

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0, 5-0)	6-1 (or 5-1, 4-1)	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3
17/51 (33%)	6/51 (12%)	9/51 (18%)	19/51 (37%)
<i>State v. Kevin L. Nash</i>	<i>State v. Mitchell L. Christen</i>	<i>State v. Jamie Lane Stephenson</i>	<i>Democratic National Committee v. Bostelmann</i>
<i>State v. George E. Savage</i>	<i>United America, LLC v. Wisconsin Department of Transportation</i>	<i>State v. Leevan Roundtree</i>	<i>Donald J. Trump v. Joseph R. Biden</i>
<i>State v. Angel Mercado</i>	<i>Francis G. Graef v. Continental Indemnity Company</i>	<i>Michael Anderson v. Town of Newbold</i>	<i>Mark Jefferson v. Dane County, Wisconsin</i>
<i>State v. Brian L. Halverson</i>	<i>Gail Moreschi v. Village of Williams Bay and Town of Linn ETZ Zoning Board of Appeals (5-1)</i>	<i>Waupaca County v. K.E.K.</i>	<i>Ted Ritter v. Tony Farrow</i>
<i>State v. Alfonso C. Loayza</i>	<i>Applegate-Bader Farm, LLC v. DOR (5-1)</i>	<i>Timothy Zignego v. Wisconsin Elections Commission</i>	<i>State v. Anthony James Jendusa</i>
<i>State v. Decarlos K. Chambers</i>	<i>David Stroede v. Society Insurance, A Mutual Company (4-1)</i>	<i>State v. Jordan Alexander Lickes</i>	<i>Jere Fabick v. Tony Evers</i>
<i>State v. Mark D. Jensen</i>		<i>St. Augustine School v. Carolyn Stanford Taylor</i>	<i>Christus Lutheran Church of Appleton v. Wisconsin Department of Transportation</i>
<i>Country Visions Cooperative v. Archer-Daniels-Midland Company</i>		<i>Clean Wisconsin, Inc. v. DNR [2016AP001688] (4-2)</i>	<i>Tavern League of Wisconsin, Inc. v. Andrea Palm</i>
<i>State v. Tavodess Matthews</i>		<i>Clean Wisconsin, Inc. v. DNR [2018AP000059] (4-2)</i>	<i>Ronald L. Collison v. City of Milwaukee Board of Review</i>
<i>Southwest Airlines Co. v. State of Wisconsin Department of Revenue</i>			<i>State v. Heather Jan VanBeek</i>
<i>City of Mayville v. DOA</i>			<i>State v. James Timothy Genous</i>
<i>State v. Jacob Richard Beyer</i>			<i>Southport Commons, LLC v. DOT</i>
<i>State v. Dawn M. Prado</i>			<i>Kemper Independence Insurance Company v. Ismet Islami</i>
<i>Cheyne Monroe v. Chad Chase</i>			<i>Eau Claire County Department of Human Services v. S. E.</i>
<i>Village of Slinger v. Polk Properties, LLC (6-0)</i>			<i>Sara Lindsey James v. Janel Heinrich AND WCRIS v. Janel Heinrich AND St. Ambrose Academy, Inc. v. Joseph T. Parisi</i>
<i>State v. Adam W. Vice (6-0)</i>			<i>State v. Alan M. Johnson</i>
<i>Mohns Inc. v. BMO Harris Bank National Association (5-0)</i>			<i>State v. Anthony M. Schmidt</i>
			<i>Kathy Schwab v. Paul Schwab</i>
			<i>State v. George Steven Burch</i>

¹ Occasionally a decision finds a justice concurring in part and dissenting in part. For this table, and those prepared for other years, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice’s opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority’s reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.