STATISTICS ON WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, 1981-1982

Four-to-Three Decisions

4-3 Alignments

Justices	Total	Case Names
Steinmetz, Day, Callow, Coffey	1	County of Portage
Abrahamson, Day, Heffernan, Beilfuss	2	Terry; Vidmar

4-3 Membership in the Majority

Justice	Votes
Abrahamson	2
Steinmetz	1
Day	3
Heffernan	2
Callow	1
Beilfuss	2
Coffey	1

4-3 Majority Opinions Authored

	Opinions
Abrahamson	1
Steinmetz	0
Day	1
Heffernan	0
Callow	0
Beilfuss	0
Coffey	1
Total	3

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 5-0, 6-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3	
95/123 (77%)	15/123 (12%)	10/123 (8%)	3/123 (2%)	
In Interest of T	State v. Peotter	State ex rel. Melentowich v. Klink	Terry v. Mongin Ins. Agency	
State v. Morris	Hamed v. County of Milwaukee	State v. Oliver	County of Portage v. Steinpreis	
State v. Lee	Merten v. Nathan	West Salem Educ. Assn. v. School Dist. of West Salem	Vidmar v. American Family Mut. Ins. Co.	
State v. Poth	Wisconsin Professional Police Asso. (WPPA) v. County of Dane	State v. Callaway		
State v. Chosa	Gibson v. Transportation Com.	State v. Rivest		
Oddsen v. Board of Fire & Police Comm'rs	Marshfield Clinic v. Discher	Rimes v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.		
Kramer v. Alpine Valley Resort, Inc.	State v. Clausen	State v. Stanfield		
Thorpe v. Thorpe	State v. Stepniewski	State v. Welsh		
Department of Natural Resources v. Wisconsin Power & Light Co.	Kollasch v. Adamany	Tift v. Forage King Industries, Inc.		
State v. Outlaw	Jenkins v. Sabourin	Wisconsin's Environmental Decade, Inc. v. Department of Industry, Labor & Human Relations		
Romasko v. Milwaukee	Jankowski v. Milwaukee County			
State v. Lehman	Prah v. Maretti			
State v. Kelley	Loy v. Bunderson			
State v. McAllister	State v. Novak			
Blue Top Motel, Inc. v. Stevens Point	Denny v. Mertz			
Cline-Hanson, Inc. v. Esselman				
State v. Wisumierski				
State v. Balistreri				
Quesenberry v. Milwaukee County				
Servomation Corp. v. Department of Revenue				
State ex rel. Funmaker v. Klamm				
State v. Kemp				
Kordecki v. Rizzo				
Horrigan v. State Farm Ins. Co.				
Milwaukee Alliance Against Racist & Political Repression v.				
Elections Bd. of Wisconsin State v. Olson				
Herkert v. Stauber				
(continued on following page)	<u> </u>	I .	l .	

(continued on following page)

_

¹ As the result of rounding, the percentages do not add up to one hundred. In few instances, a justice authored a separate opinion that concurred in part and dissented in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

Klaus v. Vander Heyden		
Barbian v. Lindner Bros.		
Trucking Co.		
Milwaukee v. Department of		
Industry, Labor & Human		
Relations		
State v. Service Electric &		
Supply, Inc.		
Foreclosure of Tax Liens etc. v.		
Young		
State v. Alles		
Taylor v. Conta		
State v. Kaye		
In Interest of JD		
State v. Paulson		
Wehr Steel Co. v. Department of		
Industry, Labor & Human		
Relations		
Mohr v. Milwaukee		
State v. Smith		
State v. Holmes		
Elections Bd. v. Ward		
Hallie v. Chippewa Falls		
Swanson Furniture Co. v.		
Advance Transformer Co. State ex rel. Grand Bazaar		
Liquors, Inc. v. Milwaukee		
Vander Perren v. Vander Perren		
United States Fire Ins. Co. v. E.		
D. Wesley Co.		
Department of Revenue v. Family Hospital, Inc.		
Wick v. Mueller		
Mueller v. Brunn		
State v. Banks		
In re Estate of Lecic		
Foerster, Inc. v. Atlas Metal		
Parts Co.,		
State v. Peterson		
State v. Vick		
State v. Fillyaw		
Jos. P. Jansen Co. v. Milwaukee		
Area Dist. Bd. of Vocational,		
Technical & Adult Education		
Basinas v. State		
Office of State Public Defender		
v. Circuit Court for Dodge		
County		
State v. Toliver		
McGarrity v. Welch Plumbing		
Co.		
Wisconsin Public Service Corp.		
v. Krist		
Omro v. Brooks		
Krieg v. Dayton-Hudson Corp.		
State v. Quality Egg Farm, Inc.		

(continued on following page)

Kaiser v. Board of Police & Fire		
Comm'rs		
Ringle v. Marathon		
Sunnyview Village, Inc. v. State		
Dep't of Admin.		
State v. Williams		
State ex rel. Smits v. De Pere		
Marsh v. Milwaukee		
Lincoln v. Seawright		
Department of Revenue v.		
Trudell Trailer Sales, Inc.		
State v. Nye (per curiam)		
Schroeder, Gedlen, Riester &		
Moerke v. Schoessow		
Cody v. Sheboygan Machine Co.		
Paulson v. Olson Implement Co.		
Coleman v. Milwaukee		
State v. Shumate		
Dixon v. Dixon		
Lakeshore Commercial Finance		
Corp. v. Drobac		
Jasper v. Jasper		
State v. Townsend		
Lodi v. Hine		
State v. Humphrey		
In re Marriage of Lundberg		
Roberto v. Brown		
Bahr v. Bahr		
Val Zimmermann Corp. v.		
Leffingwell		
State v. Brown		
R. W. Hernan Plumbing Corp. v.		
Anchor Sav. & Loan Asso.		
Department of Revenue v. Horne		
Directory, Inc.		
Trojan v. Board of Regents		
Durham v. Pekrul		
Bence v. Milwaukee		
Zence in munice	1	

Frequency in the Majority

These charts display how frequently each justice voted in the majority in decisions filed during the 1981-82 term. The first chart includes all cases in which a justice voted (out of the total of 123 cases specified above), while the second chart includes only cases decided by split votes. *Part of the way through the term, Justice Ceci replaced Justice Coffey. In cases where neither justice authored an opinion, or joined a separate opinion, I could not always determine which justice participated. Thus, both have been omitted from the tables on this page.*

All Cases

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Abrahamson	103	119	87%
Steinmetz	117	122	96%
Day	120	122	98%
Heffernan	117	123	95%
Callow	115	122	94%
Beilfuss	120	123	98%

Non-Unanimous Decisions

Justice	Majority Votes Cast	Total Votes Cast	Percent in Majority
Abrahamson	11	27	41%
Steinmetz	23	28	82%
Day	26	28	93%
Heffernan	22	28	79%
Callow	21	28	75%
Beilfuss	25	28	89%

Opinions Authored

This chart indicates how many majority/lead opinions a justice authored in cases decided by each of the four possible majority vote totals. *Justice Ceci replaced Justice Coffey part way through the term*.

Opinion Author	7-0 (or 5-0, 6-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3	
Abrahamson	13	3	0	1	
Steinmetz	17	1	0	0	
Day	8	5	3	1	
Heffernan	14	2	2	0	
Ceci	3	0	0	0	
Callow	14	3	2	0	
Beilfuss	17	1	1	0	
Coffey	8	0	2	1	

Opinion Author	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions
Abrahamson	3	16
Steinmetz	3	5
Day	1	2
Heffernan	1	1
Ceci	0	0
Callow	6	4
Beilfuss	0	0
Coffey	2	4

Agreement Among Pairs of Justices

The following tables show the percentage of decisions in which every possible pair of justices found themselves on the same side—either both in the majority or both dissenting. The first table covers all 123 decisions; the second table narrows its focus to decisions that were not unanimous. When reading the first table, for instance, one finds that Justices Abrahamson and Heffernan voted together in 91% of the decisions, while the figure for Justices Day and Beilfuss was 96%. Part of the way through the term, Justice Ceci replaced Justice Coffey. In cases where neither justice authored an opinion, or joined a separate opinion, I could not always determine which justice participated. Thus, both have been omitted from the tables on this page.

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—All Decisions

	Steinmetz	Day	Heffernan	Callow	Beilfuss
Abrahamson	97/118= 82%	100/118= 85%	108=119= 91%	95/118= 81%	105/119= 88%
	Steinmetz	114/121= 94%	111/122= 91%	113/121= 93%	114/122= 93%
		Day	114/122= 93%	116/121= 96%	117/122= 96%
			Heffernan	109/122= 89%	118/123= 96%
				Callow	112/122= 92%
					Beilfuss

Agreement Between Pairs of Justices—Non-Unanimous Decisions

	Steinmetz	Day	Heffernan	Callow	Beilfuss
Abrahamson	6/27= 22%	9/27= 33%	16/27= 59%	4/27= 15%	13/27= 48%
	Steinmetz	21/28= 75%	17/28= 61%	20/28= 71%	20/28= 71%
		Day	20/28= 71%	23/28= 82%	23/28= 82%
			Heffernan	15/28= 54%	23/28= 82%
				Callow	18/28= 64%
					Beilfuss

Days Between Oral Argument and Opinion Filing

This table shows the average number of days between oral argument and the filing of majority (or lead) opinions authored by each of the justices. Given that a variety of factors could influence the length of time between oral argument and the filing of an opinion in a particular case—including the time taken by other justices to write concurring or dissenting opinions—averages for individual justices should be compared over an extended period.² Justice Ceci replaced Justice Coffey part way through the term.

	Number of Majority/Lead Opinions Authored in Cases that Included Oral Argument	Ave. No. of Days From Oral Argument to Opinion Filing
Abrahamson	17	61
Steinmetz	17	31
Day	17	58
Heffernan	18	76
Ceci	2	30
Callow	19	40
Beilfuss	19	31
Coffey	10	42

² This table does not include 4 cases that were submitted on briefs.

Number of Oral Arguments Presented

The following table displays firms and agencies that participated in at least two oral arguments during the twelve months under consideration.

Firms and Agencies	Number of Oral Arguments
Bell, Metzner & Gierhart	2
Borgelt, Powell, Peterson & Frauen	2
Brennan, Steil, Ryan, Basting & MacDougall	2
Cook & Franke	2
DeWitt, Sundby, Huggett & Schumacher	2
Foley & Lardner	3
Gavin, Miller & Collins	2
Gimbel, Gimbel & Reilly	3
Habush, Habush & Davis	2
Jack C. Horth	2
Jenswold, Studt, Hanson, Clark & Kaufmann	2
Kasdorf, Dall, Lewis & Swietlik	4
Kluwin, Dunphy, Hankin & McNulty	2
La Follette, Sinykin, Anderson & Munson	2
Labor and Industry Review Commission	2
Lawton & Cates	2
Legal Assistance to Institutionalized Persons Program	2
Michael, Best & Friedrich	3
Milwaukee City Attorney's Office	7
Milwaukee County Corporation Counsel's Office	3
Patrick F. Brown	2
Quarles & Brady	4
State Elections Board	2
State Attorney General's Office	51
State Public Defender's Office	21