: PREDMORE v.	
HAMLEY	
ESTATE OF LYONS	
First Nat'l Bank v. Court	
Flynn v. State	
French v. L. Starks Co.	
Goodman v. Brown Land & Lumber Co.	
Goodrich v. Lawson	
Greenberg Realty Co. v. Cream City	
Roofing & Paint Mfg. Co.	
Hall v. Frankel	
Jaeger v. Farmers' Mut. Town Fire Ins.	
Co.	
Jefferies v. Streit	
Krembs v. Merrill	
Kuney v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.	
Lange Canning Co. v. Industrial Com.	
Le May v. Renier	
Lehrer v. State	
Ryan v. Olson	
Stamper v. Hopkins	
State Bank v. Washington	
State ex rel. Joint School Dist. v.	
Nyberg	
Sweeo v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.	
Town of Knox v. Fid. & Cas. Co. of N.Y.	
Bombinski v. State	
Bliven v. State	
Bloomer v. Cicero Mut. Fire Ins. Co.	
Borkowski v. Langen	
Condon Wrapping Mach. Co. v. Racine	
Engine & Machinery Co.	
Desham v. Taugher	
ESTATE OF KELLY: SIMONS & SON	
v. ZIMMER	
Fleischer v. Pelton Steel Co.	
Goetz v. Newell	
In re Cramer's Will [183 Wis. 516]	
In re Emerson's Will	
In re Zartner's Will	
(continued on following page)	

Johnson v. Sullivan's Delivery	
KIELICH v. WHITTAKER	
Lucas v. Mueller	
M. Schulz Co. v. Gether [183 Wis. 501]	
M. Schulz Co. v. Gether [183 Wis. 491]	
Madison R. Co. v. Railroad Com.	
Motowski v. People's Dentists	
Papenthien v. Coerper Payne v. Volkman	
Remmel v. Czaja	
Sells v. Elmergreen	
State ex rel. Schauer v. Risjord	
State v. Baltes	
Subacz v. Subacz	
Walter Diehnelt, Inc. v. Root	
York v. Chicago M. & S. P. R. Co.	
Brust v. First Nat'l Bank	
Cartmill v. Whiting-Plover Paper Co.	
De Groot v. Peoples State Bank	
Doyle v. Fischer	
ESTATE OF DOYLE	
ESTATE OF ROSECRANTZ: BANKERS	
TRUST CO. v. GRAVES	
Gundlach v. State	
Helminiak v. Przekurat	
Holzbauer v. Ritter	
Hopkins v. Droppers	
In re Angle	
Olbrich v. Mutual Fire Ins. Co.	
Ruhbush v. Buss	
Sherlock v. Bradley Polytechnic	
Institute	
Street R. Advertising Co. v. Lavo Co. In re Snyder	
Jones v. State	
Monroe County v. Industrial Com.	
School Dist. v. Knitter	
State ex rel. Ekern v. Thekan	
State ex rel. Peart v. Wisconsin	
Highway Com.	
State ex rel. Sloan Seed Co. v. Wickham	
State v. O'Leary	
Stevens v. Montfort State Bank	
Truesdale v. Straight	
Turner Mfg. Co. v. Gmeinder	
Van Hecke v. Stevens Point	
Dick v. Heisler	
Barry v. Kern	
Camallo v. State	
Chicago S. P. M. & O. R. Co. v.	
McDougald	
ESTATE OF KALLENBACH: MILLER-	
OLCOTT LUMBER CO. v.	
ELLSWORTH	
ESTATE OF WELLS	
Gebaj v. State	
Gehl Bros. Mfg. Co. v. Hammond-Olsen	
Lumber Co. Grant v. Yates	
Hasenfus v. Industrial Com.	
Ill. Steel Co. v. Indus. Comm'n of Wis.	
Juneau v. Wisconsin Tax Com.	
Milwaukee v. Rissling	
(continued on following page)	

Scott & Howe Lumber Co. v. Industrial		
Com.		
Waldkirch v. Hoff		
Wiesman v. American Ins. Co.		
Yano v. Stott Briquet Co.		
Kinnie v. Kinnie		
Legler v. Tyler		
Nixon v. Nixon		
Pagel v. School Dist.		
Palotta v. State		
Park Hotel Co. v. Ketchum		
Peters v. Bossman		
Price County v. Northwestern Casualty		
& Surety Co.		
Rahr v. Minahan		
Reilly v. Allen-Apiegel Shoe Mfg. Co.		
State ex rel. Baxter v. Carlson		
State ex rel. Pabst v. Circuit Court for		
Milwaukee County		
State v. Belfor		
THOMAS PRODUCE CO. v. LETMAN		
Towers v. Towers		
VOLK v. STATE		
Wege v. Boehm		
Welch v. State		
Wolf v. Chicago M. & S. P. R. Co.		
ESTATE OF DUNLAP: SPRAIN v.		
GIBSON ICE CREAM CO.		
In re Brown County Farm Drainage		
Dist.		
McLean v. McLean		
Mueller v. Michels		
Mitchell Realty Co. v. West Allis		
Winkler v. State		
Kentucky Finance Corp. v. Paramount		
Auto Exchange Corp. (7-0 per curiam)		
Pelkowski v. State (7-0 per curiam)		
ESTATE OF WALTER: NORDDEG v.		
WILDE (6-0)		
Wills v. Shepard (6-0)		
	- '	

Frequency in the Majority

These tables display how frequently each justice voted in the majority. The first table includes all cases in which a justice voted, while the second table includes only cases decided by split votes.

All Cases

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Crownhart	295	304	97%
Rosenberry	299	305	98%
Owen	302	305	99%
Eschweiler	289	305	95%
Doerfler	301	303	99%
Vinje	300	304	99%
Jones	302	305	99%

Non-Unanimous Decisions

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Crownhart	25	34	74%
Rosenberry	29	35	83%
Owen	32	35	91%
Eschweiler	19	35	54%
Doerfler	32	34	94%
Vinje	31	35	89%
Jones	32	35	91%

Opinions Authored²

The first table indicates how many majority opinions a justice authored in cases decided by each of the four possible majority vote totals. The second table shows how many concurrences and dissents each justice wrote. If a justice dissented without authoring an opinion, he is not credited.

Opinion Author	7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2	4-3
Crownhart	42	4	0	1
Rosenberry	36	6	0	0
Owen	41	4	3	0
Eschweiler	44	1	0	0
Doerfler	37	3	0	0
Vinje	30	6	2	0
Jones	38	4	1	0

Opinion Author	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions
Crownhart	0	5
Rosenberry	0	3
Owen	0	2
Eschweiler	0	10
Doerfler	0	0
Vinje	0	2
Jones	0	1

² *Per curiam* decisions are omitted.

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices

The following tables show the percentage of decisions in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all decisions; the second table narrows its focus to decisions that were not unanimous. When reading the <u>second</u> table, for instance, one finds that Justices Crownhart and Eschweiler voted together in 29% of the decisions, while the figure for Justices Rosenberry and Vinje was 83%.

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—All Decisions

	Rosenberry	Owen	Eschweiler	Doerfler	Vinje	Jones
Crownhart	289/304= 95%	294/304= 97%	280/304= 92%	291/302= 96%	290/303= 96%	294/304= 97%
	Rosenberry	296/305= 97%	287/305= 94%	295/303= 97%	298/304= 98%	296/305= 97%
		Owen	286/305= 94%	300/303= 99%	297/304= 98%	299/305= 98%
			Eschweiler	286/303= 94%	286/304= 94%	288/305= 94%
				Doerfler	296/302= 98%	298/303= 98%
					Vinje	297/304= 98%
						Jones

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—Non-Unanimous Decisions

	Rosenberry	Owen	Eschweiler	Doerfler	Vinje	Jones
Crownhart	19/34= 56%	24/34= 71%	10/34= 29%	22/33= 67%	21/34= 62%	24/34= 71%
	Rosenberry	26/35= 74%	17/35= 49%	26/34= 76%	29/35= 83%	26/35= 74%
		Owen	16/35= 46%	31/34= 91%	28/35= 80%	29/35= 83%
			Eschweiler	17/34= 50%	17/35= 49%	18/35= 51%
				Doerfler	28/34= 82%	29/34= 85%
					Vinje	28/35=80%
						Jones