

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0, 5-0)	6-1 (or 5-1, 4-1)	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3 (or 3-2)
18/43 (42%)	6/43 (14%)	8/43 (19%)	11/43 (26%)
<i>State v. Roy S. Anderson</i>	<i>Emer's Camper Corral, LLC v. Western Heritage Insurance Company</i>	<i>State v. Autumn Marie Love Lopez</i>	<i>State v. Robert James Pope, Jr.</i>
<i>Lamar Central Outdoor, LLC v. Division of Hearings & Appeals</i>	<i>Roger Choinsky v. Germantown School District Board of Education (5-1)</i>	<i>State v. Stephan I. Roberson</i>	<i>Joshua M. Wren v. Reed Richardson</i>
<i>Veritas Steel, LLC v. Lunda Construction Company</i>	<i>David Skindzelewski v. Joseph Smith, Jr. (5-1)</i>	<i>Marathon County v. D. K.</i>	<i>State v. Donavinn D. Coffee</i>
<i>State v. Carrie E. Counihan</i>	<i>Chris Hinrichs v. DOW Chemical Company (4-1)</i>	<i>Langlade County v. D. J. W.</i>	<i>City of Cedarburg v. Ries B. Hansen</i>
<i>State v. Charles L. Neill, IV</i>	<i>Joan C. Pulkkila v. James M. Pulkkila (4-1)</i>	<i>State v. Ryan M. Muth</i>	<i>State v. Alexander M. Schultz</i>
<i>Town of Wilson v. City of Sheboygan</i>	<i>State v. Courtney C. Brown (4-1)</i>	<i>WSBU v. Joel Brennan</i>	<i>Antoinette Lang v. Lions Club of Cudahy Wisconsin, Inc.</i>
<i>DSG Evergreen Family Limited Partnership v. Town of Perry</i>		<i>State v. Matthew C. Hinkle (4-2)</i>	<i>Steven J. Piper v. Jones Dairy Farm</i>
<i>State v. Richard H. Harrison, Jr.</i>		<i>Kathleen Papa v. DHS (4-2)</i>	<i>Winnebago County v. C.S.</i>
<i>London Scott Barney v. Julie Mickelson, MD</i>			<i>Wisconsin Legislature v. Andrea Palm</i>
<i>Milton Eugene Warren v. Michael Meisner</i>			<i>Timothy W. Miller v. Angela L. Carroll</i>
<i>Quick Charge Kiosk LLC v. Josh Kaul</i>			<i>State v. Mose B. Coffee (3-2)</i>
<i>State v. Alfonso Lorenzo Brooks</i>			
<i>Town of Delafield v. Central Transport Kriewaldt</i>			
<i>State v. Timothy E. Dobbs</i>			
<i>Richard A. Mueller v. TL90108, LLC (6-0)</i>			
<i>State v. Dennis Brantner (6-0)</i>			
<i>Jose M. Correa v. Woodman's Food Market (6-0)</i>			
<i>State v. David Gutierrez (5-0)</i>			

¹ As a result of rounding, the percentages do not add up to 100. Occasionally a decision finds a justice concurring in part and dissenting in part. For this table, and those prepared for other years, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

Service Employees International Union (SEIU), Local 1 v. Robin Vos and *Nancy Bartlett v. Tony Evers* defy ready categorization and are omitted from this table.