## Decisions by Vote Split<sup>1</sup>

7-0 (or 5-0, 6-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3
95/123 (77%)	15/123 (12%)	10/123 (8%)	3/123 (2%)
In Interest of T	State v. Peotter	State ex rel. Melentowich v. Klink	Terry v. Mongin Ins. Agency
State v. Morris	Hamed v. County of Milwaukee	State v. Oliver	County of Portage v. Steinpreis
State v. Lee	Merten v. Nathan	West Salem Educ. Assn. v. School Dist. of West Salem	Vidmar v. American Family Mut. Ins. Co.
State v. Poth	Wisconsin Professional Police Asso. (WPPA) v. County of Dane	State v. Callaway	
State v. Chosa	Gibson v. Transportation Com.	State v. Rivest	
Oddsen v. Board of Fire & Police Comm'rs	Marshfield Clinic v. Discher	Rimes v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.	
Kramer v. Alpine Valley Resort, Inc.	State v. Clausen	State v. Stanfield	
Thorpe v. Thorpe	State v. Stepniewski	State v. Welsh	
Department of Natural Resources v. Wisconsin Power & Light Co.	Kollasch v. Adamany	Tift v. Forage King Industries, Inc.	
State v. Outlaw	Jenkins v. Sabourin	Wisconsin's Environmental Decade, Inc. v. Department of Industry, Labor & Human Relations	
Romasko v. Milwaukee	Jankowski v. Milwaukee County		
State v. Lehman	Prah v. Maretti		
State v. Kelley	Loy v. Bunderson		
State v. McAllister	State v. Novak		
Blue Top Motel, Inc. v. Stevens Point	Denny v. Mertz		
Cline-Hanson, Inc. v. Esselman			
State v. Wisumierski			
State v. Balistreri			
Quesenberry v. Milwaukee County			
Servomation Corp. v. Department of Revenue			
State ex rel. Funmaker v. Klamm			
State v. Kemp			
Kordecki v. Rizzo			
Horrigan v. State Farm Ins. Co.			
Milwaukee Alliance Against Racist & Political Repression v. Elections Bd. of Wisconsin			
State v. Olson			
Herkert v. Stauber			

(continued on following page)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As the result of rounding, the percentages do not add up to one hundred. In few instances, a justice authored a separate opinion that concurred in part and dissented in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

Klaus v. Vander Heyden	l	
Barbian v. Lindner Bros.		
Trucking Co.		
Milwaukee v. Department of		
Industry, Labor & Human		
Relations		
State v. Service Electric &		
Supply, Inc.		
Foreclosure of Tax Liens etc. v.		
Young		
State v. Alles		
Taylor v. Conta		
State v. Kaye		
In Interest of JD		
State v. Paulson		
Wehr Steel Co. v. Department of		
Industry, Labor & Human		
Relations		
Mohr v. Milwaukee		
State v. Smith		
State v. Holmes		
******		
Elections Bd. v. Ward		
Hallie v. Chippewa Falls		
Swanson Furniture Co. v.		
Advance Transformer Co.		
State ex rel. Grand Bazaar		
Liquors, Inc. v. Milwaukee		
Vander Perren v. Vander Perren		
United States Fire Ins. Co. v. E.		
D. Wesley Co.		
Department of Revenue v.		
Family Hospital, Inc.		
Wick v. Mueller		
Mueller v. Brunn		
State v. Banks		
In re Estate of Lecic		
Foerster, Inc. v. Atlas Metal		
Parts Co.,		
State v. Peterson		
State v. Vick		
State v. Fillyaw		
Jos. P. Jansen Co. v. Milwaukee		
Area Dist. Bd. of Vocational,		
Technical & Adult Education		
Basinas v. State		
Office of State Public Defender		
v. Circuit Court for Dodge		
County		
State v. Toliver		
McGarrity v. Welch Plumbing		
Co.		
Wisconsin Public Service Corp.		
v. Krist		
Omro v. Brooks		
Krieg v. Dayton-Hudson Corp.		
State v. Quality Egg Farm, Inc.		

(continued on following page)

W · D I CD I · O C·		
Kaiser v. Board of Police & Fire Comm'rs		
Ringle v. Marathon		
Sunnyview Village, Inc. v. State		
Dep't of Admin.		
State v. Williams		
State ex rel. Smits v. De Pere		
Marsh v. Milwaukee		
Lincoln v. Seawright		
Department of Revenue v.		
Trudell Trailer Sales, Inc.		
State v. Nye (per curiam)		
Schroeder, Gedlen, Riester &		
Moerke v. Schoessow		
Cody v. Sheboygan Machine Co.		
Paulson v. Olson Implement Co.		
Coleman v. Milwaukee		
State v. Shumate		
Dixon v. Dixon		
Lakeshore Commercial Finance		
Corp. v. Drobac		
Jasper v. Jasper		
State v. Townsend		
Lodi v. Hine		
State v. Humphrey		
In re Marriage of Lundberg		
Roberto v. Brown		
Bahr v. Bahr		
Val Zimmermann Corp. v.		
Leffingwell		
State v. Brown		
R. W. Hernan Plumbing Corp. v.		
Anchor Sav. & Loan Asso.		
Department of Revenue v. Horne		
Directory, Inc.		
Trojan v. Board of Regents		
Durham v. Pekrul		
Bence v. Milwaukee		