

Decisions by Vote Split<sup>1</sup>

<b>7-0 (or 6-0)</b>	<b>6-1</b>	<b>5-2 (or 4-2)</b>	<b>4-3</b>
<b>16/45 (36%)</b>	<b>5/45 (11%)</b>	<b>10/45 (22%)</b>	<b>14/45 (31%)</b>
<i>Saint John's Communities, Inc. v. City of Milwaukee</i>	<i>Secura Supreme Insurance Company v. The Estate of Daniel Keith Huck</i>	<i>State v. Jeffrey L. Moeser</i>	<i>Lindsey Dostal v. Curtis Strand</i>
<i>State v. Christopher D. Wilson</i>	<i>State v. Jovan T. Mull</i>	<i>Rachel Slabey v. Dunn County, Wisconsin</i>	<i>Citation Partners, LLC v. Wisconsin Department of Revenue</i>
<i>State v. Jeffrey L. Hineman</i>	<i>Allen Gahl v. Aurora Health Care, Inc.</i>	<i>State v. Tomas Jaymitchell Hoyle</i>	<i>Beatriz Banuelos v. University of Wisconsin Hospitals and Clinics Authority</i>
<i>Lowe's Home Centers, LLC v. City of Delavan</i>	<i>Wisconsin Justice Initiative, Inc. v. Wisconsin Elections Commission</i>	<i>Louis Pagoudis v. Marcus Keidl</i>	<i>Femala Fleming v. Amateur Athletic Union of the United States, Inc.</i>
<i>State v. Oscar C. Thomas</i>	<i>State v. Wilson P. Anderson (per curiam)</i>	<i>State v. Alan S. Johnson</i>	<i>State v. Corey T. Rector</i>
<i>Marilyn Casanova v. Michael S. Polsky, Esq.</i>		<i>State v. Michael K. Fermanich</i>	<i>Greenwald Family Limited Partnership v. Village of Mukwonago</i>
<i>Milwaukee Police Supervisors Organization v. City of Milwaukee</i>		<i>5 Walworth, LLC v. Engerman Contracting, Inc.</i>	<i>Allsop Venture Partners III v. Murphy Desmond SC</i>
<i>State v. Robert K. Nietzold, Sr.</i>		<i>State v. James P. Killian</i>	<i>State v. Junior L. Williams-Holmes</i>
<i>DEKK Property Development, LLC v. Wisconsin Department of Transportation</i>		<i>Walworth County v. M.R.M.</i>	<i>State v. Quaheem O. Moore</i>
<i>Green Bay Professional Police Association v. City of Green Bay</i>		<i>State v. A. G. (4-2)</i>	<i>Derrick A. Sanders v. State of Wisconsin Claims Board</i>
<i>State v. Garland Dean Barnes</i>			<i>ACUITY v. Estate of Michael Shimeta</i>
<i>Thomas G. Miller v. Zoning Board of Appeals of the Village of Lyndon Station</i>			<i>State v. Mitchell D. Green</i>
<i>State v. Eric J. Debrow</i>			<i>Matthew W. Murphy v. Columbus McKinnon Corporation</i>
<i>Wisconsin Property Taxpayers, Inc. v. Town of Buchanan</i>			<i>State v. Charles W. Richey</i>
<i>Nancy Kormanik v. William Brash (per curiam)</i>			
<i>State v. Larry L. Jackson (6-0)</i>			

<sup>1</sup> Occasionally a decision finds a justice concurring in part and dissenting in part. For this table, and those prepared for other years, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.