STATISTICS ON WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, 2021-22

Four-to-Three Decisions¹

4-3 Alignments

Justices	Total	Case Names
Roggensack, Ziegler, R.G. Bradley, Hagedorn	12	State v. Joseph G. Green; Joshua L. Kaul v. Frederick Prehn; State v. Robert Daris Spencer; State v. Teresa L. Clark; Friends of Frame Park, U.A. v. City of Waukesha; Billie Johnson v. Wisconsin Elections Commission [November 30, 2021]; Andrew Waity v. Devin Lemahieu; Billie Johnson v. Wisconsin Elections Commission [April 15, 2022]; Friends of the Black River Forest v. DNR; County of Dane v. PSC of Wisconsin; State v. C. G.; Richard Teigen v. Wisconsin Elections Commission
A.W. Bradley, Dallet, Hagedorn, Karofsky	12	Waukesha County v. E.J.W.; Danelle Duncan v. Asset Recovery Specialists, Inc.; Friendly Village Nursing and Rehab, LLC v. State of Wisconsin Department of Workforce Development; Wisconsin Manufacturers and Commerce v. Tony Evers; Sauk County v. S. A. M.; Gregory M. Backus v. Waukesha County; Billie Johnson v. Wisconsin Elections Commission [March 3, 2022]; Nudo Holdings, LLC v. Board of Review for the City of Kenosha; John Doe 1 v. Madison Metro School District; State v. Octavia W. Dodson; Sheboygan County v. M.W.; Jeffrey Becker v. Dane County
A.W. Bradley, R.G. Bradley, Dallet, Karofsky	2	State v. Scott W. Forrett; State v. Chrystul D. Kizer
Roggensack, Ziegler, R.G. Bradley, Karofsky	2	State v. X.S.; Cree, Inc. v. LIRC

Membership in the Majority

Justice	Votes
A.W. Bradley	14
Roggensack	14
Ziegler	14
R.G. Bradley	16
Dallet	14
Hagedorn	24
Karofsky	16

Majority Opinions Authored

<u> </u>	majority opinions rituinore				
Justice	Opinions				
A.W. Bradley	2				
Roggensack	2				
Ziegler	4				
R.G. Bradley	5				
Dallet	5				
Hagedorn	5				
Karofsky	5				
Total	28				

¹ A number of 4-3 decisions were fractured to one degree or another. They are: *Danelle Duncan v. Asset Recovery Specialists, Inc.*; Friends of Frame Park, U.A. v. City of Waukesha; Jeffrey Becker v. Dane County; County of Dane v. PSC of Wisconsin; State v. C. G.; Richard Teigen v. Wisconsin Elections Commission; Billie Johnson v. Wisconsin Elections Commission; State v. Chrystul D. Kizer; and State v. Avan Rondell Nimmer.

Decisions by Vote Split²

7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2	4-3
17/52 (33%)	3/52 (6%)	4/52 (8%)	28/52 (54%)
City of Waukesha v. City of Waukesha Board of Review	State v. Daniel J. Van Linn	Brown County v. Brown County Taxpayers Association	Waukesha County v. E.J.W.
State v. Theophilous Ruffin	State v. Valiant M. Green	Container Life Cycle Management, LLC v. DNR	Wisconsin Manufacturers and Commerce v. Tony Evers
James Sewell v. Racine Unified School District Board of Canvassers	State v. Donald P. Coughlin (5-1)	State v. Christopher W. Yakich	Friendly Village Nursing and Rehab, LLC v. State of Wisconsin Department of Workforce Development
Estate of Anne Oros v. Divine Savior Healthcare Inc.		Great Lakes Excavating, Inc. v. Dollar Tree Stores, Inc.	Gregory M. Backus v. Waukesha County
State v. Cesar Antonio Lira			Sauk County v. S. A. M.
Elliot Brey v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company			Danelle Duncan v. Asset Recovery Specialists, Inc.
State v. Ryan Hugh Mulhern			State v. Joseph G. Green
Colectivo Coffee Roasters, Inc. v. Society Insurance			State v. Robert Daris Spencer
Claudia B. Bauer v. Wisconsin Energy Corporation			Joshua L. Kaul v. Frederick Prehn
Andrea Townsend v. ChartSwap, LLC			John Doe 1 v. Madison Metro School District
State v. Richard Michael Arrington			Nudo Holdings, LLC v. Board of Review for the City of Kenosha
Wisconsin Property Tax Consultants, Inc. v. Wisconsin Department of Revenue			Billie Johnson v. Wisconsin Elections Commission [November 30, 2021]
Daniel Doubek v. Joshua Kaul			Friends of Frame Park, U.A. v. City of Waukesha
State v. Westley D. Whitaker			State v. Teresa L. Clark
Daniel J. Hennessy, Jr. v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.			Sheboygan County v. M.W.
State v. Avan Rondell Nimmer			State v. Octavia W. Dodson
Loren Imhoff Homebuilder, Inc. v. Lisa Taylor and Luis Cuevas (6-0)			Jeffrey Becker v. Dane County
· · ·			County of Dane v. PSC of Wisconsin
			Friends of the Black River Forest v. DNR
			Billie Johnson v. Wisconsin Elections Commission [March 3, 2022]
			State v. C. G.

(continued on following page)

² As the result of rounding, the percentages do not add up to one hundred. Occasionally a decision finds a justice concurring in part and dissenting in part. For this table, and those prepared for other years, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

	Richard Teigen v. Wisconsin Elections Commission
	Andrew Waity v. Devin Lemahieu
	Billie Johnson v. Wisconsin Elections Commission [April 15, 2022]
	State v. Scott W. Forrett
	State v. X.S.
	State v. Chrystul D. Kizer
	Cree, Inc. v. LIRC

Frequency in the Majority

These charts display how frequently each justice voted in the majority in decisions filed during the 2021-22 term. The first chart includes all cases in which a justice voted, while the second chart includes only cases decided by split votes.

All Cases

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
A.W. Bradley	34	52	65%
Roggensack	38	52	73%
Ziegler	36	52	69%
R.G. Bradley	38	52	73%
Dallet	35	52	67%
Hagedorn	48	52	92%
Karofsky	38	50	76%

Non-Unanimous Decisions

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
A.W. Bradley	17	35	49%
Roggensack	21	35	60%
Ziegler	19	35	54%
R.G. Bradley	21	35	60%
Dallet	18	35	51%
Hagedorn	31	35	89%
Karofsky	22	34	65%

Opinions Authored

This chart indicates how many majority (or lead) opinions a justice authored in cases decided by each of the four possible majority vote totals.

Opinion Author	7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2	4-3
A.W. Bradley	2	1	2	2
Roggensack	5	0	0	2
Ziegler	3	0	1	4
R.G. Bradley	2	0	1	5
Dallet	1	1	0	5
Hagedorn	2	1	0	5
Karofsky	2	0	0	5

The chart below shows how many concurring and dissenting opinions each justice authored.

Opinion Author	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions
A.W. Bradley	1	7
Roggensack	3	6
Ziegler	3	5
R.G. Bradley	5	6
Dallet	4	8
Hagedorn	12	2
Karofsky	2	4

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices

The following tables show the percentage of cases in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side in a decision—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all cases; the second table narrows its focus to cases in which decisions were not unanimous. When reading the <u>second</u> table, for instance, one finds that Justices Dallet and Karofsky voted together in 88% of the cases, while the figure for Justices A.W. Bradley and Ziegler was 3%.

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices—All Cases

	Roggensack	Ziegler	R. Bradley	Dallet	Hagedorn	Karofsky
A. Bradley	20\52= 38%	18/52= 35%	20/52= 38%	49/52= 94%	34/52= 65%	44/50= 88%
	Roggensack	50/52= 96%	48/52= 92%	21/52=40%	38/52= 73%	24/50= 48%
		Ziegler	50/52= 96%	19/52= 37%	36/52= 69%	22/50=44%
			R. Bradley	21/52=40%	34/52= 65%	24/50= 48%
				Dallet	35/52= 67%	46/50= 92%
					Hagedorn	34/50= 68%
						Karofsky

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices—Non-Unanimous Cases

	Roggensack	Ziegler	R. Bradley	Dallet	Hagedorn	Karofsky
A. Bradley	3/35=9%	1/35= 3%	3/35=9%	32/35=91%	17/35= 49%	28/34= 82%
	Roggensack	33/35= 94%	31/35= 89%	4/35=11%	21/35=60%	8/34= 24%
		Ziegler	33/35= 94%	2/35=6%	19/35= 54%	6/34= 18%
			R. Bradley	4/35=11%	17/35= 49%	8/34= 24%
				Dallet	18/35= 51%	30/34= 88%
					Hagedorn	18/34= 53%
						Karofsky

Days Between Oral Argument and Opinion Filing

This table shows the average number of days between oral argument and the filing of majority (or lead) opinions authored by each of the justices. Given that a variety of factors could influence the length of time between oral argument and the filing of an opinion in a particular case—including the time taken by other justices to write concurring or dissenting opinions—averages for individual justices should be compared over an extended period.³

	Number of Majority/Lead Opinions Authored	Ave. No. of Days From Oral Argument to Opinion Filing
A.W. Bradley	7	95
Roggensack	7	121
Ziegler	8	88
R.G. Bradley	7	156
Dallet	7	107
Hagedorn	8	135
Karofsky	7	131

³ *Billie Johnson v. Wisconsin Elections Commission* (decided November 30, 2021) was submitted on briefs and thus not included in this table.

Number of Oral Arguments Presented

The following table displays firms and agencies that participated in at least two oral arguments during the 2021-22 term.

Firms and Agencies	Number of Oral Arguments
Consovoy McCarthy	2
DeWitt	2
Eimer Stahl	3
Foley & Lardner	2
Godfery & Kahn	2
Jenner & Block	2
Municipal Law & Litigation	2
Group	2
O'Neil, Cannon, Hollman,	2
DeJong & Laing	-
Perkins Coie	3
Pines Bach	4
Quarles & Brady	3
Stafford Rosenbaum	4
State Attorney General's Office	28
State Public Defender's Office	13
Troutman Pepper	3
von Briesen & Roper	3
Waukesha County Corporation	2
Counsel's Office	
Wisconsin Institute for Law &	6
Liberty	