STATISTICS ON WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, 1966-67

Four-to-Three Decisions

4-3 Alignments

Justices	Total	Case Names
Wilkie, Hallows, Beilfuss, Hanley	1	Rasmussen v. Oshkosh Sav. & Loan
Wilkie, Heffernan, Currie, Gordon	1	Hixson v. Public Service Com.

4-3 Membership in the Majority

Justice	Votes
Wilkie	2
Hallows	1
Heffernan	1
Beilfuss	1
Hanley	1
Currie	1
Gordon	1
Hansen	0

4-3 Majority Opinions Authored

	Opinions
Wilkie	1
Hallows	1
Heffernan	0
Beilfuss	0
Hanley	0
Currie	0
Gordon	0
Hansen	0
Total	2

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0, 5-0)	6-1 (or 5-1, 4-1)	5-2	4-3
258/278 (93%)	10/278 (4%)	8/278 (3%)	2/278 (1%)
Heath v. Zellmer	Vogt v. Chicago, M.	Lemke v. Larsen	, ,
N. I. C.	S. P. & P. R. Co.	Co.	
Nelson v. State	Kayden Industries, Inc. v. Murphy	Wurtzinger v. Jacobs	
Columbia Hospital Asso. v.	Spoehr v. Mittelstadt	State v. Alfonsi	
Milwaukee	Spociii v. miiicistaai	State v. Hyonsi	
In re Estate of Javornik	Boutelle v. Chrislaw	Grunwald v.	
		Halron	
Peterman v. State	Huebner v. State	Farwell v.	
		Farwell	
Besnah v. Fond Du Lac	Ogle v. Avina Carson v. Beloit	Manka v. Karns Muskego-	
Traeger v. Traeger	Carson v. Belou	Norway Consol.	
		Schools Joint	
		School Dist. v.	
		Wisconsin	
		Employment	
		Relations Board	
		[11-1-66]	
Wiggins Constr. Co. v. Joint	Muskego-Norway	Herzog v.	
School Dist.	Consol. Schools Joint	Bujniewicz	
	School Dist. v.		
	Wisconsin		
	Employment		
	Relations Board (5-1) [6-30-67]		
Dawson v. Jost	Ripon v. Diedrich (5-		
Buwson v. Jose	1)		
Ernst v. Greenwald	Bleck v. Monona		
	Village (4-1)		
Welch v. Neisius			
Barber v. Oshkosh			
Continental Grain Co. v. Afram Bros. Co.			
Reid v. Thrams			
Barclay Brass & Aluminum			
Foundry, Inc. v. Resnick			
Aetna Casualty & Surety Co. v.			
Osborne-McMillan Elevator Co.			
Grunwaldt v. Milwaukee			
State v. Miller			
State v. Reppin			
Fitzgerald v. Globe-Union, Inc.			
Milwaukee v. Trzesniewski			
Kerner v. Employers Mut.			
Liability Ins. Co.			
Kenosha v. State			

¹ As the result of rounding, the percentages do not add up to one hundred. In few instances, a justice authored a separate opinion that concurred in part and dissented in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

Vinicky v. Midland Mut. Cas. Ins.		
Co.		
McManus v. Hinney		
Yelk v. Seefeldt		
State ex rel. Schmear v. Gagnon		
Rhinelander City Employee's v.		
Rhinelander		
Premke v. Pan American Motel,		
Inc.		
State ex rel. Klinkiewicz v. Duffy		
In re Estate of Perry		
Seitz v. Seitz		
State ex rel. Beaudry v. Panosian		
Grayson v. State		
Davidson v. Davidson		
Rath v. Doerfler		
Raisanen v. Milwaukee		
State v. Freiberg		
Woller v. Wisconsin Dep't of		
Taxation		
Moritz v. Broadfoot		
Schlicht v. Thesing		
Dahl v. Ellis		
Cogger v. Trudell		
Northwestern Nat'l Casualty Co.		
v. State Auto. & Casualty		
Underwriters		
Lawver v. Park Falls		
Cirillo v. Milwaukee		
Gaertner v. State		
Strack v. Great Atlantic &		
Pacific Tea Co.		
Metzger v. Wisconsin Dep't of		
Taxation		
Wetzel v. Wetzel		
Kriesel v. Kriesel		
Venisek v. Draski		
Vroman v. Kempke		
Hillstead v. Shaw		
Creighbaum v. State		
Bruss v. Milwaukee Sporting		
Goods Co.		
In re Estate of Scheibe		
Libowitz v. Lake Nursing Home,		
Inc.		
Greenwald v. State		
Midthun v. Morgan		
H. & M. Heating Co. v. Andrae		
Jessup v. La Pin		
Lehman v. Sentry Ins. Co.		
County of Milwaukee v. Schmidt,		
Garden & Erikson		
Milwaukee v. Bichel		
Air Power Equipment Corp. v.		
Telemark Co.		
Wallow v. Zupan		
Zepczyk v. Nelson		
Merriman v. Cash-Way, Inc.		
Greenfield v. Local 1127		
C. Conjucta V. Local 1127	l l	l

Courte of Wardenbarr Mordon	1	ı	ı
County of Waukesha v. Mueller Hutterli v. State Conservation			
Com.			
Jabs v. State Board of Personnel			
Beres v. New Berlin			
State ex rel. Bowman v. Barczak			
Ahnapee & W. R. Co. v.			
Challoner			
State v. Koopman			
Sholund v. Sholund			
Belohlavek v. State			
Kraynick v. Industrial Com.			
Lambrecht v. State Highway			
Com.			
Wipfli v. Martin			
In re Estate of Detjen			
Hakes v. Paul			
Jelinski v. Eggers			
McCullough v. Brandt			
Skornia v. Highway Pavers, Inc.			
Northwest Capital Corp. v.			
Kimmel			
Novakofski v. State Farm Mut.			
Auto. Ins. Co.			
Artmar, Inc. v. United Fire &			
Casualty Co.			
Okimosh v. State			
Schroedel Corp. v. State			
Highway Com.			
State v. Conway Kwaterski v. State Farm Mut.			
Auto. Ins. Co.			
Smith v. State			
Pleasant Prairie v. Johnson			
Grieb v. Citizens Casualty Co.			
Ballard v. Lumbermens Mut.			
Casualty Co.			
Mosing v. Hagen			
Cedarburg Light & Water Com.			
v. Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co.			
Appleton State Bank v. Lee			
State v. McCredden			
Hansen v. State			
Wojtanowski v. St. Josaphat's			
Congregation			
Bay View State Bank v. Liber			
Ash v. American Family Mut. Ins.			
Co.			
Moldenhauer v. Faschingbauer			
Arthur v. State Conservation			
Com.			
Marlin Electric Co. v. Industrial Com.			
Newberger v. Pokrass			
Singer Bros., Inc. v. Glendale			
Johnson Hill's Press, Inc. v.			
Nasco Industries, Inc.			
Ray v. State			
Bayside v. Bruner			
(continued on following nage)			

Davis v. State		
State ex rel. Baer v. Milwaukee		
Abbott v. Truck Ins. Exchange		
Co.		
State ex rel. La Follette v. Reuter		
Cranston v. Bluhm		
Thornewell v. Indiana		
Lumbermens Mut. Ins. Co.		
Associated Hospital Service, Inc.		
v. Milwaukee Auto. Mut. Ins. Co.		
Van Gheem v. Chicago & N. R.		
Co.		
D'Angelo v. Cornell Paperboard		
Products Co.		
Mueller v. Mizia		
Newton v. Newton		
Strandberg v. Strandberg		
Commodore v. State		
Smith v. Milwaukee & Suburban		
Transport Co.		
Petzak v. Graves		
State Cent. Credit Union v.		
Bayley		
In re Will of Kalicicki		
State ex rel. Werlein v. Elamore		
Center Drainage Dist. v. Capitol		
Indem. Corp.		
Dewing v. Cooper		
Kalkopf v. Donald Sales & Mfg. Co.		
Krause v. Holand		
Sanderfoot v. Sherry Motors, Inc.		
Wojkiewicz v. American Family		
Mut. Ins. Co.		
Rausch v. Buisse		
Buhler v. Racine County		
State ex rel. Rizzo v. County		
Court of Kenosha County		
Holloway v. State		
Lewandowski v. Preferred Risk		
Mut. Ins. Co.		
State v. Carter		
Von Uhl v. Trempealeau County		
Mut. Ins. Co.		
Baierl v. Hinshaw		
In re Estate of Keske		
Schilling v. Gall		
S & H, Inc. v. Sladky		
Sommers v. Sommers		
State v. Pierce		
Reyes v. Lawry		
Duggan v. Arnold N. May		
Builders, Inc.		
Gremban v. Burke		
Geis v. Hirth		
Reinke v. Woltjen		
Associates Discount Corp. v.		
Mohs Realty, Inc.		
(continued on following nage)		

Fromm & Sichel, Inc. v. Ray's		
Brookfield, Inc.		
Saxauer v. Luebke		
Schafer v. Time Ins. Co.		
B. D. C. Corp. v. Public Service		
Comm.		
Schwarzbauer v. Menasha		
McGee v. Kuchenbaker		
Tuttle v. Virginia Surety Co.		
Rewolinski v. Harley-Davidson		
Motor Co.		
Jung v. State		
Galloway v. State		
Caldwell v. Piggly Wiggly		
Madison Co.		
Scharping v. Johnson		
St. Regis Apartment Corp. v.		
Sweitzer		
Cheese v. Afram Bros. Co.		
Oseman v. State		
Pattermann v. Whitewater		
Sailing v. Wallestad		
State ex rel. Sauk County Dist.		
Attorney v. Gollmar		
Withers v. Tucker		
Wolke v. Rudd		
Tobar v. State		
In re Estate of Hoeppner		
St. Clair v. McDonnell		
Peterson v. Maul		
State v. Halverson		
Ace Refrigeration & Heating Co.		
v. Industrial Com.		
Duszynski v. B & T Riding		
Academy, Inc.		
McKearn v. Lerman Tire Service,		
Ltd.		
Norway v. State Board of Health		
Buska v. Central Life Assurance		
Co.		
Foreway Express, Inc. v. Hilbert		
Le Barron v. State		
In re Winburn		
Wisconsin Collectors Ass'n, Inc.		
v. Thorp Finance Corp.		
McLaughlin v. State		
Beaudoin v. Watertown		
Memorial Hospital		
In re Estate of Topel		
American Motors Corp. v.		
Wisconsin Employment Relations		
Board		
Mueller v. State		
Simpson v. State		
State v. Cathey		
State v. Croy		
Gleason v. Gillihan		
Davis v. Geib		
Menzl v. Milwaukee		
menti v. minwankee		

State v. Koerner	
State v. Sabala	
State v. Yancey	
Weiss v. Mutual Indem. Co.	
Boerschinger v. Elkay	
Enterprises, Inc.	
Mazurkiewicz v. Pawinski	
Ruff v. Burger	
Ivy v. Tower Ins. Co.	
La Vigne v. State	
Lee Realty Corp. v. West Allis	
State ex rel. Youmans v. Owens	
(per curiam, 7-0)	
Sivyer Steel Casting Co. v.	
American Steel & Pump Corp.	
(per curiam, 6-0)	
State v. Eau Claire Oil Co. (6-0)	
Moutry v. American Mut.	
Liability Ins. Co. (6-0)	
Miller v. State (6-0)	
Zillmer v. Miglautsch (6-0)	
Harris v. Knutson (6-0)	
State ex rel. La Follette v. Raskin	
(6-0)	
Heiden v. Ray's, Inc. (6-0)	
Kramer v. Bohlman (6-0)	
Moran v. Quality Aluminum	
Casting Co. (6-0)	
North Gate Corp. v. North Gate	
Bowl, Inc. (6-0)	
First Nat'l Bank v. Sheriff of	
Milwaukee County (6-0)	
Conery v. Tackmaier (6-0)	
Bentzler v. Braun (6-0)	
Whitty v. State (6-0)	
State ex rel. Murphy v. Voss (6-0)	
Abdella v. Smith (6-0)	
Schimke v. Milwaukee &	
Suburban Transport Co. (6-0)	
Stippich v. Milwaukee (6-0)	
In re Estate of Rosenthal (6-0)	
Schemenauer v. Travelers Indem.	
Co. (6-0)	
Banas v. State (6-0)	
Jansen v. Vils (6-0)	
Gauer v. Gauer (6-0)	
Geyso v. Cudahy (6-0)	
Whitefish Bay v. Wisconsin	
Employment Relations Board (6-	
0)	
Cash Loan Co. v. Boser (6-0)	
Whitman v. Whitman (6-0)	
Farmers Mut. Ins. Co. v. Fischer	
(6-0)	
Prescher v. Wauwatosa (6-0)	
Westler v. Milwaukee (6-0)	
Brunette v. Slezewski (6-0)	

Beloit v. Public Service Com. (6-		
0)		
Walter D. Giertsen Co. v. State		
(6-0)		
Morateck v. Milwaukee Auto.		
Mut. Ins. Co. (6-0)		
State ex rel. Kaczkowski v. Board		
of Fire & Police Comm'rs (6-0)		
Gottlieb v. Milwaukee (6-0)		
Abbott Labs. v. Norse Chem.		
Corp. (6-0)		
Shadow Lawn School Dist. v.		
Walworth County School		
Committee (6-0)		
Sid Grinker Co. v. Craighead (6-		
0)		
In re Estate of Nunnemacher (6-		
0)		
State ex rel. Garton Toy Co. v.		
Mosel (6-0)		
State ex rel. Dudek v. Circuit		
Court for Milwaukee County (5-		
0)		
Chille v. Howell (5-0)		
Milwaukee v. Firemen's Relief		
Asso. (5-0)		

Frequency in the Majority

These tables display how frequently each justice voted in the majority in decisions filed during the 1966-67 term. The first table includes all cases in which a justice voted, while the second table includes only cases decided by split votes.

All Cases

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Wilkie	269	273	99%
Hallows	265	273	97%
Heffernan	272	278	98%
Beilfuss	273	277	99%
Hanley	267	269	99%
Currie	271	276	98%
Gordon	163	165	99%
Hansen	82	83	99%

Non-Unanimous Decisions

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Wilkie	15	19	79%
Hallows	11	19	58%
Heffernan	14	20	70%
Beilfuss	16	20	80%
Hanley	18	20	90%
Currie	15	20	75%
Gordon	10	12	83%
Hansen	5	6	83%

Opinions Authored

The first table indicates how many majority opinions a justice authored in cases decided by each of the four possible majority vote totals. The second table shows how many concurrences and dissents each justice wrote.²

Opinion Author	7-0 (or 6-0, 5-0)	6-1 (or 5-1, 4-1)	5-2	4-3
Wilkie	33	1	2	1
Hallows	35	3	2	1
Heffernan	40	1	1	0
Beilfuss	38	2	0	0
Hanley	37	2	1	0
Currie	38	0	0	0
Gordon	25	0	1	0
Hansen	10	1	1	0

Opinion Author	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions
Wilkie	1	2
Hallows	2	7
Heffernan	0	1
Beilfuss	0	2
Hanley	0	0
Currie	3	5
Gordon	4	2
Hansen	0	0

² The two unanimous *per curiam* decisions do not figure in this table.

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices

The following tables show the percentage of decisions in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all 278 decisions; the second table narrows its focus to decisions that were not unanimous. When reading the *second* table, for instance, one finds that Justices Wilkie and Gordon voted together in 73% of the decisions, while the figure for Justices Hallows and Hansen was 17%.

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—All Decisions

	Hallows	Heffernan	Beilfuss	Hanley	Currie	Gordon	Hansen
Wilkie	257/269= 96%	268/273= 98%	264/272= 97%	258/264= 98%	264/271= 97%	159/162= 98%	80/82= 98%
	Hallows	262/273= 96%	264/272= 97%	256/264= 97%	258/271= 95%	158/163= 97%	75/80= 94%
		Heffernan	267/277= 96%	261/269= 97%	267/276= 97%	158/165= 96%	83/83=100%
			Beilfuss	264/268= 99%	266/275= 97%	162/165= 98%	81/82= 99%
				Hanley	262/267= 98%	155/159= 97%	81/82=99%
					Currie	158/163= 97%	82/83= 99%
						Gordon	
							Hansen

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—Non-Unanimous Decisions

	Hallows	Heffernan	Beilfuss	Hanley	Currie	Gordon	Hansen
Wilkie	7/19= 37%	14/19= 74%	11/19= 58%	13/19= 68%	12/19= 63%	8/11= 73%	4/6= 67%
	Hallows	8/19= 42%	11/19= 58%	11/19= 58%	6/19= 32%	6/11= 55%	1/6= 17%
		Heffernan	10/20= 50%	12/20= 60%	11/20= 55%	5/12= 42%	6/6=100%
			Beilfuss	16/20= 80%	11/20= 55%	9/12= 75%	5/6=83%
				Hanley	15/20= 75%	8/12= 67%	5/6=83%
					Currie	7/12= 58%	5/6=83%
						Gordon	
							Hansen

Days Between Oral Argument and Opinion Filing

This table shows the average number of days between oral argument and the filing of majority (or lead) opinions authored by each of the justices. Given that a variety of factors could influence the length of time between oral argument and the filing of an opinion in a particular case—including the time taken by other justices to write concurring or dissenting opinions—averages for individual justices should be compared over an extended period.

	Number of Majority/Lead Opinions Authored in Cases that Included Oral Argument	Ave. No. of Days From Oral Argument to Opinion Filing
Wilkie	36	36
Hallows	41	36
Heffernan	42	31
Beilfuss	40	32
Hanley	40	29
Currie	38	29
Gordon	26	27
Hansen	12	26