

Four-to-Three Decisions

4-3 Alignments

Justices	Total	Case Names
Fairchild, Fritz, Fowler, Martin	2	<i>Holly v. First Nat'l Bank</i> ; <i>State ex rel. Mahnke v. Kablitz</i>
Wickhem, Fairchild, Rosenberry, Martin	1	<i>Burns v. Weyker</i>
Rosenberry, Fowler, Nelson, Martin	1	<i>Stierle v. Rohmeyer</i>
Fairchild, Rosenberry, Fowler, Martin	1	<i>Gutenkunst v. State</i>

4-3 Membership in the Majority

Justice	Votes
Wickhem	1
Fairchild	4
Fritz	2
Rosenberry	3
Fowler	4
Nelson	1
Martin	5

4-3 Majority Opinions Authored

	Opinions
Wickhem	0
Fairchild	2
Fritz	1
Rosenberry	1
Fowler	1
Nelson	0
Martin	0
Total	5

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0, 5-0) 310/328 (95%)	6-1 (or 5-1) 7/328 (2%)	5-2 (or 4-2) 6/328 (2%)	4-3 5/328 (2%)
<i>Ullrich v. Kenosha County</i>	<i>Earl v. Napp</i>	<i>BLITSTEIN v. STATE</i>	<i>Burns v. Weyker</i>
<i>Elwell v. Wisconsin Tax Com.</i>	<i>Laabs v. Wisconsin Tax Com.</i>	<i>Link v. State</i>	<i>Holly v. First Nat'l Bank</i>
<i>ESTATE OF LEEDOM: WALLER CARSON & CO. v. LEEDOM</i>	<i>Osipowicz v. Furland</i>	<i>Nicolet Sec. Co. v. Outagamie County</i>	<i>Stierle v. Rohmeyer</i>
<i>ESTATE OF SMITH v. NEWELL</i>	<i>Connor v. Connor</i>	<i>Peters v. Milwaukee Electric R. & L. Co.</i>	<i>Gutenkunst v. State</i>
<i>Grammoll v. Last</i>	<i>Kassela v. Hoseth (5-1)</i>	<i>Standard Oil Co. v. La Crosse Super Auto Service, Inc.</i>	<i>State ex rel. Mahnke v. Kablitz</i>
<i>Grossenbach v. Devonshire Realty Co.</i>	<i>Dunnebacke Co. v. Pittman (5-1)</i>	<i>In re Carisch (4-2)</i>	
<i>Hansen v. Green Bay</i>	<i>Union Free High School Dist. v. Union Free High School Dist. (5-1)</i>		
<i>Hughes v. State</i>			
<i>In re Robinson's Will</i>			
<i>In re Zabel</i>			
<i>Joachim v. Wisconsin Dental Clinic</i>			
<i>Lewko v. Chas. A. Krause Milling Co.</i>			
<i>Matz v. Farmers' & Citizens' Bank</i>			
<i>Onsrud v. Paulsen</i>			
<i>Pierce v. Westby State Bank</i>			
<i>Shinners v. State</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Ashley v. Circuit Court for Milwaukee County</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Stafford v. State Annuity & Inv. Board</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Tracy v. Henry [219 Wis. 53]</i>			
<i>State v. Jackson</i>			
<i>A. Lentz Co. v. Dougherty</i>			
<i>Baumgartner v. New Amsterdam Casualty Co.</i>			
<i>Bodden v. John H. Detter Coffee Co.</i>			
<i>Direct Service Oil Co. v. Wisconsin Ice & Coal Co.</i>			
<i>Ed. Schuster & Co. v. Henry</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF SCHMIDT: SCHAEFER v. SCHMIDT ESTATE</i>			
<i>First Wisconsin Trust Co. v. Adams</i>			
<i>Grand Trunk W. R. Co. v. Lahiff</i>			
<i>H. Holhensee Const. Co. v. Chicago, M. S. P. & P. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Haas v. Williams</i>			
<i>In re Jeness</i>			
<i>In re Meyers' Guardianship</i>			
<i>Lochner v. State</i>			
<i>Milwaukee v. Industrial Com.</i>			

(continued on following page)

¹ As a result of rounding, the percentages do not add up to one hundred. In few instances, a justice authored a separate opinion that concurred in part and dissented in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

<i>Pollon v. State</i>			
<i>Schleicker v. Krier</i>			
<i>Whipple v. South Milwaukee</i>			
<i>Bartz v. Eagle Point Mut. Fire Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF SKILLING: SKILLING v. SKILLING</i>			
<i>In re Koeffler's Will</i>			
<i>Paulsen v. Gundersen</i>			
<i>Sheehan v. Lewis</i>			
<i>Bank of Sheboygan v. Fessler</i>			
<i>Banking Com. on behalf of Citizens' State Bank v. Marquardt</i>			
<i>Betten Co. v. Brauman</i>			
<i>Bruha v. La Crosse Plow Co.</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF STAVER: WIMMER v. STAVER</i>			
<i>Fritz v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Gelosi v. State</i>			
<i>Georgeson v. Nielsen</i>			
<i>Gunderson v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Hummel v. Moore</i>			
<i>In re Dejanovich Guardianship</i>			
<i>Kingston v. Creedon</i>			
<i>Klosterhuber v. Wisconsin State Bank</i>			
<i>Marathon County v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Schumacher v. Evangelical Deaconess Soc.</i>			
<i>Singler v. Journal Co.</i>			
<i>Spaulding v. Wood County</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Buchanan v. Cole</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Wisconsin Tel. Co. v. Henry</i>			
<i>State v. Sorenson</i>			
<i>Turnbull v. State</i>			
<i>Vicker v. O'Connor</i>			
<i>Wisconsin Mut. Plate Glass Ins. Co. v. Guaranteed Bond Co.</i>			
<i>Big Bay Realty Co. v. Rosenberg</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF ALLEN v. GREENE</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF DAVIS: AMERICAN SUR. CO. OF NEW YORK v. SWENBY</i>			
<i>Hegel v. George</i>			
<i>Appeal of Siesel</i>			
<i>Bank of Dallas v. Peterson</i>			
<i>Chicago, M. S. P. & P. R. Co. v. Ricketson Mineral Color Works</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF GHENT: HAMMOND v. GHENT</i>			
<i>Farr v. La Belle Lodge</i>			
<i>First Wisconsin Nat'l Bank v. Carpenter</i>			
<i>Franzen v. G. R. Kinney Co.</i>			
<i>In re Fitton's Will</i>			
<i>In re Jaeger's Will</i>			
<i>Koehler v. State</i>			
<i>Kohler Improv. Co. v. Preder</i>			
<i>Kryl v. Frank Holton & Co.</i>			
<i>Larson v. Watzke</i>			
<i>Milwaukee Electric R. & L. Co. v. South Milwaukee</i>			
<i>Newbern v. State</i>			

(continued on following page)

<i>Norman F. Thiex, Inc. v. General Motors Acceptance Corp.</i>			
<i>Roellig v. Gear</i>			
<i>Sekat v. State</i>			
<i>State v. Bradford</i>			
<i>Terbush v. Boyle</i>			
<i>Thomas v. State</i>			
<i>Wagner v. State</i>			
<i>Falk v. Wisconsin Tax Com.</i>			
<i>Hope Inv. Co. v. Wisconsin Tax Com.</i>			
<i>Malliet v. Super Product Co.</i>			
<i>Peters v. First Nat'l Bank</i>			
<i>Appeal of Van Dyke</i>			
<i>Baker v. Voss</i>			
<i>Byington v. Harper</i>			
<i>De Karske v. Woelffer</i>			
<i>Denning v. Denning</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF HAMILTON: AMERICAN BIBLE SOC'Y v. THRONSON</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF LEHMAN: LEHMAN v. WILHELMS</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF MURPHY v. MCELROY</i>			
<i>Finkelstein v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>First Nat'l Bank & Trust Co. v. Gold</i>			
<i>Hanser v. State</i>			
<i>In re Security Sav. Bank</i>			
<i>Mann v. Reliable Transit Co.</i>			
<i>Nekoosa-Edwards Paper Co. v. Minneapolis, S. P. & S. S. M. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Nelson v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Payne v. Racine</i>			
<i>Pepin v. State</i>			
<i>Scharf v. Hartung</i>			
<i>Standard Oil Co. v. Public Service Com.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. J. A. Sexauer Mfg. Co. v. Grimm</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Torres v. Krawczak</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Trost v. Schinz</i>			
<i>Trickle v. Snyder</i>			
<i>Van De Yacht v. Holland</i>			
<i>Wolf River v. Wisconsin Michigan Power Co.</i>			
<i>Cleary v. Bertrand</i>			
<i>Milwaukee v. West Allis</i>			
<i>Paine v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Buckley v. Brooks</i>			
<i>Chicago, M., S. P. & P. R. Co. v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>CITY OF MILWAUKEE v. RAILROAD COMM'N</i>			
<i>Darling & Co. v. Follett Farms, Inc.</i>			
<i>Guinther v. Milwaukee</i>			
<i>Hayes v. Roffers</i>			
<i>In re Plain State Bank</i>			
<i>Koss v. State</i>			
<i>Nace v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Oleston v. Schoultz</i>			
<i>Schaefer v. Bickel</i>			
<i>Shea v. Industrial Com.</i>			

(continued on following page)

<i>State v. Arnold</i>			
<i>Stuart v. Winnie</i>			
<i>Sullivan v. Baker</i>			
<i>Thayer v. Federal Life Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Vinograd v. Travelers Protective Ass'n</i>			
<i>Wasserman v. Kenosha</i>			
<i>Schmidt v. Sternhagen (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Boedecker v. Schalcowitz (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Happ (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Olson v. Rahr-Green Bay Brewing Corp. (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Siefert v. Sires (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Kosanda (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>In re Will of Dodge (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Stansfield v. Industrial Com. (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Ziolkowski v. Wisconsin Valley Electric Co. (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Badger Mut. Fire Ins. Co. v. Werba (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Chicago & N. W. R. Co. v. Brzezinski (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>In re Will of Taintor (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>La Duc v. Schuster (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Zentner v. Industrial Com. (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Albert Paper & Products Co. v. Schmidt (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Christiansen v. Industrial Com. (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Heinemann v. Hall (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Sorensen v. Vyvyan (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Warren v. Chancellor & Vaughn, Inc. (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Haas v. Racine Hotel Co. (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Poplawski v. Arnold Electric Co. (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>ESTATE OF PEABODY: v. LAWRENCE COLLEGE (6-0)</i>			
<i>Gibson Auto Co. v. Finnegan (6-0)</i>			
<i>M. Carpenter Baking Co. v. Department of Agriculture & Markets (6-0)</i>			
<i>Neith Co-operative Dairy Products Ass'n v. National Cheese Producer's Federation (6-0)</i>			
<i>State v. Drew (6-0)</i>			
<i>Vorclone Corp. v. Larson (6-0)</i>			
<i>American Laundry Machinery Co. v. Larson (6-0)</i>			
<i>Bank of Cashton v. La Crosse County Scandinavian Town Mut. Ins. Co. (6-0)</i>			
<i>Banking Com. of Wisconsin v. Muzik (6-0)</i>			
<i>In re Blomdahl's Will (6-0)</i>			
<i>Brown v. Loewenbach (6-0)</i>			
<i>Cudahy v. Cudahy (6-0)</i>			
<i>Janesville v. Tweedell (6-0)</i>			

(continued on following page)

<i>North End Foundry Co. v. Industrial Com.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Boyd v. State</i> (6-0)			
<i>Doepke v. Reimer</i> (6-0)			
<i>ESTATE OF GROTENRATH: GROTENRATH, Ex'x v. GROTENRATH</i> (6-0)			
<i>ESTATE OF KAISER: KRAUSE v. FIRST WISCONSIN TRUST CO.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Glancy Malleable Iron Co. v. Industrial Com.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Hilam, Inc. v. Petersen Oil Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Hills Dry Goods Co. v. Industrial Com.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Hoffman v. Regling</i> (6-0)			
<i>In re Stack's Will</i> (6-0)			
<i>Kline v. Washington Nat'l Ins. Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Leiminger v. County Highway Committee</i> (6-0)			
<i>Mauermann v. Dixon</i> (6-0)			
<i>Meyer v. Reif</i> (6-0)			
<i>New Dells Lumber Co. v. Pffiffer</i> (6-0)			
<i>Ramsay v. Biemert</i> (6-0)			
<i>Rhineland Paper Co. v. Industrial Com.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Sheeley v. Chippewa County</i> (6-0)			
<i>State ex rel. Rohn Shoe Mfg. Co. v. Industrial Com.</i> (6-0)			
<i>State ex rel. Tracy v. Henry</i> (6-0) [217 Wis. 46]			
<i>State ex rel. Waldorf v. Hill</i> (6-0) (6-0)			
<i>State v. Dairy Distributors, Inc.</i> (6-0)			
<i>State v. Debs</i> (6-0)			
<i>Steele v. Chippewa Falls</i> (6-0)			
<i>Verlinden, Weickhardt, Dornoff Organ Co. v. McDonald</i> (6-0)			
<i>Walter W. Oeflein, Inc. v. Voell</i> (6-0)			
<i>Waube v. Warrington</i> (6-0)			
<i>Weil-McLain Co. v. Maryland Casualty Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Weimer v. Uthus</i> (6-0)			
<i>Woods v. Sentinel-News Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>State ex rel. Cleary v. Hopkins Street Bldg. & Loan Ass'n</i> (6-0)			
<i>Mueller v. O'Leary</i> (6-0)			
<i>Banking Com. of Wisconsin v. Bitker</i> (6-0)			
<i>Bauer v. Franklin State Bank</i> (6-0)			
<i>De Keyser v. National Liberty Ins. Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Drexler v. Zohlen</i> (6-0)			
<i>ESTATE OF FRITZ v. TIERNAN</i> (6-0)			
<i>ESTATE OF GOYK: GOYK v. GOYK</i> (6-0)			
<i>ESTATE OF WALCZAK: WALCZAK v. WALCZAK</i> (6-0)			
<i>ESTATE OF WITTWER: ETTER v. CENTRAL WISCONSIN TRUST CO.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Farmington Mut. Fire Ins. Co. v. Gerhardt</i> (6-0)			

(continued on following page)

<i>Freiburger v. Texas Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Glebke v. Wisconsin Valley Trust Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Graf v. Neith Co-operative Dairy Products Ass'n</i> (6-0)			
<i>Hammann v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin</i> (6-0)			
<i>Johnson v. Crook</i> (6-0)			
<i>Kaczmarek v. F. Rosenberg Elevator Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Kornved v. American Ins. Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Kuebler v. Two Rivers</i> (6-0)			
<i>Lind v. Chicago, M., S. P. & P. R. Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Madison Trust Co. v. Helleckson</i> (6-0)			
<i>National Bank of La Crosse v. Funk</i> (6-0)			
<i>Pallange v. Liberty State Bank</i> (6-0)			
<i>Portage Co-op. Creamery Ass'n v. Sauk County</i> (6-0)			
<i>Reykdal v. Miller</i> (6-0)			
<i>Schweiner v. Kralovetz</i> (6-0)			
<i>State v. Whitfield</i> (6-0)			
<i>Waukesha Nat'l Bank v. Dewey</i> (6-0)			
<i>Bruins v. Brandon Canning Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Associated Leaders of Lumber & Fuel Dealers v. Hildebrand Lumber & Supply Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Delaney v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>ESTATE OF MCASKILL: BAKER v. MCLEOD</i> (6-0)			
<i>ESTATE OF SAUER: SAUER v. GOETSCH</i> (6-0)			
<i>Good v. Starker</i> (6-0)			
<i>Goodsitt v. Richter</i> (6-0)			
<i>In re Hinners' Will</i> (6-0)			
<i>Jefferson Gardens, Inc. v. Terzan</i> (6-0)			
<i>Joachim v. Madison Dental Clinic</i> (6-0)			
<i>Juneau Store Co. v. Badger Mut. Fire Ins. Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Kremer v. Rule</i> (6-0)			
<i>Langdon v. West Allis</i> (6-0)			
<i>Manas v. Hammond</i> (6-0)			
<i>Mars, Inc. v. Chubriilo</i> (6-0)			
<i>Martin v. State</i> (6-0)			
<i>Murphy v. Burns</i> (6-0)			
<i>Rutta v. Industrial Com.</i> (6-0)			
<i>School Dist. v. Industrial Com.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Slama v. Dehmel</i> (6-0)			
<i>State ex rel. Adams v. Radcliffe</i> (6-0)			
<i>State v. Dohn</i> (6-0)			
<i>State v. Loomis</i> (6-0)			
<i>Wisconsin Auto Racing Ass'n v. Home Ins. Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Badger State Bank v. Finkler Motor Car Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Dretzka v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.</i> (6-0)			
<i>ESTATE OF HOWEY: HELZ v. COMMERCIAL NAT'L BANK</i> (6-0)			

(continued on following page)

<i>ESTATE OF PELISHEK: MANITOWOC COUNTY v. EAST WISCONSIN (6-0)</i>			
<i>First Nat'l Bank v. W. J. Durham Lumber Co. (6-0)</i>			
<i>Fleischmann v. Reynolds (6-0)</i>			
<i>Fraudorf v. Schmidt (6-0)</i>			
<i>Julius v. First Nat'l Bank (6-0)</i>			
<i>Loomis v. Industrial Com. of Wisconsin (6-0)</i>			
<i>M. J. Wallrich Land & Lumber Co. v. Ebenreiter (6-0)</i>			
<i>Middleton Lumber & Fuel Co. v. Kosanke (6-0)</i>			
<i>Mielke v. National Reserve Ins. Co. (6-0)</i>			
<i>Modern System Dentists, Inc. v. State Board of Dental Examiners (6-0)</i>			
<i>Monso v. Euler (6-0)</i>			
<i>Oak Grove Farmers' Mut. Ins. Co. v. Almena State Bank (6-0)</i>			
<i>Patterson v. Phillips (6-0)</i>			
<i>Philbrook v. State (6-0)</i>			
<i>Ruben v. Banking Com. of Wisconsin (6-0)</i>			
<i>Rust v. State Board of Dental Examiners (6-0)</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Ledin v. Davison (6-0)</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Nelson v. Henry (6-0)</i>			
<i>State ex rel. North Shore Development Co. v. Axtell (6-0)</i>			
<i>White v. United State Fidelity & Guaranty Co. (6-0)</i>			
<i>Henneman v. Finnegan (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>Zupan v. M. M. Schranz Roofing & Bldg. Supply Co. (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>Chain Belt Co. v. Industrial Com. (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>In re Will of Peterson (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>Spaulding v. Eastman (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>Travelers' Ins. Co. v. Industrial Com. (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>BISMARCK v. DERNEHL (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>Fullington v. Dickson (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>Kendhammer v. Wolf (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>Mashak v. Albrecht (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>Badger Floral Mfg. Co. v. Miller (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>Baumann v. Chicago, M. S. P. & P. R. Co. (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Wetzker (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>In re Will of Trzebiatowski (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>Kelley v. Industrial Com. (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>Lehner v. Kelley (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>Przybyszewski v. Nash Sales, Inc. (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>Reif v. Klurfeld (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			
<i>Sabel v. Sabel (per curiam, 6-0)</i>			

(continued on following page)

<i>Schmidt v. Chicago, M. S. P. & P. R. Co.</i> (per curiam, 6-0)			
<i>Siewert v. Milwaukee</i> (per curiam, 6-0)			
<i>Woshatko v. Zaudke</i> (per curiam, 6-0)			
<i>Bretl v. E. I. Dupont Denemours & Co.</i> (per curiam, 6-0)			
<i>Gibson Co. v. Brown</i> (per curiam, 6-0)			
<i>Guell v. Rusch</i> (per curiam, 6-0)			
<i>Hoepfner v. E. I. Dupont Denemours & Co.</i> (per curiam, 6-0)			
<i>Schultz v. E. I. Dupont Denemours & Co.</i> (per curiam, 6-0)			
<i>Thompson v. E. I. Dupont Denemours & Co.</i> (per curiam, 6-0)			
<i>Weise v. E. I. Dupont Denemours & Co.</i> (per curiam, 6-0)			
<i>In re Trustees of Milwaukee County Orphans' Board</i> (5-0)			

Frequency in the Majority

These tables display how frequently each justice voted in the majority. The first table includes all cases in which a justice voted, while the second table includes only cases decided by split votes.

All Cases

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Wickhem	321	328	98%
Fairchild	323	327	99%
Fritz	322	327	98%
Rosenberry	325	327	99%
Fowler	321	328	98%
Nelson	319	328	97%
Martin	178	178	100%

Non-Unanimous Decisions

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Wickhem	11	18	61%
Fairchild	14	18	78%
Fritz	13	18	72%
Rosenberry	16	18	89%
Fowler	11	18	61%
Nelson	9	18	50%
Martin	14	14	100%

Opinions Authored²

The first table indicates how many majority opinions a justice authored in cases decided by each of the four possible majority vote totals. The second table shows how many concurrences and dissents each justice wrote. If a justice dissented without authoring an opinion, he is not credited.

Opinion Author	7-0 (or 6-0, 5-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3
Wickhem	43	1	1	0
Fairchild	40	0	1	2
Fritz	38	3	1	1
Rosenberry	42	1	1	1
Fowler	42	0	0	1
Nelson	31	2	1	0
Martin	24	0	1	0

Opinion Author	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions
Wickhem	0	3
Fairchild	0	2
Fritz	0	0
Rosenberry	0	0
Fowler	0	7
Nelson	0	2
Martin	0	0

² *Per curiam* decisions are omitted.

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices

The following tables show the percentage of decisions in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all decisions; the second table narrows its focus to decisions that were not unanimous. When reading the *second* table, for instance, one finds that Justices Fritz and Fowler voted together in 44% of the decisions, while the figure for Justices Wickhem and Rosenberry was 72%.

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—All Decisions

	Fairchild	Fritz	Rosenberry	Fowler	Nelson	Martin
Wickhem	318/327=97%	319/327=98%	322/327=98%	316/328=96%	320/328=98%	171/178=96%
	Fairchild	320/327=98%	320/326=98%	318/327=97%	314/327=96%	173/177=98%
		Fritz	319/326=98%	317/327=97%	319/327=98%	173/177=98%
			Rosenberry	318/327=97%	320/327=98%	175/177=99%
				Fowler	318/328=97%	173/178=97%
					Nelson	171/178=96%
						Martin

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—Non-Unanimous Decisions

	Fairchild	Fritz	Rosenberry	Fowler	Nelson	Martin
Wickhem	9/18=50%	10/18=56%	13/18=72%	6/18=33%	10/18=56%	7/14=50%
	Fairchild	11/18=61%	12/18=67%	9/18=50%	5/18=28%	10/14=71%
		Fritz	11/18=61%	8/18=44%	10/18=56%	10/14=71%
			Rosenberry	9/18=50%	11/18=61%	12/14=86%
				Fowler	8/18=44%	9/14=64%
					Nelson	7/14=50%
						Martin