Four-to-Three Decisions

4-3 Alignments

Justices	Total	Case Names	
Wickhem, Rosenberry, Martin, Nelson	2	Johnson v. Bradley Knitting Co.; State v. Hoffman	
Wickhem, Rosenberry, Fowler, Nelson	1	Lester v. State	
Fairchild, Fowler, Martin, Nelson	1	State ex rel. Milwaukee County Republican Committee v. Ames	

4-3 Membership in the Majority

Justice	Votes
Wickhem	3
Fairchild	1
Fritz	0
Rosenberry	3
Fowler	2
Martin	3
Nelson	4

4-3 Majority Opinions Authored

	Opinions
Wickhem	0
Fairchild	0
Fritz	0
Rosenberry	1
Fowler	0
Martin	2
Nelson	1
Total	4

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0) Decisions by Vote Split ¹ 6-1 5-2 4-3				
278/303 (92%)	11/303 (4%)	10/303 (3%)	4/303 (1%)	
State ex rel. Steffes v. Risjord	Buhler v. Department of	Brandt v. Employers'	Johnson v. Bradley Knitting	
Sittle ex rei. Siejjes v. Kisjorti	Agriculture & Markets	Liability Assurance Corp.	Co.	
In re Bowler's Will	Kaczmarzyk v. State	Estate of Tufts v. Conger	Lester v. State	
In re Stanley's Will [228 Wis. 530]	Quinn v. City Cab Co.	State ex rel. Wisconsin	State v. Hoffman	
in to summer s that [220 this edo]		Development Authority v.	State Willogyman	
		Dammann [June 21, 1938]		
Altenberg v. Superior	Callaway v. Kryzen	Stein v. Endres Home	State ex rel. Milwaukee	
U I		Builders	County Republican	
			Committee v. Ames	
Barneveld State Bank v. Rongve	Zuehls v. Zuehls	Gray v. Stadler		
Bennett v. Bennett	In re Risher's Will	Marshall & Ilsley Bank v.		
		Greene		
Dairy Distributors v. Department of	State ex rel. Kappa Sigma Bldg.	In re Sauer's Will		
Agriculture & Markets	Ass'n v. Bareis			
Dunham v. Wisconsin Gas & Electric Co.	Marathon County v. Industrial	Nehring v. Niemerowicz		
	Com.			
Dykstra v. Hartford Acci. & Indem. Co.	Old Line Life Ins. Co. v. Hawn	Blaschke v. Watertown		
Ehrlich v. Frank Holton & Co.	State ex rel. Collins v. Brown	Scobie v. Wisconsin Tax		
		Com.		
Gilkey v. Wisconsin Tax Com.	Bechthold v. Wauwatosa (per			
	<i>curiam</i>) [June 21, 1938]			
Gitlitz v. Plankinton Bldg. Properties				
Hat Box v. Plankinton Bldg. Co.				
Hitz v. Pospychalla				
Homerding v. Pospychalla				
In re Hebblewhite's Will				
In re Kootz' Will				
Kessler v. Olen				
Klug v. Soldner				
Koelbel v. Tecktonius				
Kraut v. State				
Mark v. State				
Mauch v. Chicago, M. S. P. & P. R. Co.				
Moore v. Benjamin				
Peterman v. Industrial Com. [228 Wis. 352]				
Schulz v. Kissling				
State ex rel. Lang v. Civil Court of				
Milwaukee County				
State ex rel. Martin v. Ekern				
State v. Germana				
State v. Withrow				
Wanek v. Kott				
Kalb v. Luce				
Estate of Ott v. Calhoun				
Hermance v. Weisner				
Podell v. State				
Reichert v. Rex Accessories Co.				
Rogers Memorial Sanitarium v. Summit				

¹ In few instances, a justice authored a separate opinion that concurred in part and dissented in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

Victora v. Muscoda		
Wisconsin Labor Relations Board v. Fred		
Rueping Leather Co.		
Banking Commission of Wisconsin v.		
Purves		
Connor v. Chippewa Falls		
Dunville v. Industrial Com.		
Hlubocky v. Schramel		
Jensen v. Jensen		
Juneau v. Badger Co-operative Oil Co.		
Klitzka v. Wheeler Transp. Co.		
Knoll v. Hess		
Lincoln County v. Industrial Com.		
Marshall & Ilsley Bank v. Stepke		
Milwaukee County v. Industrial Com.		
Old Port Brewing Corp. v. C. W. Fischer		
Furniture Co.		
Schwab v. Martin		
State ex rel. Nolan v. Mensing		
State ex rel. Tracy v. Levitan		
State v. Fischer		
State v. Hackbarth		
Birmingham v. State		
London Guarantee & Acci. Co. v.		
Wisconsin Public Service Corp.		
Baker v. Onsrud		
Banking Com. v. Buchanan		
Banks v. Chicago, M., S. P. & P. R. Co.		
Butts v. Ward		
Campbell v. Mickelson		
Chippewa Valley Sec. Co. v. Herbst		
Coughlin v. Milwaukee		
Estate of Bloch v. Bloch		
Estate of Snell v. Crane		
Estate of Tinker v. State Bd. of Control		
Guardian Agency v. Guardian Mut. Sav. Bank		
Harris v. Kunkel		
Horgen v. Chaseburg State Bank		
In re Patton's Will		
Johnson v. Andreassen		
Juergens v. Ritter		
Kar-Lac Co. v. Arnold Electric Co.		
Kuenzi v. Leisten		
Lansing v. John Strange Paper Co.		
Lewiston Drainage Dist. v. Diehl		
Locke v. General Acci. Fire & Life		
Assurance Corp.		
Logan v. Two Rivers		
Marinette County Highway Committee		
Industrial Com.		
Martinson v. Polk County [227 Wis. 444]		
Martinson v. Polk County [227 Wis. 447]		
Minneapolis, S. P. & S. S. M. R. Co. v.		
Industrial Com.		
Schwartzburg v. Rahtjen		
United Shoe Workers, etc. v. Wisconsin		
Labor Relations Board		
Woller v. Oelke		

Doherty v. S. S. Kresge Co.		
First Wisconsin Nat'l Bank v. Pierce		
Gumm v. Koepke		
O'Connell v. Old Line Life Ins. Co.		
Steiger v. Phipps		
Bunn v. Bucknam		
Dromey v. Wisconsin Tax Com.		
Ex parte Willer		
Federal Farm Mortg. Corp. v. Larson		
French v. Continental Assurance Co.		
Hainz v. Kurth		
Hughes v. Wisconsin Tax Com.		
Jansky v. Two Rivers		
Lang v. State		
Meyers v. Thorpe		
Montello Granite Co. v. Industrial Com.		
Schneider v. Anderson		
Sell v. General Electric Supply Corp.		
State ex rel. Zimmerman v. Euclide		
State v. Ross		
Thorson v. Wisconsin Life Ins. Co.		
Waisman v. Wagner		
Frank v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.		
Estate of Mead v. Currie		
Gross v. Hoffman		
Kuske v. Miller Bros. Co.		
Willett v. Stewart		
Wisconsin Compensation Rating &		
Inspection Bureau v. Mortensen		
A. J. Straus Paying Agency v. Caswell		
Bleg. Co. [227 Wis. 353]		
Appeal of Cichon		
Bechthold v. Wauwatosa [February 15, 1938]		
Beck v. Wallmow		
Brown v. Oneida Knitting Mills		
Farmer v. Pick Mfg. Co.		
Green Bay Sugar Co. v. Industrial Com.		
Hartman v. Loverud		
Harvey v. Wheeler Transfer & Storage		
Co.		
Helbig v. Bonsness		
In re Morgan's Will		
Krudwig v. Koepke		
Long v. Wallmow		
McCormick v. Racine		
National Baking Co. v. Zabel		
Nicolai v. Wisconsin Power & Light Co.		
Patterson v. Edgerton Sand & Gravel Co.		
Prudential Ins. Co. v. Cuttone		
Richmond v. Lodi		
State ex rel. Sullivan v. Dammann		
Syrakas v. State		
Waldman v. YMCA		
Wenzel & Henoch Const. Co. v.	 	
Wauwatosa [227 Wis. 26]		
Covnot v. Clayton		
De Bauche v. Green Bay		
Hansen v. Taylor Beverage & Candy Co.		
(continued on following nage)	l .	

Northern Assurance Co. v. Milwaukee		
A. J. Straus Paying Agency v. Jensen [226		
Wis. 462]		
Alropa Corp. v. Flatley		
Binzel v. Oconomowoc Brewing Co.		
Creamery Package Mfg. Co. v. Industrial		
Com. of Wisconsin		
Erbe v. Maes		
Estate of Mierzejewski v. Kramer		
Estate of Smith v. Smith		
First Nat'l Bank & Trust Co. v. Hardy		
Fitzgerald v. Milwaukee Auto. Ins. Co.		
Forbes v. Forbes Gauthier v. Carbonneau		
In re Incorporation of Twin Lakes		
Kassowitz v. Sentinel Co.		
Leide v. State		
Leininger v. Pierce County		
Lueptow v. Schraeder		
Peterson v. Milwaukee		
Petition of Northfield Iron Co.		
St. Mary's Hospital & Training School for		
Nurses v. Atlas Warehouse & Cold		
Storage Co.		
State ex rel. Hull v. Larson		
State ex rel. Wisconsin Development		
Authority v. Dammann [January 11, 1938]		
State v. Michaels		
United States Fidelity & Guaranty Co. v.		
Waukesha Lime & Stone Co.		
Vacek v. Prudential Ins. Co.		
Eck v. Kenosha		
Gifford v. Thur		
New Dells Lumber Co. v. Chicago, S. P.		
M. & O. R. Co. [226 Wis. 628] New Dells Lumber Co. v. Chicago, S. P.,		
M. & O. R. Co. [226 Wis. 614]		
Prudential Ins. Co. v. Kozlowski		
Tande v. Vernon County		
Baird v. Edmonds		
Cudahy v. Tax Comm'n [226 Wis. 342]		
Cudahy v. Wisconsin Tax Com. [226 Wis.		
317]		
Davis v. Kurella		
Farmers & Merchants State Bank v.		
Schulenberg		
Fischer v. State		
Heineman Lumber Co. v. Industrial Com.		
In re Banski's Guardianship		
In re Stanley's Will [226 Wis. 354]		
Klauser v. Reeves		
Kraus v. State		
Nolte-Flint, Inc. v. Water-Wisconsin Co.		
Northwestern Loan & Trust Co. v.		
Bidinger Boarle's Trust & San Back of		
People's Trust & Sav. Bank v. Wassersteen		
Schlintz v. Equitable Life Assurance Soc.		
Stammer v. Kitzmiller		
Diaminet v. Ruzminei		<u> </u>

State ex rel. Ford Hopkins Co. v.	1	1	1
Watertown			
Wisconsin Power & Light Co. v. Public			
Service Com.			
Ottens v. Atlas Assurance Co.			
Cera v. Consolidated Water Power Co.			
James Talcott, Inc. v. Cohen			
Jones v. Preferred Acci. Ins. Co.			
Zeiler v. Zeiler			
Davis v. Schumacher			
Eckstein v. Northwestern Mut. Life Ins.			
Co.			
Fremstad v. Saugstad			
Ft. Howard Paper Co. v. Paper			
Converting Mach. Co.			
Heiden v. Milwaukee			
Knauf v. Diamond Cartage Co.			
Kramer v. Chicago, M. & S. P. & P. R.			
Co.			
Liberty v. Liberty [226 Wis. 136]			
Madison v. Steller			
Miller v. State			
Mollet v. Bloedorn			
Plankinton Bldg. Co. v. Laikin's, Inc.			
Welfare Bldg. & Loan Ass'n v. Krieger			
Gray v. Lord			
Kettenhofen v. Sterling Oil Co.			
Stewart v. Kenosha County			
Sweitzer v. Fox			
Curt v. Industrial Comm'n			
Duluth-Superior Milling Co. v. Industrial Com.			
Foelske v. Tegtmeyer			
Fox v. Racine			
Gannon v. Chaseburg State Bank			
Henderson v. Hoesley			
Home Owners' Loan Corp. v. Dougherty			
In re Bate's Will			
In re Heck's Guardianship			
In re Willard [225 Wis. 562]			
In re Willard [225 Wis. 553]			
Kuchenreuther v. Chicago, M., S. P. & P.			
R. Co.			
Lewis v. Wisconsin Banking Com.			
Odanah Iron Co. v. Industrial Com.			
Palmisano v. Century Indem. Co.			
Parker v. Wisconsin Tax Com.			
Schuster v. Bridgeman			
Stevens v. Jacobs			
Sullivan v. Standard Brass Works			
Wanke v. Kreul			
Wenzel & Henoch Const. Co. v.			
Wauwatosa [226 Wis. 10]			
In re Estate of Hager (per curiam, 7-0)			
In re Will of Elsen (per curiam, 7-0)			
Schlueter v. Whealon (per curiam, 7-0)			
Conners v. Scullion (per curiam, 7-0)			
In re Will of Rosewall (per curiam, 7-0)			
Lerum v. Davidson (per curiam, 7-0)			

D # 7 . (. 7.0)	ı	ı
Borrelli v. Trezise (per curiam, 7-0)		
In re Estate of Hellickson (per curiam, 7-		
0) M-F-11		
McFadden v. Hudson (per curiam, 7-0) Narum v. Ashman (per curiam, 7-0)		
Quinn v. Haas (per curiam, 7-0)		
Clare v. Miller (per curiam, 7-0)		
Mananian v. Kaiserlain (per curiam, 7-0)		
Bukolt v. Neva (per curiam, 7-0)		
D'Amato v. Cash (per curiam, 7-0)		
In re Estate of Shriner (per curiam, 7-0)		
Rossbach v. Dlouhy (per curiam, 7-0)		
Tangney v. F. F. Mengel Co. (per curiam,		
7-0)		
Welch v. Henry (per curiam, 7-0)		
Back v. Schroeder (per curiam, 7-0)		
Friedman v. Brunswick-Balke-Collender		
Co. (per curiam, 7-0)		
In re Estate of Rasmussen (per curiam, 7-		
0)		
In re Will of Hammer (per curiam, 7-0)		
Petersen v. Industrial Com. (per curiam,		
7-0) [226 Wis. 675]		
Quiner v. Industrial Com. (per curiam, 7-		
0)		
State v. Industrial Comm'n (per curiam, 7-		
0)		
Szumiel v. Zimowicz (per curiam, 7-0)		
Brand v. Sheboygan Dry Goods Co. (per		
curiam, 7-0)		
Doughten v. Kennedy (per curiam, 7-0)		
Eisenbart v. Lindsay (per curiam, 7-0) In re Estate of Wegner (per curiam, 7-0)		
Schmitz v. Lehigh Valley R. Co. (per		
curiam, 7-0)		
Schumacher v. Industrial Com. (per		
curiam, 7-0)		
Consolidated Water Power & Paper Co.		
v. Industrial Com. (per curiam, 7-0)		
In re Estate of Gilford (per curiam, 7-0)		
National Acceptance Corp. v. Porter (per		
curiam, 7-0)		
Thousand v. Ray (per curiam, 7-0)		
Yule v. Scheckler (per curiam, 7-0)		
Brown v. Ralston Scales Corp. (per		
<i>curiam</i> , 7-0)		
Sharon v. Superior (per curiam, 7-0)		
Peplinski v. Milis (per curiam, 7-0)		
State ex rel. Hampel v. Mitten (6-0)		
Shemick v. Menomiee River Boom Co. (6-		
0)		
Norman v. Kernan (6-0)		
Sova v. Ries (6-0)		

Frequency in the Majority

These tables display how frequently each justice voted in the majority. The first table includes all cases in which a justice voted, while the second table includes only cases decided by split votes.

All Cases

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Wickhem	299	302	99%
Fairchild	290	303	96%
Fritz	292	301	97%
Rosenberry	299	303	99%
Fowler	294	303	97%
Martin	300	302	99%
Nelson	300	303	99%

Non-Unanimous Decisions

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Wickhem	22	25	88%
Fairchild	12	25	48%
Fritz	16	25	64%
Rosenberry	21	25	84%
Fowler	16	25	64%
Martin	23	25	92%
Nelson	22	25	88%

Opinions Authored²

The first table indicates how many majority opinions a justice authored in cases decided by each of the four possible majority vote totals. The second table shows how many concurrences and dissents each justice wrote. If a justice dissented without authoring an opinion, he is not credited.

Opinion Author	7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1	5-2	4-3
Wickhem	31	3	1	0
Fairchild	35	0	2	0
Fritz	33	1	1	0
Rosenberry	32	1	3	1
Fowler	40	2	2	0
Martin	36	1	0	2
Nelson	30	2	1	1

Opinion Author	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions
Wickhem	0	0
Fairchild	0	7
Fritz	1	2
Rosenberry	0	2
Fowler	0	7
Martin	0	0
Nelson	0	1

² *Per curiam* decisions are omitted.

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices

The following tables show the percentage of decisions in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all decisions; the second table narrows its focus to decisions that were not unanimous. When reading the <u>second</u> table, for instance, one finds that Justices Fritz and Fowler voted together in 46% of the decisions, while the figure for Justices Wickhem and Rosenberry was 88%.

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—All Decisions

	Fairchild	Fritz	Rosenberry	Fowler	Martin	Nelson
Wickhem	286/302= 95%	290/300= 97%	299/302= 99%	290/302= 96%	296/301= 98%	296/302= 98%
	Fairchild	287/301= 95%	288/303= 95%	289/303= 95%	291/302= 96%	291/303= 96%
·		Fritz	290/301= 96%	287/301= 95%	291/300= 97%	289/301= 96%
			Rosenberry	292/303= 96%	296/302= 98%	296/303= 98%
				Fowler	291/302= 96%	293/303= 97%
					Martin	297/302= 98%
						Nelson

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—Non-Unanimous Decisions

	Fairchild	Fritz	Rosenberry	Fowler	Martin	Nelson
Wickhem	10/26= 38%	16/26= 62%	23/26= 88%	14/26= 54%	21/26= 81%	20/26= 77%
	Fairchild	12/26= 46%	11/26= 42%	12/26= 46%	15/26= 58%	14/26= 54%
		Fritz	15/26= 58%	12/26= 46%	17/26= 65%	14/26= 54%
			Rosenberry	15/26= 58%	20/26= 77%	19/26= 73%
				Fowler	15/26= 58%	16/26= 62%
			·		Martin	21/26=81%
						Nelson