<u>Agreement Among Pairs of Justices</u>

The following tables show the percentage of cases in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side in a decision—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all cases; the second table narrows its focus to cases in which decisions were not unanimous. When reading the <u>*second*</u> table, for instance, one finds that Justices Dallet and Karofsky voted together in 88% of the cases, while the figure for Justices A.W. Bradley and Ziegler was 3%.

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices—All Cases

	Roggensack	Ziegler	R. Bradley	Dallet	Hagedorn	Karofsky
A. Bradley	20\52=**38%**	18/52=**35%**	20/52=**38%**	49/52=**94%**	34/52=**65%**	44/50=**88%**
Roggensack		50/52=**96%**	48/52=**92%**	21/52=**40%**	38/52=**73%**	24/50=**48%**
Ziegler			50/52=**96%**	19/52=**37%**	36/52=**69%**	22/50=**44%**
R. Bradley				21/52=**40%**	34/52=**65%**	24/50=**48%**
Dallet					35/52=**67%**	46/50=**92%**
Hagedorn						34/50=**68%**
Karofsky						

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices—Non-Unanimous Cases

	Roggensack	Ziegler	R. Bradley	Dallet	Hagedorn	Karofsky
A. Bradley	3/35=**9%**	1/35=**3%**	3/35=**9%**	32/35=**91%**	17/35=**49%**	28/34=**82%**
Roggensack		33/35=**94%**	31/35=**89%**	4/35=**11%**	21/35=**60%**	8/34=**24%**
Ziegler			33/35=**94%**	2/35=**6%**	19/35=**54%**	6/34=**18%**
R. Bradley				4/35=**11%**	17/35=**49%**	8/34=**24%**
Dallet					18/35=**51%**	30/34=**88%**
Hagedorn						18/34=**53%**
Karofsky						