

Decisions by Vote Split¹

| 7-0 (or 6-0, 5-0) 12/50 (24%) | 6-1 (or 5-1, 4-1) 7/50 (14%) | 5-2 (or 4-2) 25/50 (50%) | 4-3 6/50 (12%) |
|---|---|--|---|
| <i>Ricardo M. Garza v. American Transmission Co.</i> | <i>State v. Adam M. Blackman</i> | <i>State v. Keimonte Antonie Wilson, Sr.</i> | <i>North Highland Inc. v. Jefferson Machine & Tool Inc.</i> |
| <i>State v. Edward J. Zimbal</i> | <i>Dennis A. Teague v. Brad D. Schimel</i> | <i>Braylon Seifert v. Kay M. Balink, M.D.</i> | <i>Universal Processing Services v. Circuit Court of Milw. Co.</i> |
| <i>State v. Christopher Joseph Allen</i> | <i>State v. Tabitha A. Scruggs</i> | <i>State v. Rozerick E. Mattox</i> | <i>State v. Jeffrey C. Denny</i> |
| <i>Waukesha County v. J.W.J.</i> | <i>State v. Ernesto E. Lazo Villamil</i> | <i>Regency West Apartments LLC v. City of Racine</i> | <i>Tracie L. Flug v. Labor and Industry Review Commission</i> |
| <i>John Krueger v. Appleton Area School District Board of Education</i> | <i>State v. Brian I. Harris</i> | <i>Democratic Party of Wisconsin v. Wisconsin Department of Justice</i> | <i>AllEnergy Corporation v. Trempealeau County Environment & Land Use Committee</i> |
| <i>State v. Jeffrey P. Lepsch</i> | <i>The Honorable William M. Gabler, Sr. v. Crime Victims Rights Board (5-1)</i> | <i>Dr. Randall Melchert v. Pro Electric Contractors</i> | <i>State v. Richard L. Weber</i> |
| <i>State v. Gary F. Lemberger</i> | <i>Carolyn Moya v. Healthport Technologies, LLC (4-1)</i> | <i>State v. Glenn T. Zamzow</i> | |
| <i>State v. Thornon F. Talley</i> | | <i>State v. Jack M. Suriano</i> | |
| <i>Lela M. Operton v. LIRC</i> | | <i>State v. Heather L. Steinhardt</i> | |
| <i>State v. Sambath Pal</i> | | <i>State v. Navdeep S. Brar</i> | |
| <i>Donna Brenner v. National Casualty Company (6-0)</i> | | <i>Thomas F. Benson v. City of Madison</i> | |
| <i>McKee Family I, LLC v. City of Fitchburg (5-0)</i> | | <i>Vincent Milewski v. Town of Dover</i> | |
| | | <i>State v. David W. Howes</i> | |
| | | <i>Wisconsin Carry, Inc. v. City of Madison</i> | |
| | | <i>State v. Stanley J. Maday, Jr.</i> | |
| | | <i>State v. Lazaro Ozuna</i> | |
| | | <i>State v. Raymond L. Nieves</i> | |
| | | <i>State v. Kenneth M. Asboth, Jr.</i> | |
| | | <i>State v. Lewis O. Floyd, Jr.</i> | |
| | | <i>State v. Patrick K. Kozel</i> | |
| | | <i>State v. Robert Joseph Stietz (4-2)</i> | |
| | | <i>Margaret Pulera v. Town of Richmond (4-2)</i> | |
| | | <i>The Segregated Account of Ambac Assurance Corporation v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. (4-2)</i> | |
| | | <i>Taft Parsons, Jr. v. Associated Banc-Corp (4-2)</i> | |
| | | <i>Voces de la Frontera, Inc. v. David A. Clarke, Jr. (4-2)</i> | |

¹ Occasionally a decision finds a justice concurring in part and dissenting in part. For this table, and those prepared for other years, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice’s opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority’s reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.