## STATISTICS ON WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, 1973-1974

## Four-to-Three Decisions

4-3 Alignments

Justices	Total	Case Names
Wilkie, Hallows, Heffernan, Beilfuss	2	Kovach v. Schubert; In re Grams
Beilfuss, C. Hansen, Hanley, R. Hansen	1	Cresci v. Schmidt
Wilkie, Hallows, Heffernan, Hanley	1	Madison Metropolitan Sewerage District

# 4-3 Membership in the Majority

Justice	Votes
Wilkie	3
Hallows	3
Heffernan	3
Beilfuss	3
C. Hansen	1
Hanley	2
R. Hansen	1

# 4-3 Majority Opinions Authored

	Opinions
Wilkie	2
Hallows	0
Heffernan	1
Beilfuss	0
C. Hansen	1
Hanley	0
R. Hansen	0
Total	4

## Decisions by Vote Split<sup>1</sup>

7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2	4-3
296/316 (94%)	7/316 (2%)	9/316 (3%)	4/316 (1%)
Mercury Record Prods. v. Econ.	In re Adoption of	Sch. Dist. v. Dep't of	State ex rel. Kovach
Consultants, Inc.	Tachick	Indus.	v. Schubert
Commercial Discount Corp. v.	Conrad v. State	Slawek v. Stroh	State ex rel. Cresci
Milwaukee Western Bank	D. G.	GI WIII	v. Schmidt
State v. Taylor	Ross v. State	Skaar v. Wisconsin Dep't of Revenue	Madison Metropolitan
		Dep i oj Kevenue	Sewerage Dist. v.
			Department of
			Natural Resources
Schuh v. Fox River Tractor Co.	Gross v. Denow	Kemp v. State	In re Grams
State v. Ewald	Hall v. State	State ex rel. Terry v.	
		Traeger	
Watson v. State	Osco Drug, Inc. v.	County of Walworth	
	Wisconsin Pharmacy	v. Hartwell	
Lorenz v. Dreske	Examining Board Herro, McAndrews &	Naker v. Trenton	
Lorenz v. Dreske	Porter, S. C. v.	naker v. Trenton	
	Gerhardt (5-1)		
Allstate Ins. Co. v. Truck Ins.	` ′	Seidler v. State	
Exchange			
State v. Mabra		Tombal v. Farmers	
		Ins. Exchange	
Powers v. HuntWesson Foods,			
Inc. Pure Milk Products Cooperative			
v. National Farmers			
Organization			
State v. Stanislawski			
State v. Cydzik			
Rieck v. Medical Protective Co.			
Jagmin v. Simonds Abrasive Co.			
State v. Michels Pipeline Constr.,			
Inc.			
A. E. Inv. Corp. v. Link Builders,			
Inc.			
Chicago, M., S. P. & P. R.R. Co. v. State, Dep't of Industry, Labor			
& Human Relations			
Goodson v. Racine			
Howard v. Mt. Sinai Hosp., Inc.			
Kruse v. Schieve			
Samson v. Riesing			
Silberman v. Roethe			
Stevens Constr. Corp. v. Carolina			
Corp.			
Wirsing v. Krzeminski			
Dumer v. State			
(continued on following page)			

(continued on following page)

\_\_\_\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In few instances, a justice authored a separate opinion that concurred in part and dissented in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

State ex rel. Chobot v. Circuit		
Court for Milwaukee County		
In re Interest of W.		
In re Estate of Sharp		
State ex rel. Haskins v. County		
Court of Dodge County		
Allison v. State		
Day v. State		
Scales v. State		
National Liberty Life Ins. Co. v.		
State		
State v. Christel		
Cords v. State		
Holmes v. State		
State ex rel. Warren v. Nusbaum		
Heezen v. Hartland Cicero Mut.		
Ins. Co.		
Lanser v. Koconis		
Levesque v. State		
Lincoln Crest Realty, Inc. v.		
Standard Apartment Development		
Omernik v. State		
Phifer v. State		
Prue v. State		
State ex rel. Plotkin v.		
Department of Health & Social		
Services		
Walton v. State		
Beckstrom v. Kornsi		
State v. Jakubowski		
Afram v. Balfour, Maclaine, Inc.		
Bradley v. Knutson		
Britton v. Hoyt		
Brown v. Equitable Life Ins. Co.		
Hendrickson v. State		
In re Estate of Trecker		
Kmiec v. Spider Lake		
Kress Packing Co. v. Kottwitz		
Love v. State		
Marshall & Ilsley Bank v.		
Milwaukee Gear Co.		
Martinson v. American Family		
Mut. Ins. Co.		
Mazurek v. Skaar		
Muench v. State		
Nelson v. Employers Mut.		
Casualty Co.		
Rosenthal v. Kurtz		
Safransky v. State Personnel Bd.		
Salerno v. Racine		
Schmidt v. Luchterhand		
State Bank of Viroqua v. Capitol		
Indem. Corp. State v. Estrada		
State v. Estrada State v. McFarren		
State v. Trailer Service, Inc.		
(continued on following page)		

State v. Weso  W. G. Slugg Seed & Fertilizer, Inc. v. Paulsen Lumber, Inc.  In re Recall of Certain Officials Richards v. Gruen  State ex rel. Building Owners & Managers Ass'n v. Adamany  State v. John  State v. Ryback	
Inc. v. Paulsen Lumber, Inc.  In re Recall of Certain Officials  Richards v. Gruen  State ex rel. Building Owners & Managers Ass'n v. Adamany  State v. John	
In re Recall of Certain Officials Richards v. Gruen State ex rel. Building Owners & Managers Ass'n v. Adamany State v. John	
Richards v. Gruen  State ex rel. Building Owners & Managers Ass'n v. Adamany  State v. John	
Managers Ass'n v. Adamany State v. John	
State v. John	
State v. Ryback	
Central Mut. Ins. Co. v. H. O., Inc.	
Gleason v. Wisconsin Dep't of	
Transp.  Tesch v. Tesch	
Upchurch v. State	
Bohlman v. American Family	
Mut. Ins. Co.	
Jones v. State	
Scheid v. State	
Gaddis v. State	
Heifetz v. Johnson	
Ramrod, Inc. v. Wisconsin Dep't	
of Revenue	
State ex rel. Momon v.	
Milwaukee County Civil Service Com.	
State v. Duda	
State v. Gedko	
Warshafsky v. Journal Co.	
Weiland v. State Dep't of Transp.	
(Div. of Highways)  Worthington v. Farmers Ins.	
Exchange	
Aspenleiter v. William Beaudoin & Sons, Inc.	
Baldwin v. State	
Beavers v. State	
Coleman v. State	
Garrella v. State	
In re Pewaukee	
Seybold v. State	
State ex rel. Hawkins v. Gagnon	
State ex rel. R. v. Schmidt	
State v. Hernandez	
State v. Werstein	
Theuerkauf v. Schnellbaecher	
Akin v. Kewaskum Community Schools Joint School Dist.	
American Motors Corp. v.	
Wisconsin Dep't of Revenue  Cords v. Ehly	
Green v. Rosenow	
Hunter v. Department of	
Industry, Labor & Human	
Relations	
Irby v. State (continued on following page)	

L C'. C. C FI I		
Kansas City Star Co., Flambeau		
Paper Co. Div. v. Department of Industry, Labor & Human		
Relations		
Langston v. State		
Madison v. State (64 Wis. 2d		
564)		
Morones v. State		
Mortgage Associates, Inc. v.		
Siverhus		
Nolan v. Venus Motors, Inc.		
Pate v. State		
Pautz v. State		
Pfeifer v. Pfeifer		
RechtGoldinSiegal Constr.,		
Inc. v. Wisconsin Dep't of		
Revenue Smith v. State (60 Wis. 2d 373)		
Sprang v. State		
State ex rel. Hanson v.		
Department of Health & Social		
Services		
State v. Bagnall		
State v. Bell		
State v. Johnson		
State v. Mertes		
State v. Russell		
Steele v. Gray		
Stern v. Wisconsin Dep't of		
Revenue		
Wilson v. Craite		
Yurk v. Prudential Ins. Co.  American Bank & Trust Co. v.		
Wisconsin Dep't of Revenue		
Anchor S & L Ass'n v. Week		
Automatic Merchandising Corp.		
v. Nusbaum		
Bailey v. Hovde		
Beloit Corp. v. Department of		
Industry, Labor & Human		
Relations		
Berg v. State		
Berkan v. State Board of		
Personnel Brown v. State		
Clark v. State		
Coraci v. Noack		
Cousins v. State		
Davis v. State		
Dickens v. Kensmoe		
Diedrick v. Gehring		
Durand West, Inc. v. Milwaukee		
Western Bank		
Edelman v. State		
Edlebeck v. Barnes		
E. D. Wesley Co. v. New Berlin		
(continued on following nage)		

Employers Mut. Liab. Ins. Co. v.		
Department of Indus., Labor &		
Human Rels.		
Erickson v. MidCentury Ins.		
Co.		
Feinberg v. Hasler		
Fox v. State		
Frohna v. Continental Ins. Cos.		
Gedicks v. State		
Green v. Granville Lumber &		
Fuel Co.		
Gregory v. State		
Grover v. State		
Hafenbraedl v. Le Tendre for		
Congress Committee		
Hancock v. Regents of University		
of Wis.		
Hansen v. State		
Hardison v. State		
Harris v. Kelly		
Hayzes v. State		
Hebel v. State		
Heiting v. Heiting		
Hemauer v. State		
Horneck v. State		
Howard v. Soo Line R.R. Co.		
Hussong v. State		
In re Estate of Lease		
In re Estate of Mihelcic		
In re Estate of Nale		
In re Estate of Scherffius		
In re Oshkosh Foundation		
Jacobs v. Stack		
Jankowski v. A. O. Smith Corp.		
Jantz v. State, Dep't of Transp.,		
Div. of Highways		
Johnson v. Heintz		
Joncas v. Krueger		
Just v. Misericordia Hospital		
Kasieta v. State		
Kletsch v. Waukesha County		
Klug v. Flambeau Plastics Corp.		
Knox v. American Standard Ins.		
Co.		
Korpela v. State		
Kowalczuk v. Rotter		
Krebs v. State		
Kurz v. Kurz		
Lacey v. Lacey		
Lang v. Hewitt		
Laster v. State		
Lemberger v. Koehring Co.		
Lipinski v. Pakulski		
Marks v. State		
Master Disposal, Inc. v.		
Menomonee Falls		

1		1
Mohrhusen v. McCann		
Morrissette v. De Zonia		
Mossey v. Mueller		
Murphy v. Department of		
Industry, Labor, & Human		
Relations		
Naden v. Johnson		
Nelson v. Fisher Well Drilling		
Co.		
Northland Ins. Co. v. Avis Rent		
ACar		
Perkins v. State		
Posnanski v. West Allis		
Precision Service Co. v. Schill		
Price v. Ross		
Racine v. Mt. Pleasant		
Ramsden v. Hawkinson Gas Serv.	$\Box$	
Co.		
Redepenning v. State		
Reshan v. Harvey		
Rinehart v. State		
Rohl v. State		
Rosenheimer v. Rosenheimer		
Sass v. State		
Schlytter v. Lesperance		
Scott v. State		
Sedlet Plumbing & Heating, Inc.		
v. Village Court, Ltd.		
Sheldon v. Singer		
Simonton v. Department of		
Industry, Labor & Human		
Relations		
Simpson v. State		
Smith v. State (63 Wis. 2d 496)		
State ex rel. Harris v. Larson		
State ex rel. Nordell v. Kinney		
State ex rel. Park Plaza Shopping		
Center, Inc. v. Board of Review		
State v. Alioto		
State v. Boutch (60 Wis. 2d 397)		
State v. Boutch (60 Wis. 2d 443)		
State v. Davis		
State v. Frizzell		
State v. H. Samuels Co.		
State v. Kuecey		
State v. Loeffler		
State v. Meier		
State v. Monona		
State v. Nemoir		
State v. Sharlow		 
State v. Studler		
State v. Trimbell		
State v. Van Ark		
State v. Withers		
St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co.		
v. La Crosse Trust Co.		

la , a		
Syvock v. State		
Tanck v. Clerk, Middleton Joint		
School Dist.		
Terpstra v. Soiltest, Inc.		
Thompson v. State		
Truesdale v. State		
Turner v. State		
United Fire & Casualty Co. v.		
PalmerRitchie Post		
Vernon Cty. v. Department of		
Indus., Labor & Human Rels.		
Vier v. Vier		
Vivian v. Examining Board of		
Architects, Professional		
Engineers, Designers & Land		
Surveyors		
Voight v. Nanz		
Voigt v. State		
Wagner v. State		
Ward v. Ward		
Washington Heights Sanitary		
Dist. v. Eau Claire		
Winston v. Minkin		
Wm. Beaudoin & Sons, Inc. v.		
County of Milwaukee		
Wood County v. State Board of		
Vocational, Technical & Adult		
Education		
Younger v. Rosenow Paper & Supply Co.		
Zastrow v. State		
State v. Mills (6-0)		
State v. Jackman (6-0)		
Master Lock Co. v. Wisconsin		
Dep't of Revenue (6-0)		
Madison v. State (6-0) (61 Wis.		
2d 333)		
Court v. State (per curiam, 7-0)		
Benzschawel v. Stoll (per curiam,		
7-0)		
Beckett v. State (per curiam, 7-0)		
Boyles v. State (per curiam, 7-0)		
Central Penn Nat'l Bank v. Otto		
(per curiam, 7-0)		
Eau Claire v. Department of		
Natural Resources (per curiam,		
7-0)		
Grage v. Wisconsin Area Health		
& Welfare Fund (per curiam, 7-0)		
Larson v. State (per curiam, 7-0)		
Miller v. Carriers Ins. Co. (per		
curiam, 7-0)		
Roux Laboratories, Inc. v. Beauty		
Franchises, Inc. (per curiam, 7-0)		
Schneider v. State (per curiam, 7-		
State v. Veller (per evrient 7.0)		
State v. Koller (per curiam, 7-0)		

State v. Shears (per curiam, 7-0)		
State v. Starr (per curiam, 7-0)		
Swikert v. State (per curiam, 7-0)		
Larkin v. Wagner (per curiam, 7-		
0)		
Bonnie v. State (per curiam, 7-0)		
Capps v. Capps (per curiam, 7-0)		
Hoffman v. State (per curiam, 7-		
0)		
Lantern, Inc. v. C. W. Transport,		
<i>Inc.</i> (per curiam, 7-0)		
Lee v. State (per curiam, 7-0) (60		
Wis. 2d 756)		
Lee v. State (per curiam, 7-0) (60		
Wis. 2d 758)		
Pigee v. State (per curiam, 7-0)		
Rodriguez v. State (per curiam, 7-		
0)		
Schramm v. State (per curiam, 7-		
State v. Consolidated		
Freightways Corp. (per curiam, 7-0)		
State v. H. M. Laboratories, Inc.,		
Sta-Power Div. (per curiam, 7-0)		
sia Tower Div. (per curiani, 7-0)		

## Frequency in the Majority

These tables display how frequently each justice voted in the majority in decisions filed during the 1973-74 term. The first table includes all cases in which a justice voted (out of the total of 316 cases specified above), while the second table includes only cases decided by split votes.

All Cases

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Wilkie	311	314	99%
Hallows	312	316	99%
Heffernan	314	316	99%
Beilfuss	311	314	99%
C. Hansen	312	316	99%
Hanley	309	316	98%
R. Hansen	301	315	96%

#### Non-Unanimous Decisions

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Wilkie	16	19	84%
Hallows	16	20	80%
Heffernan	18	20	90%
Beilfuss	17	20	85%
C. Hansen	16	20	80%
Hanley	13	20	65%
R. Hansen	6	20	30%

## Opinions Authored

The first table indicates how many majority opinions a justice authored in cases decided by each of the four possible majority vote totals. The second table shows how many concurrences and dissents each justice wrote.<sup>2</sup>

<b>Opinion Author</b>	7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2	4-3
Wilkie	37	1	0	2
Hallows	40	1	0	0
Heffernan	35	3	1	1
Beilfuss	39	0	4	0
C. Hansen	39	0	1	1
Hanley	37	1	3	0
R. Hansen	42	1	0	0

Opinion Author	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions
Wilkie	5	1
Hallows	5	3
Heffernan	0	2
Beilfuss	0	1
C. Hansen	0	0
Hanley	0	0
R. Hansen	6	13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Per curiam decisions are not included.

#### **Agreement Among Pairs of Justices**

The following tables show the percentage of decisions in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all 316 decisions; the second table narrows its focus to decisions that were not unanimous. When reading the *second* table, for instance, one finds that Justices Hallows and Beilfuss voted together in 65% of the decisions, while the figure for Justices Heffernan and R. Hansen was 20%.

#### Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—All Decisions

	Hallows	Heffernan	Beilfuss	C. Hansen	Hanley	R. Hansen
Wilkie	311/314= <b>99%</b>	313/314= <b>99.7</b> %	306/312= <b>98%</b>	307/314= <b>98%</b>	304/314= <b>97%</b>	297/313= <b>95%</b>
	Hallows	312/316= <b>99%</b>	307/314= <b>98%</b>	308/316= <b>97%</b>	305/316= <b>97%</b>	297/315= <b>94%</b>
		Heffernan	309/314= <b>98%</b>	310/316= <b>98%</b>	307/316= <b>97%</b>	299/315= <b>95%</b>
			Beilfuss	309/314= <b>98%</b>	304/314= <b>97%</b>	302/313= <b>96%</b>
				C. Hansen	309/316= <b>98%</b>	303/315= <b>96%</b>
					Hanley	308/315= <b>98%</b>
						R. Hansen

#### Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—Non-Unanimous Decisions

	Hallows	Heffernan	Beilfuss	C. Hansen	Hanley	R. Hansen
Wilkie	16/19= <b>84%</b>	18/19= <b>95%</b>	13/19= <b>68%</b>	12/19= <b>63%</b>	9/19= <b>47%</b>	3/19= <b>16%</b>
	Hallows	16/20= <b>80%</b>	13/20= <b>65%</b>	12/20= <b>60%</b>	9/20=45%	2/20=10%
		Heffernan	15/20= <b>75%</b>	14/20= <b>70%</b>	11/20= <b>55%</b>	4/20= <b>20%</b>
			Beilfuss	15/20= <b>75%</b>	10/20= <b>50%</b>	9/20=45%
				C. Hansen	13/20= <b>65%</b>	8/20= <b>40%</b>
					Hanley	13/20= <b>65%</b>
						R. Hansen

### Days Between Oral Argument and Opinion Filing

This table shows the average number of days between oral argument and the filing of majority (or lead) opinions authored by each of the justices. Given that a variety of factors could influence the length of time between oral argument and the filing of an opinion in a particular case—including the time taken by other justices to write concurring or dissenting opinions—averages for individual justices should be compared over an extended period. (Of course, the table does not include *per curiam* decisions and the cases that were submitted on briefs.)

	Number of Majority/Lead Opinions Authored in Cases that Included Oral Argument	Ave. No. of Days From Oral Argument to Opinion Filing
Wilkie	26	52
Hallows	10	38
Heffernan	35	46
Beilfuss	33	35
C. Hansen	31	30
Hanley	36	35
R. Hansen	27	33