STATISTICS ON WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, 1953-54

Four-to-Three Decisions

Justices	Total	Case Names
Brown, Martin, Gehl, Steinle	3	Boutin v. Cardinal Theatre Co.; Estate of Halverson v. Nelson; Starry v. E. W. Wylie Co.
Brown, Martin, Fairchild, Gehl	1	Estate of Jorgensen v. Patri
Currie, Broadfoot, Martin, Fairchild	1	M. & M. Realty Co. v. Industrial Com.
Broadfoot, Martin, Gehl, Steinle	1	State ex rel. Doering v. Doering
Brown, Broadfoot, Martin, Fairchild	1	Bolick v. Gallagher
Currie, Brown, Martin, Gehl	1	Estate of Gray

4-3 Alignments¹

4-3 Membership in the Majority

Justice	Votes
Currie	2
Brown	6
Broadfoot	3
Martin	8
Fairchild	3
Gehl	6
Steinle	4
Fritz	

4-3 Majority Opinions Authored

	Opinions
Currie	2
Brown	2
Broadfoot	0
Martin	2
Fairchild	2
Gehl	0
Steinle	0
Fritz	
Total	8

¹ Justice Fritz did not participate in any 4-3 decisions.

Bassil v. FayGCross v. LeuenbergerDWRDane County v. BloomfieldECCEstate of James v. KerleyEIn re Adoption of TschudyCIn re Gabel's WillGIn re Incorporation of Elm GroveInLaehn Coal & Wood Co. v. KoehlerInLawrence v. E. W. Wylie Co.HMilwaukee & Suburban Transport Corp. v. Public Service Com.G	6-1 (or 5-1) 12/235 (5%) tate ex rel. Thomson v. Siessel [267 Wis. 331] Dunphy Boat Corp. v. Visconsin Employment	5-2 8/235 (3%) Moore v. Milwaukee State ex rel.	4-3 8/235 (3%) Boutin v. Cardinal Theotre Co	
Bassil v. Fay Stage Bassil v. Fay G Cross v. Leuenberger D Dane County v. Bloomfield E Dane County v. Bloomfield E Estate of James v. Kerley E In re Adoption of Tschudy C In re Gabel's Will G In re Incorporation of Elm In Grove In Laehn Coal & Wood Co. v. In Koehler In Lawrence v. E. W. Wylie Co. H Milwaukee & Suburban G Transport Corp. v. Public V.	tate ex rel. Thomson v. iessel [267 Wis. 331] hunphy Boat Corp. v.	Moore v. Milwaukee	Boutin v. Cardinal	
Cross v. LeuenbergerW RDane County v. BloomfieldEL CEstate of James v. KerleyELIn re Adoption of TschudyLL IIn re Gabel's WillGIn re Incorporation of Elm GroveIn In Laehn Coal & Wood Co. v. KoehlerLawrence v. E. W. Wylie Co.H M Milwaukee & Suburban Transport Corp. v. Public Service Com.		State or rol		
Dane County V. BloompletaCEstate of James v. KerleyE.In re Adoption of TschudyLIn re Gabel's WillGIn re Incorporation of Elm GroveInLaehn Coal & Wood Co. v. KoehlerInLawrence v. E. W. Wylie Co.HMilwaukee & Suburban Transport Corp. v. Public Service Com.G	elations Board	Wisconsin Lutheran High School Conference v. Sinar	Estate of Halverson v. Nelson	
In re Adoption of TschudyCIn re Gabel's WillGIn re Incorporation of Elm GroveInLaehn Coal & Wood Co. v. KoehlerInLawrence v. E. W. Wylie Co.HMilwaukee & Suburban Transport Corp. v. Public Service Com.G	mployers Mut. Fire Ins. 'o. v. Haucke	Roeske v. Schmitt	Starry v. E. W. Wylie Co.	
In re Adoption of Tschudy Li In re Gabel's Will G In re Incorporation of Elm In Grove In Laehn Coal & Wood Co. v. In Koehler In Lawrence v. E. W. Wylie Co. H Milwaukee & Suburban G Transport Corp. v. Public v. Service Com. v.	state of Cheaney	Estate of Stevens	Estate of Jorgensen v. Patri	
In re Incorporation of Elm GroveInLaehn Coal & Wood Co. v. KoehlerInLawrence v. E. W. Wylie Co.H MMilwaukee & Suburban Transport Corp. v. Public Service Com.G v.	'aledonia v. Racine imestone Co.	Musselman v. Mutual Auto. Ins. Co.	M. & M. Realty Co. v. Industrial Com.	
GroveIntegrationLaehn Coal & Wood Co. v. KoehlerIntegrationLawrence v. E. W. Wylie Co.H M Milwaukee & Suburban Transport Corp. v. Public Service Com.	Frosso v. Wittemann	Leach v. Leach	State ex rel. Doering v. Doering	
KoehlerInLawrence v. E. W. Wylie Co.HMilwaukee & SuburbanGTransport Corp. v. Publicv.Service Com.v.	n re Estate of Hafemann	Smith v. Congregation of St. Rose	Bolick v. Gallagher	
Lawrence v. E. W. Wylie Co. M Milwaukee & Suburban G Transport Corp. v. Public v. Service Com. v.	n re Hafemann's Will	Brennan v. Milwaukee	Estate of Gray	
Transport Corp. v. PublicGService Com.v.	lorne v. Kenosha Lincoln- lercury, Inc.			
	reen Bay Drop Forge Co. Industrial Com.			
Nolop v. Spettel W	Villiams v. Monroe County			
O'Connor y Larrabee	tate ex rel. Larson v. Fiessel (5-1)			
Rosen v. Ihler				
Scarne's Challenge, Inc. v. M. D. Orum Co.				
Seeburger v. Citizens Mut. Fire				
Ins. Co.				
Smith v. Christopherson State ex rel. A. Hynek & Sons Co. v. Board of Annacle				
Co. v. Board of Appeals Tri-County Finance, Inc. v. Miller				
Udovc v. Ross				
Van Pool v. Industrial Com.				
Whirry v. Rural Mut. Casualty				
Ins. Co.				
Williams v. International Oil Co.				
Dittman v. Western Casualty &				
Surety Co.				
Estate of Cogan		1		
Estate of Nelson v. Hogie (continued on following page)				

Decisions by Vote Split²

 $^{^{2}}$ As the result of rounding, the percentages do not add up to 100. In few instances, a justice authored a separate opinion that concurred in part and dissented in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

Estate of Niemczyk		
Estate of Shaver		
Gohr v. Beranek		
Heffernen v. Green Bay		
Jensen v. John Hancock Mut.		
Life Ins. Co.		
Mead v. Ringling		
Mistele v. Board of Education		
Ryan v. Zweck-Wollenberg Co.		
Schimke v. Mutual Auto. Ins.		
Co.		
Scholz v. Industrial Com.		
Scrafield v. Rudy		
Smuda v. Jefferson Dist. School		
Board		
State v. Bradley		
State v. Bradley State v. First Wis. Trust Co.		
Wohlleben v. Home Mut. Cas.		
Wohlleben v. Home Mut. Cas. Co.		
Co. Zombkowski v. Wisconsin River		
Zombkowski v. Wisconsin River Power Co.		
Bachmann v. Chicago, M. S. P.		
_		
& P. R. Co. De Pere v. Public Service Com.		
Engh v. Calvert Fire Ins. Co.		
Estate of Williams v.		
Gulbankian		
Farmers Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v.		
Archambeault		
Ferry v. State		
Fredrickson v. Kabat		
Grabinski v. St. Francis		
Hospital		
Guschl v. Schmidt		
Hafemann v. Korinek		
In re Pettee's Will		
Leikness v. Prochaska		
Lewis v. Lewis		
Pokrajac v. Wade Motors, Inc.		
Rick v. Industrial Com.	 	
Saxby v. Cadigen		
Shaske v. Hron	 	
Siegel v. Clemons		
State v. Sawyer		
Swanson v. Maryland Cas. Co.		
Watters v. National DriveIn,		
Inc.	 	
Willing v. Porter		
Al Shallock, Inc. v. Zurich		
General Acci. & Liability Ins.		
Co.		
Employers Mut. Liability Ins.		
Co. v. Parker		
Estate of Eannelli		
Estate of Schmidt: Smith v.		
Seher		
Frings v. Donovan		
Ft. Howard Paper Co. v. Town		
Board of Ashwaubenon		
(continued on following page)		

Havens v. Havens		
Hicks v. New York Fire Ins. Co.		
Holmlund v. Zierke		
In re Eannelli's Estate		
In re Latimer's Will		
In re Walker's Will		
Kraskey v. Johnson		
Lind v. Lund		
Lund v. Thorne		
Madison v. Pierce		
Milwaukee v. Schomberg		
Otto v. Black Eagle Oil Co.		
Royal Transit v. West		
Milwaukee		
State ex rel. Smith v.		
Zimmerman		
Swenson v. Milwaukee County		
Voss v. Metropolitan Casualty		
Ins. Co.		
Weber v. Mayer		
Anderson v. Stricker		
Becker v. Spieker		
Bell v. Gray-Robinson Const.		
Co.		
Brady v. Chicago & N. W. R.		
Co.		
Brouwer Realty Co. v.		
Industrial Com.		
Datka v. State		
Fuller v. Spieker		
Good v. Farmers Mut. Ins. Co.		
Grady v. Hartford Steam Boiler		
Inspection & Ins. Co.		
Hemans v. Industrial Com.		
Hillside Transit Co. v. Larson		
In re Wright's Will		
Maus v. Bloss		
Nash-Kelvinator Corp. v.		
Industrial Com.		
Oldenburg v. Hartford Acci. &		
Indem. Co.	 	
Olson v. Milwaukee Auto. Ins.		
Co.		
Perkins v. Young	 	
Quin v. Hoffmann		
Raddant v. Tamminen		
Rowe v. Compensation		
Research Bureau		
Schneider v. Depies	 	
State v. Seraphine		
Statz v. Pohl		
Wauwatosa v. Milwaukee		
Brackob v. Brackob	 	
Davis v. Fay		
Doleys v. Arndt		
Else v. Cannon		
Estate of McCarthy v. Parfrey	 	
Estate of Miller v. Van Hogen		
Estate of Ogg		
(continued on following page)		

	1	1	
Froedtert Grain & Malting Co.			
v. Peter P. Woboril, Inc.			
Giessel v. Mutual Service			
Casualty Ins. Co.			
Hill-Behan Lumber Co. v.			
McDonald			
In re Hipsch's Will			
Kansas City Life Ins. Co. v.			
State			
Leonard v. Employers Mut.			
Liability Ins. Co.			
Milwaukee Hotel Wisconsin			
Co. v. Aldrich			
Paykel v. Rose			
Prochniak v. Wisconsin Screw			
Co.			
Schmidlkofer v. Industrial			
Com.			
Severson v. Milwaukee Auto.			
Ins. Co.			
St. Mary's Congregation v.			
Industrial Com.			
State ex rel. Hron Bros. Co. v.			
Port Washington			
State ex rel. Thomson v.			
Giessel [265 Wis. 558]			
Trobaugh v. Milwaukee			
Ubbink v. Herbert A. Nieman			
& Co.			
Weihert v. Weihert			
Wright v. St. Mary's Hospital			
Briggs Transfer Co. v. Farmers			
Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.			
Butler v. Industrial Com.			
Christie v. Lueth			
Commercial Credit Corp. v.			
Schneider			
Costello v. Schult			
Deaton v. Unit Crane & Shovel			
Corp.			
Erickson v. Clifton			
Kasper v. Enich			
Kerbet v. Behling			
Knuth v. Vogels			
Lakeland v. State Dep't of Public Wolfare			
Public Welfare			
Lloyd v. Chippewa County			
Lowe v. Cheese Makers Mut.			
Cas. Co.			
Manninen v. Liss			
Menako v. Kassien			
Metropolitan Finance Corp. v.			
Matthews			
Mitchell v. Airline			
Reservations, Inc.			
Putman v. Deinhamer			
Shipley v. Krueger			
State ex rel. Michael v. McGill			
Tadin v. Industrial Com.			
(continued on following page)			

Timm v. Rahn		
Tonn v. Strehlau		
Wiese v. Swersinske		
American Nat'l Red Cross v.		
Banks		
Bank of America Nat'l Trust &		
Sav. Ass'n v. Burhans		
Beskidniak v. Masny		
Commerce Ins. Co. v. Badger		
Paint & Hardware Stores, Inc.		
De Witte v. Kearney & Trecker		
Corp.		
Engsberg v. Hein		
Estate of Gabler [265 Wis. 126]		
In re Donigian's Will		
Julien v. Julien		
Kinzfogl v. Greiner		
Koniecko v. Huffman		
McCarty v. Weber		
O'Brien v. Hessman		
Progressive Fine Art Co. v.		
Industrial Com.		
Schaefer v. Weber		
Schmidt v. Schabow		
State ex rel. Thomson v.		
Giessel [265 Wis. 185]		
State ex rel. Thomson v.		
Giessel [265 Wis. 207]		
Swanke v. Oneida County		
Wibbeler v. Reed		
Wisconsin Employment		
Relations Board v. Gateway		
Glass Co.		
Baldwin v. St. Peter's		
Congregation		
Carlson v. Craig		
Dobbert v. Dobbert		
Dunn & Stringer Inv. Co. v.		
Krauss		
Estate of Gabler [265 Wis. 31]		
Fessler v. Northwestern Nat'l		
Cas. Co.		
Hanlon v. St. Francis Seminary		
Harvey v. Hartwig		
Heuer v. Wiese		
Hoepner v. Eau Claire		
Juergens v. Hauser		
Kosteczko v. Industrial Com.		
Lasker v. Patrovsky	 	
Matczak v. Mathews		
Regling v. Schultz	 	
State ex rel. Thomson v.		
Zimmerman		
Stoughton v. Powers	 	
Vogel v. Vetting		
Wisconsin Axle Div. v.	 	
Industrial Com. (7-0 per		
curiam)		
(continued on following page)		

Kolehouse v. Connecticut Fire		
Ins. Co. (6-0)		
Musselman v. Service Fire Ins.		
<i>Co.</i> (6-0)		
Aldrich v. Skycoach Air Lines		
Agency (6-0)		
James v. Wisconsin Power &		
<i>Light Co.</i> (6-0)		
Galewski v. Noe (6-0)		
Pawlak v. Mayer (6-0)		
Wurth v. Affeldt (6-0)		
Mackey v. Trombetta (6-0)		

Frequency in the Majority

These tables display how frequently each justice voted in the majority in decisions filed during the 1953-54 term. The first table includes all cases in which a justice voted, while the second table includes only cases decided by split votes.

Justice			Percent in Majority
Currie	220	234	94%
Brown	230	234	98%
Broadfoot	226	235	96%
Martin	234	235	99.6%
Fairchild	225	234	96%
Gehl	228	235	97%
Steinle	126	132	95%
Fritz	95	97	98%

All Cases

Non-Unanimous Decisions

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Currie	14	28	50%
Brown	24	28	86%
Broadfoot	19	28	68%
Martin	27	28	96%
Fairchild	19	28	68%
Gehl	21	28	75%
Steinle	15	21	71%
Fritz	4	6	67%

Opinions Authored

The first table indicates how many majority opinions a justice authored in cases decided by each of the four possible majority vote totals. The second table shows how many concurrences and dissents each justice wrote. If a justice concurred or dissented without authoring an opinion, he is not credited.

Opinion Author	7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2	4-3
Currie	32	3	1	2
Brown	28	0	2	2
Broadfoot	33	2	0	0
Martin	27	3	1	2
Fairchild	28	0	1	2
Gehl	32	1	3	0
Steinle	17	3	0	0
Fritz	9	0	0	0

Opinion Author	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions
Currie	1	8
Brown	0	1
Broadfoot	0	1
Martin	1	1
Fairchild	0	3
Gehl	0	7
Steinle	0	3
Fritz	0	1

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices

The following tables show the percentage of decisions in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all decisions; the second table narrows its focus to decisions that were not unanimous. When reading the <u>second</u> table, for instance, one finds that Justices Currie and Gehl voted together in 32% of the decisions, while the figure for Justices Broadfoot and Fairchild was 71%.

	Brown	Broadfoot	Martin	Fairchild	Gehl	Steinle	Fritz
Currie	219/233= 94%	221/234= 94%	219/234= 94%	218/233= 94%	215/234= 92%	118/131= 90%	94/97= 97%
	Brown	221/234= 94%	229/234= 98%	222/233= 95%	225/234= 96%	124/132= 94%	94/96= 98%
		Broadfoot	225/235= 96%	226/234= 97%	219/235= 93%	125/132= 95%	95/97= 98%
			Martin	226/234= 97%	227/235= 97%	125/132= 95%	95/97= 98%
				Fairchild	220/234= 94%	120/132= 91%	94/96= 98%
					Gehl	126/132= 95%	92/97= 95%
						Steinle	
							Fritz

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices-All Decisions

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices-Non-Unanimous Decisions

	Brown	Broadfoot	Martin	Fairchild	Gehl	Steinle	Fritz
Currie	14/28= 50%	15/28= 54%	13/28= 46%	13/28= 46%	9/28= 32%	8/21= 38%	3/6= 50%
	Brown	15/28= 54%	23/28= 82%	17/28= 61%	19/28= 68%	13/21= 62%	4/6= 67%
		Broadfoot	18/28= 64%	20/28=71%	12/28= 43%	14/21= 67%	4/6= 67%
			Martin	20/28=71%	20/28=71%	14/21= 67%	4/6= 67%
				Fairchild	14/28= 50%	9/21= 43%	4/6= 67%
					Gehl	15/21= 71%	1/6= 17%
						Steinle	
							Fritz

Days Between Oral Argument and Opinion Filing

This table shows the average number of days between oral argument and the filing of majority (or lead) opinions authored by each of the justices. Given that a variety of factors could influence the length of time between oral argument and the filing of an opinion in a particular case—including the time taken by other justices to write concurring or dissenting opinions— averages for individual justices should be compared over an extended period.

	Number of Majority/Lead Opinions Authored in Cases that Included Oral Argument	Ave. No. of Days From Oral Argument to Opinion Filing
Currie	38	30
Brown	32	30
Broadfoot	35	29
Martin	33	28
Fairchild	31	30
Gehl	36	29
Steinle	20	29
Fritz	9	27