Agreement Among Pairs of Justices

The following tables show the percentage of decisions in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all 251 decisions; the second table narrows its focus to decisions that were not unanimous. When reading the ***second*** table, for instance, one finds that Justices Fairchild and Brown voted together in 24% of the decisions, while the figure for Justices Currie and Dieterich was 73%.

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—All Decisions

	Fairchild	Currie	Brown	Broadfoot	Martin	Dieterich	Wingert
Hallows	228/240=**95%**	239/245=**98%**	197/202=**98%**	241/249=**97%**	152/153=**99%**	143/146=**98%**	100/102=**98%**
	Fairchild	224/238=**94%**	189/202=**94%**	226/242=**93%**	145/150=**97%**	144/148=**97%**	86/93=**92%**
		Currie	194/201=**97%**	237/247=**96%**	148/154=**96%**	143/146=**98%**	98/100=**98%**
			Brown	201/204=**99%**	133/134=**99%**	144/148=**97%**	54/55=**98%**
				Broadfoot	152/155=**98%**	145/148=**98%**	98/102=**96%**
					Martin	103/106=**97%**	47/48=**98%**
						Dieterich	--
							Wingert

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—Non-Unanimous Decisions

	Fairchild	Currie	Brown	Broadfoot	Martin	Dieterich	Wingert
Hallows	10/22=**45%**	16/22=**73%**	12/17=**71%**	14/22=**64%**	10/11=**91%**	8/11=**73%**	9/11=**82%**
	Fairchild	8/22=**36%**	4/17=**24%**	6/22=**27%**	6/11=**55%**	7/11=**64%**	4/11=**36%**
		Currie	10/17=**59%**	12/22=**55%**	5/11=**45%**	8/11=**73%**	9/11=**82%**
			Brown	14/17=**82%**	8/9=**89%**	7/11=**64%**	5/6=**83%**
				Broadfoot	8/11=**73%**	8/11=**73%**	7/11=**64%**
					Martin	4/7=**57%**	3/4=**75%**
						Dieterich	--
							Wingert