

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2	4-3
214/241 (89%)	12/241 (5%)	5/241 (2%)	10/241 (4%)
<i>Anderson v. Byers</i>	<i>Bachmann v. Bollig</i>	<i>Marshfield Clinic v. Doege</i>	<i>California Packing Co. v. Industrial Com.</i>
<i>Anderson v. Tri-State Home Improv. Co.</i>	<i>Donahue v. Western Casualty & Surety Co.</i>	<i>Sponholz v. Meyer</i>	<i>Dodge v. Dodge</i>
<i>Arledge v. Scherer Freight Lines</i>	<i>Edwards v. Edwards</i>	<i>State ex rel. Hannon v. Eisler</i>	<i>Graebner v. Industrial Com.</i>
<i>Atkinson v. Huber</i>	<i>Fullerton Lumber Co. v. Torborg</i>	<i>State v. Selbach</i>	<i>In re Will of Emmerick</i>
<i>Auster v. Zaspel</i>	<i>Nyka v. State</i>	<i>Toman v. Lake</i>	<i>Kuhl Motor Co. v. Ford Motor Co.</i>
<i>Bailey v. Zwirowski</i>	<i>Taylor v. Western Casualty & Surety Co.</i>		<i>Ozaukee Finance Co. v. Cedarburg Lime Co.</i>
<i>Barth v. Milwaukee Auto. Ins. Co.</i>	<i>Walter v. Shemon</i>		<i>Sommerfeld v. Board of Canvassers</i>
<i>Bay v. Roos</i>	<i>Waukesha v. Plumbers & Gas Fitters</i>		<i>State v. Seely</i>
<i>Bayside v. Milwaukee</i>	<i>Wing v. Deppe</i>		<i>Sweet v. Department of Taxation</i>
<i>Bloss v. Rural Mut. Casualty Ins. Co.</i>	<i>Home Sav. Bank v. Gertenbach (5-1)</i>		<i>Worachek v. Stephenson Town School Dist.</i>
<i>Bockemuhl v. Jordan</i>	<i>Mayer v. Boynton Cab Co. (5-1)</i>		
<i>Bohn v. Sauk County</i>	<i>Weber v. Walters (5-1)</i>		
<i>Brehmer v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co.</i>			
<i>Bulova Watch Co. v. Anderson</i>			
<i>Burkhalter v. Hartford Acci. & Ind. Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Bush v. Hadley</i>			
<i>Callan v. Wick</i>			
<i>Carazalla v. State</i> [May 3, 1955]			
<i>Carazalla v. State</i> [June 28, 1955]			
<i>Casey v. Trecker</i>			
<i>Catura v. Romanofsky</i>			
<i>Celon Co. v. Wisconsin Dep't of Taxation</i>			
<i>Cernohorsky v. Northern Liquid Gas Co.</i>			
<i>Chicago, M., S. P. & P. R. Co. v. Public Service Com.</i>			
<i>Conrad v. Evans</i>			
<i>Culligan, Inc. v. Rheume</i> [269 Wis. 242]			

(continued on following page)

¹ In few instances, a justice authored a separate opinion that concurred in part and dissented in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

<i>Culligan, Inc., v. Rheäume</i> [268 Wis. 298]			
<i>Czap v. Czap</i>			
<i>David Jeffrey Co. v. Milwaukee</i>			
<i>Davis v. Lindau</i>			
<i>De Witz v. Northern States Power Co.</i>			
<i>Dinkin v. American Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Dukat v. De Boer Motors</i>			
<i>Dziengel v. Dziengel</i>			
<i>Erickson v. Pugh</i>			
<i>Fels v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Fidelity & Deposit Co. v. Peoples Exchange Bank</i>			
<i>Flynn v. Palmer</i>			
<i>Frankland v. Peterson</i>			
<i>Frenzel v. First Nat'l Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Froncek v. Milwaukee</i>			
<i>Fyksen v. Fyksen</i>			
<i>Gallenberg v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Gordon v. Gordon</i>			
<i>Green Bay & W. R. Co. v. Public Service Com.</i>			
<i>Greenlawn Memorial Park v. Neenah Town Bd. of Supervisors</i>			
<i>Groling v. Goltz</i>			
<i>Grosberg v. Grosberg</i>			
<i>Guptill v. Roemer</i>			
<i>Guzzo v. Guzzo</i>			
<i>Hamachek v. Hamachek</i>			
<i>Hearden v. Standard Acci. Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Hoffman v. Hoffman</i>			
<i>Holty v. Landauer</i>			
<i>Hott v. Warner</i>			
<i>Huffman v. Reinke</i>			
<i>Implement Credit Corp. v. Elsinger</i>			
<i>In re Aronson</i>			
<i>In re Draheim's Will</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Borzych</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Brzowsky</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Eannelli</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Gooding</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Jafuta</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Kirsh</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Koos</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Uihlein</i>			
<i>In re Folsom</i>			
<i>In re Klinkert's Will</i>			
<i>In re Knierim's Will</i>			
<i>In re Will of Mattes</i>			
<i>In re Will of Schneider</i>			
<i>Jaster v. Miller</i>			
<i>Kassien v. Menako</i>			
<i>Katt v. Sturtevant</i>			

(continued on following page)

<i>Kelberger v. First Federal Sav. & Loan Asso.</i>			
<i>Kleiner v. Milwaukee</i>			
<i>Klinger v. Garvey</i>			
<i>Kranjec v. West Allis</i>			
<i>Kreft v. Charles</i>			
<i>Kundert v. Johnson</i>			
<i>L. G. Arnold, Inc. v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Laack v. Laack</i>			
<i>Laehn Coal & Wood Co. v. Clintonville Sales Corp.</i>			
<i>Larson v. Splett</i>			
<i>Lawson v. Housing Authority of Milwaukee</i>			
<i>Loser v. Libal</i>			
<i>Luck v. Hardware Mut. Casualty Co.</i>			
<i>Madison v. Madison</i>			
<i>Mahnke v. Ahles</i>			
<i>Makarewicz v. McEvoy</i>			
<i>Marshall & Ilsley Bank v. De Wolf</i>			
<i>Masino v. Sechrest</i> [268 Wis. 101]			
<i>Masino v. Sechrest</i> [268 Wis. 112]			
<i>Maslow Cooperage Corp. v. Weeks Pickle Co.</i>			
<i>Mattice v. Equitable Life Assurance Soc.</i>			
<i>McCauley v. International Trading Co.</i>			
<i>Men's Hall Stores v. Dane County</i>			
<i>Merkle v. Behl</i>			
<i>Meyers v. Matthews</i>			
<i>Meyers v. St. Bernard's Congregation</i>			
<i>Milwaukee Boston Store Co. v. American Federation of Hosiery Workers</i>			
<i>Milwaukee v. Public Service Com.</i>			
<i>Milwaukee v. Richards</i>			
<i>Milwaukee v. Sewerage Com. of Milwaukee</i>			
<i>Milwaukee v. Utech</i>			
<i>Missionaries of Our Lady of La Salette v. Whitefish Bay</i>			
<i>Mogen David Wine Corp. v. Borenstein</i>			
<i>Morris v. Resnick</i>			
<i>Muehlenbeck v. Fitchett</i>			
<i>Mutual Auto. Ins. Co. v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Nechodomu v. Lindstrom</i>			
<i>Neinfeldt v. Schultz</i>			
<i>Nelsen v. Cairo</i>			

(continued on following page)

<i>Nelson v. Nelson</i>			
<i>Nickel v. Hardware Mut. Casualty Co.</i>			
<i>Olson v. Johnson</i>			
<i>Olson v. Williams</i>			
<i>Omer v. Risch</i>			
<i>Paulson v. Paulson</i>			
<i>Pedrick v. First Nat'l Bank</i>			
<i>Pelikan v. Russell</i>			
<i>Pelton Steel Casting Co. v. Wisconsin Dep't of Taxation</i>			
<i>Peterson Cutting Die Co. v. Bach Sales Co.</i>			
<i>Peterson v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Pflugradt v. Neth</i>			
<i>Plain v. Harder</i>			
<i>Potter v. Kenosha</i>			
<i>Princeton v. Public Service Com.</i>			
<i>Putman v. Deinhamer</i>			
<i>Rasmussen v. Miller</i>			
<i>Raube v. Christenson</i>			
<i>Reading v. Reading</i>			
<i>Riske v. National Casualty Co.</i>			
<i>Roddis Plywood Corp. v. Dorchester Furniture Co.</i>			
<i>Rucinski v. Kuehl</i>			
<i>Ryan v. Cameron</i>			
<i>Sandley v. Pilsner</i>			
<i>Schaff v. Hipke</i>			
<i>Scherg v. Puetz</i>			
<i>Schill v. Meers</i>			
<i>Schmidt v. Dorn</i>			
<i>Schmitz v. Schuh</i>			
<i>Schwartz v. Schneuriger</i>			
<i>Schweidler v. Caruso</i>			
<i>Shanahan v. Midland Coach Lines</i>			
<i>Simhiser v. Farber</i>			
<i>Simon v. Van De Hey</i>			
<i>Snyder v. Oakdale Co-op. Electrical Ass'n</i>			
<i>St. Francis v. Public Service Com.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Enterprise Realty Co. v. Swiderski</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Ignasiak v. Franklin</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Mattison v. Baudhuin</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Parks v. Gross</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Roelvink v. Zeidler</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Rogers v. Milligan</i> [267 Wis. 549]			
<i>State ex rel. Rogers v. Milligan</i> [269 Wis. 565]			
<i>State ex rel. Saveland Park Holding Corp. v. Wieland</i>			

(continued on following page)

<i>State ex rel. Syarto v. Barber</i>			
<i>State v. Berres</i>			
<i>State v. Joe Must Go Club</i>			
<i>State v. Laven</i>			
<i>State v. Perlin</i>			
<i>Steuber v. Conway</i>			
<i>Stevens v. Farmers Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Superior Steel Products Corp. v. Zbytoniewski</i>			
<i>Szymon v. Johnson</i>			
<i>Tetzlaff v. Pilot Press, Inc.</i>			
<i>Thelen v. MacHotka</i>			
<i>Thiel v. Damrau</i>			
<i>Thomas v. Tesch</i>			
<i>Thompson v. Eau Claire</i>			
<i>Thompson v. Roberts</i>			
<i>Thornton v. Loiselle</i>			
<i>Tilsen v. Rubin</i>			
<i>Tomek v. Farmers Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Touchett v. E Z Paintr Corp.</i>			
<i>Turck v. Seefeldt</i>			
<i>Turner v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Umentum v. Arendt</i>			
<i>Vlasis v. Cheese Makers Mut. Casualty Co.</i>			
<i>Vogt, Inc. v. International Brotherhood of Teamsters</i>			
<i>Vredenburg v. Safety Devices Corp.</i>			
<i>W. H. Hobbs Supply Co. v. Ernst</i>			
<i>Walker v. Green Lake County</i>			
<i>Waunakee Canning Corp. v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Weber v. Interstate Light & Power Co.</i>			
<i>Weber v. John Hancock Mut. Life Ins. Col</i>			
<i>Werlein v. Milwaukee E. R. & T. Co.</i>			
<i>Williams v. Miles</i>			
<i>Wisconsin Appleton Co. v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Wisconsin Bridge & Iron Co. v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>Wm. H. Heinemann Creameries v. Milwaukee Auto. Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Wolf v. United Shipping Co.</i>			
<i>Zache v. West Bend</i>			
<i>Zutter v. Kral</i>			
<i>Zweig v. Industrial Com.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. A. Hynek & Sons Co. v. Board of Appeals (7-0, per curiam)</i>			
<i>Adoption of Morrison (6-0)</i>			
<i>Bond v. Wojahn (6-0)</i>			

(continued on following page)

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, 1954-55

<i>In re Brown Deer</i> (6-0)			
<i>Joint School Dist. v. Boyd</i> (6-0)			
<i>Kamke v. Clark</i> (6-0)			
<i>Marathon Electric Mfg. Corp. v. Industrial Com.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Powless v. Powless</i> (6-0)			
<i>Schroeder v. Kissling</i> (6-0)			
<i>Sheehan v. 535 North Water Street</i> (6-0)			
<i>State ex rel. Lund v. Seramur</i> (6-0)			
<i>State v. Vinson</i> (6-0)			
<i>Streeter v. Industrial Com.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Weiss v. Milwaukee</i> (6-0)			
<i>Wisconsin Employment Relations Board v. Chauffeurs, Teamsters & Helpers</i> (6-0)			
<i>Wisconsin Employment Relations Board v. United Auto., etc.</i> (6-0)			
<i>Wisconsin Power & Light Co. v. Industrial Com.</i> (6-0)			