

Four-to-Three Decisions

4-3 Alignments

Justices	Total	Case Names
Fritz, Rosenberry, Martin, Nelson	1	<i>Holland v. Cedar Grove</i>
Wickhem, Rosenberry, Martin, Nelson	1	<i>Barth v. Vil. Shorewood</i>

4-3 Membership in the Majority

Justice	Votes
Wickhem	1
Fairchild	0
Fritz	1
Rosenberry	2
Fowler	0
Martin	2
Nelson	2

4-3 Majority Opinions Authored

	Opinions
Wickhem	0
Fairchild	0
Fritz	0
Rosenberry	2
Fowler	0
Martin	0
Nelson	0
Total	2

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0) 313/337 (93%)	6-1 16/337 (5%)	5-2 6/337 (2%)	4-3 2/337 (1%)
<i>Wisconsin Tel. Co. v. Public Service Com.</i>	<i>In re Rauchfuss's Estate</i>	<i>Haskins v. Thenell</i>	<i>Holland v. Cedar Grove</i>
<i>Wisconsin Tel. Co. v. PSC</i>	<i>National Guernsey Dairy, Inc. v. Department of Agric. & Mkts.</i>	<i>Rhea Mfg. Co. v. Industrial Com.</i>	<i>Barth v. Vil. Shorewood</i>
<i>Golden Harvest Dairy Co. v. Department of Agriculture & Markets</i>	<i>Bourestom v. Bourestom</i>	<i>Setzkorn v. Admil, Inc.</i>	
<i>Brinckley v. Sager</i>	<i>State v. Brozyna</i>	<i>Hustad v. Evetts</i>	
<i>Cleveland v. Industrial Com.</i>	<i>Barlow & Seelig Mfg. Co. v. Patch</i>	<i>Banking Com. v. Prudential Inv. Co.</i>	
<i>Dahlberg v. Jones</i>	<i>Gutknecht v. C. A. Lawton Co.</i>	<i>Voswinkel v. Industrial Com.</i>	
<i>Federal Paving Corp. v. Wauwatosa</i>	<i>In re West's Estate</i>		
<i>In re Doe's Will</i>	<i>Carpenter v. Spring Green</i>		
<i>In re Gutenkunst's Estate</i>	<i>Bainbridge v. Bainbridge</i>		
<i>In re Stevens' Will</i>	<i>Finnegan v. McGavock</i>		
<i>Jones v. Preferred Acci. Ins. Co.</i>	<i>Renich v. Klein</i>		
<i>Jos. Schlitz Brewing Co. v. City of Wilwaukee</i>	<i>In re Kalskop's Will</i>		
<i>Kaegl v. Industrial Comm'n</i>	<i>Halvorsen v. Peterson</i>		
<i>Rosenow v. Schmidt</i>	<i>In re Builders' Mut. Casualty Co.</i>		
<i>Slocum Straw Works v. Industrial Com.</i>	<i>Lundberg v. University of Notre Dame</i>		
<i>State ex rel. Cowie v. La Crosse Theaters Co.</i>	<i>Estate of Townsend v. Bloomfield</i>		
<i>State ex rel. Voelkel v. Thiessen</i>			
<i>State v. Richter</i>			
<i>State v. Wagner</i>			
<i>Van Dale v. Karon</i>			
<i>Wisconsin Power & Light Co. v. Public Service Com. [232 Wis. 43]</i>			
<i>Wisconsin Power & Light Co. v. Public Service Com. [232 Wis. 59]</i>			
<i>State v. Baker</i>			
<i>Bohlmann v. Penn Electric Corp.</i>			
<i>Gagnon v. Department of Agriculture & Markets</i>			
<i>Alexander v. Wald</i>			
<i>Arneson v. Buggs</i>			
<i>Beck v. Fond Du Lac Highway Committee</i>			
<i>Bitzke v. Folger</i>			
<i>Blooming Grove v. Roselawn Memorial Park Co.</i>			
<i>Brabazon v. Joannes Bros. Co.</i>			
<i>Cameron v. Heinze</i>			
<i>Carl Miller Lumber Co. v. Federal Home Development Co.</i>			
<i>Emerich v. Bigsby</i>			
<i>Euclide v. State</i>			

(continued on following page)

¹ Due to rounding, the percentages do not add up to one hundred. In few instances, a justice authored a separate opinion that concurred in part and dissented in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

<i>Guenther v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Haas v. Moloch Foundry & Machine Co.</i>			
<i>In re Kniffen's Estate</i>			
<i>Kaminski v. Standard Oil Co.</i>			
<i>Kickapoo Dev. Corp. v. Kickapoo Orchard Co.</i>			
<i>La Crosse Rendering Works v. La Crosse</i>			
<i>Levner v. Northland-Greyhound Lines</i>			
<i>Maas v. Lutz</i>			
<i>Munkwitz Realty & Inv. Co. v. Diederich Schaefer Co.</i>			
<i>Osgood Co. v. Peterson Const. Co.</i>			
<i>Petition of Salen</i>			
<i>Stanislawski v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Cooper v. Baumann</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Johnson v. Aarons</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Kuhn v. Luchsinger</i>			
<i>White Eagle Bldg. & Loan Ass'n v. Freyer</i>			
<i>Wisconsin Power & Light Co. v. Public Serv. Com.</i> [231 Wis. 390]			
<i>In re Campbell's Estate</i>			
<i>Schworer v. Einberger</i>			
<i>Beers v. Atlas Assurance Co.</i>			
<i>Feiges v. Racine Dry Goods Co.</i> [231 Wis. 270]			
<i>Feiges v. Racine Dry Goods Co.</i> [231 Wis. 284]			
<i>Folding Furniture Works v. Wisconsin Labor Relations Board</i>			
<i>Hermance v. Braun</i>			
<i>Home Owners' Loan Corp. v. Robinson</i>			
<i>In re Advance Woodwork Co.</i>			
<i>In re Cullen's Estate</i>			
<i>In re Schlicht's Estate</i>			
<i>Jenks v. Hetzel</i>			
<i>Lindgren v. La Crosse County</i>			
<i>Madison Airport Co. v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Nygaard v. Wadhams Oil Co.</i>			
<i>Osterloh v. Osterloh</i>			
<i>Qualley v. Zimmerman</i>			
<i>State ex rel. International Business Machine Corp. v. Board of Review</i>			
<i>State ex rel. International Business Machine Corp. v. Neseemann</i>			
<i>Stelzner v. Boehme</i>			
<i>T. F. Pagel Lumber Co. v. Webster</i>			
<i>Walsh v. Richland Center</i>			
<i>Woman's Home Companion Reading Club v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Cegelski v. Green Bay</i>			
<i>Gaenslen v. Northwestern Mut. Life Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Hansen v. Storandt</i>			
<i>In re Blahnik's Estate</i>			
<i>In re Pfeiffer's Will</i>			
<i>Jaspersen v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Kewaunee, G. B. & W. R. Co. v. Green Bay</i>			
<i>Kisten v. Kisten</i> [231 Wis. 22]			

(continued on following page)

<i>Lawrence v. Children's Home & Aid Soc.</i>			
<i>Manitowoc v. Manitowoc Rapids</i>			
<i>Northern Trust Co. v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Peloquin v. Hibner</i>			
<i>Ray v. State</i>			
<i>Simon v. Lecker</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Attorney Gen. v. Manske</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Jackson v. Leicht</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Leverance v. Prey</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Littig v. Superior Court of Dane County</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Madison Airport Co. v. Wrabetz</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Monty v. Tilleson</i>			
<i>Unger v. State</i>			
<i>Western Casualty & Surety Co. v. Shafton</i>			
<i>Bjordan v. Town Board of Delavan</i>			
<i>Central Wisconsin Trust Co. v. Schumacher</i>			
<i>Cleary v. Boyle</i>			
<i>Employers Mut. Liability Ins. Co. v. Industrial Com. [230 Wis. 670]</i>			
<i>Estate of DeWitt v. Kettenhofen</i>			
<i>Hannon v. Hannon</i>			
<i>Hansberry v. Dunn</i>			
<i>In re Blackburn's Estate</i>			
<i>In re Home's Will</i>			
<i>In re Koehring's Will</i>			
<i>In re McCanna's Estate</i>			
<i>In re Raasch's Will</i>			
<i>In re Ziehlke's Will</i>			
<i>Karass v. Marquardt</i>			
<i>Liebenstein v. Eisele</i>			
<i>Luebke v. Watertown</i>			
<i>Madden v. Reeve</i>			
<i>Markovich v. Schlafke</i>			
<i>Marshall v. Marshall</i>			
<i>McCartie v. Mutil</i>			
<i>Mitchell v. Mitchell</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Attorney Gen. v. John F. Jelke Co.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Martin v. Reis</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Ryan v. Kjelstad</i>			
<i>Steenis v. Appleton</i>			
<i>Sundermann v. Heinrich</i>			
<i>Swenson v. Van Harpen</i>			
<i>Switzer v. Weiner</i>			
<i>Wilson v. Sheboygan</i>			
<i>Wisconsin Public Service Com. v. Public Service Com.</i>			
<i>Petition of Heil</i>			
<i>Anheuser v. West Lawn Cemetery Co.</i>			
<i>Employers Mut. Liability Ins. Co. v. Industrial Com. [230 Wis. 374]</i>			
<i>Geyer v. Milwaukee Electric R. & L. Co.</i>			
<i>Grand Chute v. Milwaukee County</i>			
<i>Greenbush v. Plymouth</i>			
<i>Herrick v. Luberts</i>			
<i>In re Kamba's Estate</i>			

(continued on following page)

<i>In re Roebken's Will</i>			
<i>In re Williams' Estate</i>			
<i>Kenyon v. State</i>			
<i>Linschitz v. C. A. Neuberger Co.</i>			
<i>Markowitz v. Milwaukee Electric R. & L. Co.</i>			
<i>Marshall & Ilsley Bank v. Ewig</i>			
<i>Maryland Casualty Co. v. Industrial Com.</i> [230 Wis. 363]			
<i>Northwestern Nat'l Ins. Co. v. Mortensen</i>			
<i>Page v. Milwaukee County</i>			
<i>Pessin v. Fox Head Waukesha Corp.</i>			
<i>Peters v. Chicago, M. S. P. & P. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Ray v. Milwaukee Auto. Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Schwingel v. Boyd</i>			
<i>Sikora v. Great Northern R. Co.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Dinneen v. Larson</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Northwestern Development Corp. v. Gehrz</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Ryan v. Board of Education</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Schmidtkunz v. Webb</i>			
<i>State v. Sinclair Refining Co.</i>			
<i>State v. Slowe</i>			
<i>Webster v. Krembs</i>			
<i>Badger Sav. Bldg. & Loan Ass'n v. Mutual Bldg. & Sav. Ass'n</i>			
<i>Blum Bros. Box Co. v. Wisconsin Labor Relations Board</i>			
<i>Delap v. Parcell</i>			
<i>Dierkes v. White Paving Co.</i>			
<i>Duame v. Feltus</i>			
<i>Estate of Campbell v. Jensch</i>			
<i>Estate of Dammann v. Dammann</i>			
<i>Estate of Hogan v. Hogan</i>			
<i>Fischer v. London Guarantee & Acci. Co.</i>			
<i>Hofmeister v. Henter</i>			
<i>In re Cortte's Estate</i>			
<i>In re Cox's Estate</i>			
<i>In re Nieman's Estate</i>			
<i>In re Nunnemacher's Will</i>			
<i>In re Rahn's Estate</i>			
<i>International Wire Works v. Hanover Fire Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Liskowitz v. State</i>			
<i>Milton v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Milwaukee Board of Fire Underwriters v. Badger Mut. Fire Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Milwaukee v. Snyder</i>			
<i>Munsert v. Farmers Mut. Auto Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Nale v. Hunter</i>			
<i>Prentiss Wabers Products Co. v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Reetz v. Kitch</i>			
<i>Shawano County v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Paulson v. Town Board of Perry</i>			
<i>State v. Martin</i>			
<i>United States Fidelity & Guar. Co. v. Pullen</i>			

(continued on following page)

<i>State ex rel. Zimmerman v. Dammann</i>			
<i>Andrew v. Brecker</i>			
<i>Bartz v. State</i>			
<i>Estate of Richardson v. Estate of Richardson</i>			
<i>Estreen v. Fire Ass'n of Philadelphia</i>			
<i>Fetzer v. State Bank of Forestville</i>			
<i>Hall v. McDonald</i>			
<i>Heffling v. Best</i>			
<i>Hills Dry Goods Co. v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Hoar v. Rasmusen</i>			
<i>In re Burke</i>			
<i>In re Coloma State Bank</i>			
<i>In re Gallagher's Estate</i>			
<i>Kisten v. Kisten [229 Wis. 479]</i>			
<i>Littig v. Littig</i>			
<i>Mattox v. Gibson</i>			
<i>Meacham Inv. Co. v. Rubin</i>			
<i>Parrish v. Phillips</i>			
<i>Prime Mfg. Co. v. A. F. Gallun & Sons Corp.</i>			
<i>Schnick v. National Surety Corp.</i>			
<i>State v. Evans</i>			
<i>State v. Wiedenfeld</i>			
<i>Tregloan v. Hayden</i>			
<i>Wald v. Mitten</i>			
<i>Weddecky v. Grimes</i>			
<i>Wedel v. Klein</i>			
<i>Zuehlke v. Engel</i>			
<i>Anderson v. Riegel</i>			
<i>Burant v. Studzinski</i>			
<i>Dallmann v. Kluchesky</i>			
<i>Federal Corp. v. Radtke</i>			
<i>First Trust Co. v. Maxcy</i>			
<i>Gillett State Bank v. Knaack</i>			
<i>Gimbel Bros. v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Hardware Mut. Casualty Co. v. Milwaukee Auto. Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Harnischfeger Sales Corp. v. Kehrein Bros.</i>			
<i>Hayden v. Newman</i>			
<i>In re Paulsen's Guardianship</i>			
<i>Isaacs v. Milwaukee Chair Co.</i>			
<i>Jolitz v. Fintch</i>			
<i>Kerler v. Evangelical Emanuel's Church</i>			
<i>Kreutzer v. Gallagher</i>			
<i>Masek v. Bubenheimer</i>			
<i>Milwaukee v. Roberts</i>			
<i>Milwaukee v. Taylor</i>			
<i>Pappas v. McDonough</i>			
<i>Podell v. Gronik</i>			
<i>Popp v. Yuenger</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Orvis v. Evans</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Peters v. Sleeman</i>			
<i>Waterman v. Heinemann Bros. Co.</i>			
<i>Benedict v. Berg</i>			
<i>Ehrlich v. Frank Holton & Co.</i>			
<i>Ellinger v. Wisconsin Tax Com.</i>			

(continued on following page)

<i>Employers Mut. Liability Ins. Co. v. Industrial Com.</i> [229 Wis. 121]			
<i>Estate of Duwe v. Woelffer</i>			
<i>Geason v. Schaefer</i>			
<i>Govenor ex rel. Kadin v. Bristol</i>			
<i>Hancock v. Hallmann</i>			
<i>Hanser v. Hanser</i>			
<i>Heckel v. Standard Gateway Theater</i>			
<i>Ladegaard v. Connell</i>			
<i>Laurent v. Plain</i>			
<i>Le May v. Oconto</i>			
<i>Midland Nat'l Bank & Trust Co. v. Peterson</i>			
<i>Milwaukee Auto. Ins. Co. v. Felten</i>			
<i>Morris F. Fox & Co. v. State</i>			
<i>Murphy v. National Paving Co.</i>			
<i>Ottstadt v. Jardine</i>			
<i>Schweers Hardware Co. v. Davids</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Thein v. Milwaukee</i>			
<i>Webb v. Beloit</i>			
<i>State v. Brockman</i> (6-0)			
<i>Banking Com. of Wisconsin v. Hamilton</i> (6-0)			
<i>In re Will of Voellings</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Vandenberg v. Industrial Com.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Zimmermann v. Walgreen Co.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>A. F. Wagner Iron Works v. Convoy Motor Freight</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Fritsch v. Fritsch</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Rehder v. Lape</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Schloff v. Braff</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>In re Estate of Pine</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>In re Will of Dorn</i> (per curiam, 7-0) [231 Wis. 678]			
<i>In re Will of Dorn</i> (per curiam, 7-0) [231 Wis. 679]			
<i>Liberty Frock Co. v. Robbins</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Melby v. Kingsbury Breweries Co.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Meress v. Chicago, M. S. P. & P. R. Co.</i> (per curiam, 7-0) [231 Wis. 678]			
<i>Meress v. Chicago, M. S. P. & P. R. Co.</i> (per curiam, 7-0) [231 Wis. 679]			
<i>Kalb v. Feuerstein</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Kalb v. Luce</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Beierle, Inc. v. Berg</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Fronczek v. Sink</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Milwaukee Dental Clinic v. Finn</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Acres v. Fromm</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Bankers Farm Mortg. Co. v. Industrial Com.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>First Wisconsin Trust Co. v. O'Donnell</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>In re Will of Cleveland</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			

(continued on following page)

<i>Johnston v. Keeshin Motor Express Co.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Hauerwas v. Zimmerman</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Angelski v. Industrial Com.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Blunk v. Estate of Meyer</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Maryland Casualty Co. v. Industrial Com.</i> (per curiam, 7-0) [230 Wis. 690]			
<i>Paque v. Knaus</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Stadelbauer v. Mayville</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Townsend v. Industrial Com.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Bank of Edgar v. Edgar Basket & Mfg. Co.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Erny Basket Co. v. Bank of Edgar</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Kiedrowski v. White</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Kilp v. Krueger</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Calumet v. Industrial Com.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>In re Estate of Ross</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>In re Will of Morss</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Lang v. Industrial Com.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Rohde v. Gessert</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Brooks v. Industrial Com.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Dahl v. Bank of Dallas</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Ely v. McDonough</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>In re Estate of Hamilton</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Maierle v. Boynton Cab Co.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Wisconsin Appleton Co. v. Industrial Com.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Yessa v. Nuxoll</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Bludau v. Industrial Com.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Hardy v. Milwaukee Electric R. & L. Co.</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Neupert</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>In re Guardianship of Mandsager</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Oshkosh Bldg. Loan & Sav. Ass'n v. Sage</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Plaettner v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Sherwood State Bank v. Zahn</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			
<i>Thompson v. Bareis</i> (per curiam, 7-0)			

Frequency in the Majority

These tables display how frequently each justice voted in the majority. The first table includes all cases in which a justice voted, while the second table includes only cases decided by split votes.

All Cases

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Wickhem	333	336	99%
Fairchild	327	337	97%
Fritz	327	337	97%
Rosenberry	335	337	99%
Fowler	332	337	99%
Martin	334	336	99%
Nelson	335	337	99%

Non-Unanimous Decisions

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Wickhem	21	24	88%
Fairchild	14	24	58%
Fritz	14	24	58%
Rosenberry	22	24	92%
Fowler	19	24	79%
Martin	22	24	92%
Nelson	22	24	92%

Opinions Authored²

The first table indicates how many majority opinions a justice authored in cases decided by each of the four possible majority vote totals. The second table shows how many concurrences and dissents each justice wrote. If a justice dissented without authoring an opinion, he is not credited.

Opinion Author	7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1	5-2	4-3
Wickhem	41	3	1	0
Fairchild	29	4	1	0
Fritz	41	3	0	0
Rosenberry	24	1	0	2
Fowler	47	1	1	0
Martin	34	2	1	0
Nelson	41	2	2	0

Opinion Author	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions
Wickhem	0	1
Fairchild	0	5
Fritz	0	0
Rosenberry	0	1
Fowler	0	4
Martin	0	0
Nelson	0	0

² *Per curiam* decisions are omitted.

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices

The following tables show the percentage of decisions in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all decisions; the second table narrows its focus to decisions that were not unanimous. When reading the *second* table, for instance, one finds that Justices Fritz and Fowler voted together in 46% of the decisions, while the figure for Justices Wickhem and Rosenberry was 79%.

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—All Decisions

	Fairchild	Fritz	Rosenberry	Fowler	Martin	Nelson
Wickhem	325/336=97%	325/336=97%	331/336=99%	330/336=98%	330/335=99%	331/336=99%
	Fairchild	319/337=95%	325/337=96%	326/337=97%	328/336=98%	325/337=96%
		Fritz	329/337=98%	324/337=96%	324/336=96%	327/337=97%
			Rosenberry	330/337=98%	332/336=99%	333/337=99%
				Fowler	329/336=98%	330/337=98%
					Martin	332/336=99%
						Nelson

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—Non-Unanimous Decisions

	Fairchild	Fritz	Rosenberry	Fowler	Martin	Nelson
Wickhem	13/24=54%	13/24=54%	19/24=79%	18/24=75%	19/24=79%	19/24=79%
	Fairchild	6/24=25%	12/24=50%	13/24=54%	16/24=67%	12/24=50%
		Fritz	16/24=67%	11/24=46%	12/24=50%	14/24=58%
			Rosenberry	17/24=71%	20/24=83%	20/24=83%
				Fowler	17/24=71%	17/24=71%
					Martin	20/24=83%
						Nelson