

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 5-0, 6-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2	4-3 (or 3-2)
109/134 (81%)	11/134 (8%)	10/134 (7%)	4/134 (3%)
<i>State v. Dean</i>	<i>In re Estate of Stromsted</i>	<i>Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Mitchell</i>	<i>State v. Department of Industry, Labor & Human Relations</i>
<i>Hessenius v. Schmidt</i>	<i>In re Incorporation of Fitchburg</i>	<i>Barrera v. State</i>	<i>State v. Braunsdorf</i>
<i>State v. Mosley</i>	<i>Law Enforcement Standards Bd. v. Lyndon Station</i>	<i>Christians v. Homestake Enterprises, Ltd.</i>	<i>State v. Schulz</i>
<i>Bruheim v. Little</i>	<i>Oshkosh v. Oshkosh Public Library Clerical & Maintenance Employees</i>	<i>In re Estate of Safran</i>	<i>State v. Braun (3-2)</i>
<i>Brown County v. Department of Health & Social Services</i>	<i>Roe v. Larson</i>	<i>In re Guardianship of Eberhardy</i>	
<i>Brookhill Dev., Ltd. v. Waukesha</i>	<i>State v. Cheers</i>	<i>International Foundation of Employee Ben. Plans, Inc. v. Brookfield</i>	
<i>Gutter v. Seamandel</i>	<i>State v. Dorcey</i>	<i>Nelson v. Travelers Ins. Co.</i>	
<i>Ziemann v. North Hudson</i>	<i>State v. Goebel</i>	<i>Sewerage Com. of Milwaukee v. Department of Natural Resources</i>	
<i>State v. Williford</i>	<i>Swanigan v. State Farm Ins. Co.</i>	<i>State ex rel. Briggs & Stratton Corp. v. Noll</i>	
<i>State v. Hall</i>	<i>American Motors Corp. v. Department of Industry, Labor & Human Relations (5-1)</i>	<i>Strigenz v. Department of Regulation & Licensing Dentistry Examining Bd.</i>	
<i>Kranzush v. Badger State Mut. Casualty Co.</i>	<i>State v. Wedgeworth (5-1)</i>		
<i>S. Robinson v. State</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Reddin v. Meekma</i>			
<i>Colby v. Colby</i>			
<i>Theuerkauf v. Sutton</i>			
<i>Meka v. Falk Corp.</i>			
<i>Hartung v. Hartung</i>			
<i>Kenosha County Dep't of Social Services v. Nelsen</i>			
<i>State v. Derenne</i>			
<i>Brownsell v. Klawitter</i>			
<i>In Interest of W.</i>			
<i>State v. Baldwin</i>			
<i>State v. Kruse</i>			
<i>State v. Hooper</i>			
<i>State v. Argiz</i>			
<i>Woodman v. Department of Health & Social Services</i>			
<i>State v. Upchurch</i>			
<i>Rollins Burdick Hunter, Inc. v. Hamilton</i>			

(continued on following page)

¹ As the result of rounding, the percentages do not add up to one hundred. In few instances, a justice authored a separate opinion that concurred in part and dissented in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

<i>Manson v. State</i>			
<i>Martino v. McDonald's Corp.</i>			
<i>Wipperfurth v. U-Haul Co. of Western Wisconsin, Inc.</i>			
<i>Soo Line R. Co. v. Department of Transp., Div. of Highways</i>			
<i>State v. Machner</i>			
<i>State v. Yellow Freight System, Inc.</i>			
<i>State v. Bettinger</i>			
<i>In re M.</i>			
<i>State v. Copening</i>			
<i>In Interest of M.</i>			
<i>Davis v. Allstate Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Hagenkord v. State</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Fessler</i>			
<i>Schroeder v. Schroeder</i>			
<i>State v. Kummer</i>			
<i>Walker v. Bignell</i>			
<i>State v. Foster</i>			
<i>School Dist. v. Lindner</i>			
<i>State v. Zellmer</i>			
<i>State v. Coble</i>			
<i>White Hen Pantry v. Buttke</i>			
<i>Department of Revenue v. Howick</i>			
<i>Johnson v. Ray</i>			
<i>Sommer v. Carr</i>			
<i>Johnson v. Misericordia Community Hospital</i>			
<i>State v. J. Kramer</i>			
<i>Walker v. State</i>			
<i>Hawthorne v. State</i>			
<i>State v. Cartagena</i>			
<i>Ott v. All-Star Ins. Corp.</i>			
<i>Kania v. Airborne Freight Corp.</i>			
<i>State v. Lund</i>			
<i>Sharpe Furniture, Inc. v. Buckstaff</i>			
<i>State v. Craft</i>			
<i>State v. Barkdoll</i>			
<i>Mortenson v. Miller</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Tarney v. McCormack</i>			
<i>Berns v. Wisconsin Employment Relations Com.</i>			
<i>Halbman v. Lemke</i>			
<i>State v. L. Kramer</i>			
<i>Milwaukee v. Lindner</i>			
<i>Chicago & N. W. Railroad v. Labor & Industry Review Com.</i>			
<i>Maynard v. Port Publications, Inc.</i>			
<i>Jeffers v. Nysse</i>			
<i>Air Wisconsin, Inc. v. North Cent. Airlines, Inc.</i>			

(continued on following page)

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, 1980-1981

<i>In re Adoption of R.P.R.</i>			
<i>Wells v. Chicago & North Western Transp. Co.</i>			
<i>In re Guardianship of Nelson</i>			
<i>In re Guardianship of Klisurich</i>			
<i>Gerger v. Campbell</i>			
<i>In re H.</i>			
<i>State v. Cramer</i>			
<i>In Interest of H.</i>			
<i>Midcontinent Broadcasting Co. v. Wisconsin Dep't of Revenue</i>			
<i>J. M. S. v. Benson</i>			
<i>Wisconsin Bankers Asso. v. Mutual Sav. & Loan Asso. (per curiam)</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Moran v. Department of Admin. (per curiam)</i>			
<i>State v. Givens (6-0)</i>			
<i>Grobarchik v. State</i>			
<i>Cameron v. Milwaukee (6-0)</i>			
<i>Vernon v. Waukesha County (6-0)</i>			
<i>Caporali v. Washington Nat'l Ins. Co. (6-0)</i>			
<i>De Pratt v. Sergio (6-0)</i>			
<i>Bearns v. Department of Industry, Labor & Human Relations (6-0)</i>			
<i>Boldt v. State (6-0)</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Warrington v. Circuit Court for Shawano County (6-0)</i>			
<i>State v. Christensen (6-0)</i>			
<i>McNally v. Tollander (6-0)</i>			
<i>Lak v. Richardson-Merrell, Inc. (6-0)</i>			
<i>State v. State Farm Fire & Casualty Co. (6-0)</i>			
<i>Miller v. Smith (6-0)</i>			
<i>State v. Hanson (6-0)</i>			
<i>State ex rel. McDonald v. Circuit Court for Douglas County (6-0)</i>			
<i>Omernick v. Department of Natural Resources (6-0)</i>			
<i>Dykstra v. Arthur G. McKee & Co. (6-0)</i>			
<i>Wisconsin Asso. of Mfrs. & Commerce, Inc. v. Public Service Com. (6-0)</i>			
<i>Winkie, Inc. v. Heritage Bank of Whitefish Bay (6-0)</i>			
<i>Mannino v. Davenport (6-0)</i>			
<i>State v. Edwards (6-0)</i>			
<i>State v. Prober (6-0)</i>			
<i>W. Robinson v. State (5-0)</i>			