Agreement Among Pairs of Justices

The following tables show the percentage of cases in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side in a decision—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all cases; the second table narrows its focus to cases in which decisions were not unanimous. When reading the *second* table, for instance, one finds that Justices Dallet and Karofsky voted together in 79% of the cases, while the figure for Justices A.W. Bradley and Ziegler was 21%.

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices—All Cases

	Roggensack	Ziegler	R. Bradley	Dallet	Hagedorn	Karofsky
A. Bradley	22/43=**51%**	22/45=**49%**	21/45=**47%**	40/45=**89%**	32/45=**71%**	38/45=**84%**
	Roggensack	36/43=**84%**	33/43=**77%**	25/43=**58%**	30/43=**70%**	28/43=**65%**
		Ziegler	38/45=**84%**	23/45=**51%**	33/45=**73%**	27/45=**60%**
			R. Bradley	22/45=**49%**	30/45=**67%**	26/45=**58%**
				Dallet	35/45=**78%**	39/45=**87%**
					Hagedorn	37/45=**82%**
						Karofsky

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices—Non-Unanimous Cases

	Roggensack	Ziegler	R. Bradley	Dallet	Hagedorn	Karofsky
A. Bradley	7/28=**25%**	6/29=**21%**	5/29=**17%**	24/29=**83%**	16/29=**55%**	22/29=**76%**
	Roggensack	21/28=**75%**	18/28=**64%**	10/28=**36%**	15/28=**54%**	13/28=**46%**
		Ziegler	22/29=**76%**	7/29=**24%**	17/29=**59%**	11/29=**38%**
			R. Bradley	6/29=**21%**	14/29=**48%**	10/29=**34%**
				Dallet	19/29=**66%**	23/29=**79%**
					Hagedorn	21/29=**72%**
						Karofsky