

STATISTICS ON WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, 2022-23

Four-to-Three Decisions

4-3 Alignments

Justices	Total	Case Names
A.W. Bradley, Dallet, Hagedorn, Karofsky	7	<i>Lindsey Dostal v. Curtis Strand</i> ; <i>Citation Partners, LLC v. Wisconsin Department of Revenue</i> ; <i>Beatriz Banuelos v. University of Wisconsin Hospitals and Clinics Authority</i> ; <i>State v. Corey T. Rector</i> ; <i>Greenwald Family Limited Partnership v. Village of Mukwonago</i> ; <i>Allsop Venture Partners III v. Murphy Desmond SC</i> ; <i>State v. Junior L. Williams-Holmes</i>
Roggensack, Ziegler, RG Bradley, Hagedorn	3	<i>Femala Fleming v. Amateur Athletic Union of the United States, Inc.</i> ; <i>State v. Quaheem O. Moore</i> ; <i>Derrick A. Sanders v. State of Wisconsin Claims Board</i>
A.W. Bradley, Roggensack, Dallet, Karofsky	2	<i>ACUITY v. Estate of Michael Shimeta</i> ; <i>Matthew W. Murphy v. Columbus McKinnon Corporation</i>
Roggensack, Ziegler, R.G. Bradley, Karofsky	1	<i>State v. Mitchell D. Green</i>
A.W. Bradley; R.G. Bradley, Dallet, Karofsky	1	<i>State v. Charles W. Richey</i>

Membership in the Majority

Justice	Votes
A.W. Bradley	10
Roggensack	6
Ziegler	4
R.G. Bradley	5
Dallet	10
Hagedorn	10
Karofsky	11

Majority Opinions Authored

Justice	Opinions
A.W. Bradley	3
Roggensack	1
Ziegler	1
R.G. Bradley	2
Dallet	2
Hagedorn	3
Karofsky	2
Total	14

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0) 16/45 (36%)	6-1 5/45 (11%)	5-2 (or 4-2) 10/45 (22%)	4-3 14/45 (31%)
<i>Saint John's Communities, Inc. v. City of Milwaukee</i>	<i>Secura Supreme Insurance Company v. The Estate of Daniel Keith Huck</i>	<i>State v. Jeffrey L. Moeser</i>	<i>Lindsey Dostal v. Curtis Strand</i>
<i>State v. Christopher D. Wilson</i>	<i>State v. Jovan T. Mull</i>	<i>Rachel Slabey v. Dunn County, Wisconsin</i>	<i>Citation Partners, LLC v. Wisconsin Department of Revenue</i>
<i>State v. Jeffrey L. Hineman</i>	<i>Allen Gahl v. Aurora Health Care, Inc.</i>	<i>State v. Tomas Jaymitchell Hoyle</i>	<i>Beatriz Banuelos v. University of Wisconsin Hospitals and Clinics Authority</i>
<i>Lowe's Home Centers, LLC v. City of Delavan</i>	<i>Wisconsin Justice Initiative, Inc. v. Wisconsin Elections Commission</i>	<i>Louis Pagoudis v. Marcus Keidl</i>	<i>Femala Fleming v. Amateur Athletic Union of the United States, Inc.</i>
<i>State v. Oscar C. Thomas</i>	<i>State v. Wilson P. Anderson (per curiam)</i>	<i>State v. Alan S. Johnson</i>	<i>State v. Corey T. Rector</i>
<i>Marilyn Casanova v. Michael S. Polsky, Esq.</i>		<i>State v. Michael K. Fermanich</i>	<i>Greenwald Family Limited Partnership v. Village of Mukwonago</i>
<i>Milwaukee Police Supervisors Organization v. City of Milwaukee</i>		<i>5 Walworth, LLC v. Engerman Contracting, Inc.</i>	<i>Allsop Venture Partners III v. Murphy Desmond SC</i>
<i>State v. Robert K. Nietzold, Sr.</i>		<i>State v. James P. Killian</i>	<i>State v. Junior L. Williams-Holmes</i>
<i>DEKK Property Development, LLC v. Wisconsin Department of Transportation</i>		<i>Walworth County v. M.R.M.</i>	<i>State v. Quaaheem O. Moore</i>
<i>Green Bay Professional Police Association v. City of Green Bay</i>		<i>State v. A. G. (4-2)</i>	<i>Derrick A. Sanders v. State of Wisconsin Claims Board</i>
<i>State v. Garland Dean Barnes</i>			<i>ACUITY v. Estate of Michael Shimeta</i>
<i>Thomas G. Miller v. Zoning Board of Appeals of the Village of Lyndon Station</i>			<i>State v. Mitchell D. Green</i>
<i>State v. Eric J. Debrow</i>			<i>Matthew W. Murphy v. Columbus McKinnon Corporation</i>
<i>Wisconsin Property Taxpayers, Inc. v. Town of Buchanan</i>			<i>State v. Charles W. Richey</i>
<i>Nancy Kormanik v. William Brash (per curiam)</i>			
<i>State v. Larry L. Jackson (6-0)</i>			

¹ Occasionally a decision finds a justice concurring in part and dissenting in part. For this table, and those prepared for other years, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

Frequency in the Majority

These charts display how frequently each justice voted in the majority in decisions filed during the 2022-23 term. The first chart includes all cases in which a justice voted, while the second chart includes only cases decided by split votes.

All Cases

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
A.W. Bradley	34	45	76%
Roggensack	32	43	74%
Ziegler	31	45	69%
R.G. Bradley	30	45	67%
Dallet	37	45	82%
Hagedorn	41	45	91%
Karofsky	41	45	91%

Non-Unanimous Decisions

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
A.W. Bradley	18	29	62%
Roggensack	17	28	61%
Ziegler	15	29	52%
R.G. Bradley	14	29	48%
Dallet	21	29	72%
Hagedorn	25	29	86%
Karofsky	25	29	86%

Opinions Authored

This chart indicates how many majority (or lead) opinions a justice authored in cases decided by each of the four possible majority vote totals.

Opinion Author	7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3
A.W. Bradley	2	1	0	3
Roggensack	1	2	0	1
Ziegler	2	0	4	1
R.G. Bradley	4	0	1	2
Dallet	2	0	2	2
Hagedorn	1	1	2	3
Karofsky	3	0	1	2

The chart below shows how many concurring and dissenting opinions each justice authored.

Opinion Author	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions
A.W. Bradley	0	5
Roggensack	2	6
Ziegler	3	8
R.G. Bradley	7	5
Dallet	5	4
Hagedorn	6	3
Karofsky	3	3

Days Between Oral Argument and Opinion Filing

This table shows the average number of days between oral argument and the filing of majority (or lead) opinions authored by each of the justices. Given that a variety of factors could influence the length of time between oral argument and the filing of an opinion in a particular case—including the time taken by other justices to write concurring or dissenting opinions—averages for individual justices should be compared over an extended period.

	Number of Majority/Lead Opinions Authored	Ave. No. of Days From Oral Argument to Opinion Filing
A.W. Bradley	6	118
Roggensack	4	129
Ziegler	7	78
R.G. Bradley	7	164
Dallet	6	130
Hagedorn	7	185
Karofsky	6	165

Number of Oral Arguments Presented

The following table displays firms and agencies that participated in at least two oral arguments during the 2022-23 term.

Firms and Agencies	Number of Oral Arguments
Axley Brynelson	2
Cannon & Dunphy	2
DeWitt	2
Habush, Habush & Rottier	3
Milwaukee City Attorney's Office	2
Simpson & Deardorff	2
State Attorney General's Office	23
State Public Defender's Office	11
von Briesen & Roper	4
Wasielewski & Erickson	2