Agreement Among Pairs of Justices

The following tables show the percentage of cases in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side in a decision—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all cases; the second table narrows its focus to cases in which decisions were not unanimous. When reading the *second* table, for instance, one finds that Justices Dallet and Karofsky voted together in 88% of the cases, while the figure for Justices Roggensack and Hagedorn was 57%.

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices—All Cases

	Roggensack	Ziegler	R. Bradley	Dallet	Hagedorn	Karofsky
A. Bradley	29/49=**59%**	25/47=**53%**	19/49=**39%**	47/49=**96%**	27/44=**61%**	43/49=**88%**
Roggensack		42/48=**88%**	38/50=**76%**	28/50=**56%**	32/45=**71%**	32/50=**64%**
Ziegler			38/49=**78%**	25/49=**51%**	33/45=**73%**	29/49=**59%**
R. Bradley				20/51=**39%**	33/46=**72%**	22/51=**43%**
Dallet					29/46=**63%**	47/51=**92%**
Hagedorn						29/46=**63%**
Karofsky						

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices—Non-Unanimous Cases

	Roggensack	Ziegler	R. Bradley	Dallet	Hagedorn	Karofsky
A. Bradley	13/33=**39%**	10/32=**31%**	3/33=**9%**	31/33=**94%**	13/30=**43%**	27/33=**82%**
Roggensack		26/32=**81%**	21/33=**64%**	11/33=**33%**	17/30=**57%**	15/33=**45%**
Ziegler			22/33=**67%**	9/33=**27%**	18/30=**60%**	13/33=**39%**
R. Bradley				3/34=**9%**	18/31=**58%**	5/34=**15%**
Dallet					14/31=**45%**	30/34=**88%**
Hagedorn						14/31=**45%**
Karofsky						