

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2	4-3
74/118 (63%)	24/118 (20%)	14/118 (12%)	6/118 (5%)
<i>In Interest of J.G.</i>	<i>American Motors Corp. v. Labor & Industry Review Com.</i>	<i>State v. Shillcutt</i>	<i>Johnson v. Pearson Agri-Systems, Inc.</i>
<i>In Interest of P.A.K.</i>	<i>State v. Horenberger</i>	<i>Sanem v. Home Ins. Co.</i>	<i>State v. Serebin</i>
<i>Kremers-Urban Co. v. American Employers Ins. Co.</i>	<i>Standard Theatres v. Department of Transp., Div. of Highways</i>	<i>State v. Rodgers</i>	<i>State v. Gustafson</i>
<i>Sorensen v. Jarvis</i>	<i>State v. Sarabia</i>	<i>Barstad v. Frazier</i>	<i>West Allis School Dist. v. Department of Industry, Labor & Human Relations</i>
<i>State v. Farr</i>	<i>Radlein v. Industrial Fire & Casualty Ins. Co.</i>	<i>Rintelman v. Rintelman</i>	<i>State v. Rutchik</i>
<i>State v. Harris</i>	<i>Samens v. Labor & Industry Review Com.</i>	<i>State v. Burroughs</i>	<i>Wisconsin's Environmental Decade, Inc. v. Wisconsin Dep't of Natural Resources</i>
<i>State v. Wittrock</i>	<i>State v. Woods</i>	<i>State v. Clappes</i>	
<i>State v. Disch</i>	<i>Watkins v. Labor & Industry Review Com.</i>	<i>Arrowhead United Teachers Organization v. Wisconsin Employment Relations Com.</i>	
<i>State v. Ehlen</i>	<i>In re Marriage of Dennis</i>	<i>Gould v. Gould</i>	
<i>State v. Ivy</i>	<i>Shopper Advertiser, Inc. v. Wisconsin Dep't of Revenue</i>	<i>State v. Noll</i>	
<i>State v. Sepulveda</i>	<i>Hathaway v. Joint School Dist.</i>	<i>Flambeau Products Corp. v. Honeywell Information Systems, Inc.</i>	
<i>State v. Walstad</i>	<i>Leciejewski v. Sedlak</i>	<i>Rauch v. American Family Ins. Co.</i>	
<i>Fifield v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.</i>	<i>Lueck v. Aetna Life Ins. Co.</i>	<i>Hayne v. Progressive Northern Ins. Co.</i>	
<i>State v. Lampe</i>	<i>Radtke v. Milwaukee</i>	<i>State Public Intervenor v. Wisconsin Dep't of Natural Resources</i>	
<i>Lobermeier v. General Tel. Co.</i>	<i>Wisconsin Patients Compensation Fund v. St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co.</i>		
<i>State v. Firkus</i>	<i>Katze v. Randolph & Scott Mut. Fire Ins. Co.</i>		
<i>Bennett v. Larsen Co.</i>	<i>State v. Beno</i>		
<i>Delmore v. American Family Mut. Ins. Co.</i>	<i>In re Estate of Halsted</i>		
<i>Ibrahim v. Samore</i>	<i>State v. Boggess</i>		
<i>Lakeland Nursing Home v. Division of Nursing Home Forfeiture Appeals</i>	<i>Thompson v. Hales Corners</i>		
<i>Madison v. Donohoo</i>	<i>State v. Webster</i>		

(continued on following page)

¹ In few instances, a justice authored a separate opinion that concurred in part and dissented in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

<i>Nicolet High School Dist. v. Nicolet Education Asso.</i>	<i>Ynocencio v. Fesko</i>		
<i>State v. Cleveland</i>	<i>Ball v. District No. 4, Area Bd. of Vocational, Technical & Adult Education (5-1)</i>		
<i>State v. Lossman</i>	<i>State ex rel. La Follette v. Stitt (per curiam, 5-1)</i>		
<i>Streiff v. American Family Mut. Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Fehring v. Republic Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>State v. Field</i>			
<i>County of Sauk v. Trager</i>			
<i>Enright v. Board of School Directors</i>			
<i>State v. Ruiz</i>			
<i>Weiss v. Regent Properties, Ltd.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Lewandowski v. Callaway</i>			
<i>Belleville State Bank v. Steele</i>			
<i>Disc. Fabric House v. Wis. Tel. Co.</i>			
<i>Glover v. Marine Bank of Beaver Dam</i>			
<i>Hester v. Williams</i>			
<i>Soquet v. Soquet</i>			
<i>State v. Walker</i>			
<i>Theama v. Kenosha</i>			
<i>State v. Neave</i>			
<i>Bonnell v. Bonnell</i>			
<i>Haugan v. Haugan</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Kugler</i>			
<i>Korkow v. General Casualty Co.</i>			
<i>State v. Sonnenberg</i>			
<i>In re M.A.M.</i>			
<i>State v. Hecht</i>			
<i>State v. Poh</i>			
<i>State v. Strege</i>			
<i>Collins v. Eli Lilly Co.</i>			
<i>In re R.W.L.</i>			
<i>Voit v. Madison Newspapers, Inc.</i>			
<i>Midland Financial Corp. v. Wisconsin Dep't of Revenue</i>			
<i>Green Bay Broadcasting Co. v. Redevelopment Authority of Green Bay</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Rautmann</i>			
<i>Burrows v. Follett & Leach, Inc.</i>			
<i>Korth v. American Family Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Leissring v. Department of Industry, Labor & Human Relations</i>			
<i>Narloch v. State, Dep't of Transp., Div. of Highways</i>			
<i>Shands v. Castrovinci</i>			

(continued on following page)

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, 1983-1984

<i>State ex rel. La Crosse Tribune v. Circuit Court for La Crosse County</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Staples v. Department of Health & Social Services, Div. of Corrections</i>			
<i>State v. Gilbert</i>			
<i>State v. Killebrew</i>			
<i>State v. Pharr</i>			
<i>Millikin v. Millikin</i>			
<i>Northwest General Hospital v. Yee</i>			
<i>State v. Droste</i>			
<i>Poynter v. Johnston</i>			
<i>State v. Bleck</i>			
<i>State v. Bohacheff</i>			
<i>In re G.S. (per curiam, 7-0)</i>			
<i>Patterson v. Board of Regents (6-0)</i>			
<i>Gilbert v. State, Medical Examining Bd. (6-0)</i>			