

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0, 5-0)	6-1 (or 4-1)	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3
17/59 (29%)	6/59 (10%)	26/59 (44%)	10/59 (17%)
<i>State v. Ginger M. Breitzman</i>	<i>Mark McNally v. Capital Cartage, Inc.</i>	<i>State v. Jose Alberto Reyes Fuerte</i>	<i>Estate of Stanley G. Miller v. Diane Storey</i>
<i>Tetra Tech EC, Inc. v. Wisconsin Department of Revenue</i>	<i>State v. Brian Grandberry</i>	<i>Debra K. Sands v. John R. Menard, Jr.</i>	<i>State v. Kyle Lee Monahan</i>
<i>State v. Shaun M. Sanders</i>	<i>Horizon Bank, National Association v. Marshalls Point Retreat LLC</i>	<i>State v. David McAlister, Sr.</i>	<i>Jerome Movrich v. David J. Lobermeier</i>
<i>State v. Anthony Jones</i>	<i>State v. Lamont Donnell Sholar</i>	<i>Ascaris Mayo v. Wisconsin Injured Patients and Families Compensation Fund</i>	<i>State v. Frederick S. Smith</i>
<i>Winnebago County v. J.M.</i>	<i>Richard Forshee v. Lee Neuschwander</i>	<i>Deutsche Bank National Trust Company v. Thomas P. Wuensch</i>	<i>Archie A. Talley v. Mustafa Mustafa</i>
<i>State v. DeAnthony K. Muldrow</i>	<i>State v. Gerrod R. Bell (4-1)</i>	<i>State v. Gerald P. Mitchell</i>	<i>Milwaukee Police Association v. City of Milwaukee</i>
<i>State v. Diamond J. Arberry</i>		<i>State v. David Hager, Jr. AND State v. Howard Carter</i>	<i>Adams Outdoor Advertising Limited Partnership v. City of Madison</i>
<i>Robert H. Shugarts, II v. Dennis M. Mohr</i>		<i>Penny L. Springer v. Nohl Electric Products Corporation</i>	<i>State v. Christopher John Kerr</i>
<i>Wisconsin DWD v. Wisconsin LIRC</i>		<i>Golden Sands Dairy LLC v. Town of Saratoga</i>	<i>State v. Patrick H. Dalton</i>
<i>Federal National Mortgage Association v. Cory Thompson</i>		<i>The Manitowoc Company, Inc. v. John M. Lanning</i>	<i>Winebow, Inc. v. Capitol-Husting Co., Inc.</i>
<i>State v. Andre L. Scott</i>		<i>State v. Anthony R. Pico</i>	
<i>State v. Steven T. Delap</i>		<i>Voters with Facts v. City of Eau Claire</i>	
<i>State v. Anton R. Dorsey (6-0)</i>		<i>Wisconsin Association of State Prosecutors v. Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission</i>	
<i>Nationstar Mortgage LLC v. Robert R. Stafsholt (6-0)</i>		<i>State v. Shannon Olanche Hendricks</i>	
<i>State v. Michael L. Washington (6-0)</i>		<i>Cintas Corp. No. 2 v. Becker Property Services LLC</i>	
<i>State v. Michael L. Cox (6-0)</i>		<i>State v. Daniel J. H. Bartelt</i>	
<i>State v. Jamal L. Williams (5-0)</i>		<i>Metropolitan Associates v. City of Milwaukee</i>	
		<i>Wisconsin Bell, Inc. v. LIRC</i>	
		<i>CED Properties, LLC v. City of Oshkosh</i>	
		<i>State v. Joseph T. Langlois</i>	
		<i>E. Glen Porter, III v. State of Wisconsin</i>	

(continued on the following page)

¹ Occasionally a decision finds a justice concurring in part and dissenting in part. For this table, and those prepared for other years, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice’s opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority’s reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, 2017-2018

		<i>Department of Natural Resources v. Wisconsin Court of Appeals, District IV</i>	
		<i>Madison Teachers, Inc. v. James R. Scott</i>	
		<i>John Y. Westmas v. Selective Insurance Company of South Carolina (4-2)</i>	
		<i>Donald J. Thoma v. Village of Slinger (4-2)</i>	
		<i>John McAdams v. Marquette University (4-2)</i>	