

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3
49/70 (70%)	6/70 (9%)	6/70 (9%)	9/70 (13%)
<i>A.B.C.G. Enterprises v. First Bank Southeast, N.A.</i>	<i>Estate of Wells by Jeske v. Mount Sinai Medical Ctr.</i>	<i>Billy Jo W. v. Metro (In re Billy Jo W.)</i>	<i>City of Edgerton v. General Cas. Co.</i>
<i>Armada Broadcasting v. Stirn</i>	<i>Irby v. Macht</i>	<i>Jindra v. Diederich Flooring</i>	<i>County of La Crosse v. Wisconsin Employment Relations Comm'n</i>
<i>Barnes v. Department of Natural Resources</i>	<i>State v. Messelt</i>	<i>King v. Village of Waunakee</i>	<i>Georgina G. v. Terry M. (In the Interest of Angel Lace M.)</i>
<i>Bowen v. Lumbermens Mut. Casualty Co.</i>	<i>State v. Thiel</i>	<i>Schultz v. Darlington Mut. Ins. Co.</i>	<i>Grosse v. Protective Life Ins. Co.</i>
<i>Brandner by Brandner v. Allstate Ins. Co.</i>	<i>State v. Walkowiak</i>	<i>State v. Escalona-Naranjo</i>	<i>Lindas v. Cady</i>
<i>Burkes v. Klauser</i>	<i>State v. West</i>	<i>Wisconsin Power & Light Co. v. Public Serv. Comm'n (4-2)</i>	<i>Richards v. Richards</i>
<i>Campenni v. Walrath</i>			<i>State v. Iglesias</i>
<i>Chang v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.</i>			<i>State v. Johnston</i>
<i>City of Franklin v. Crystal Ridge, Inc.</i>			<i>Szarzynski v. YMCA, Camp Minikani</i>
<i>Doneff v. City of Two Rivers Bd. of RevB34:B35iew</i>			
<i>Edward Kraemer & Sons v. Sauk County Bd. of Adjustment</i>			
<i>Erdman v. Jovoco, Inc.</i>			
<i>Figliuzzi v. Carcajou Shooting Club</i>			
<i>GTC Auto Parts v. Labor & Indus. Review Comm'n</i>			
<i>Interpreter in State v. Le</i>			
<i>Leist v. Labor & Indus. Review Comm'n</i>			
<i>Linville v. City of Janesville</i>			
<i>Lisa's Style Shop v. Hagen Ins. Agency</i>			
<i>Lukowski v. Dankert</i>			
<i>Millers Nat'l Ins. Co. v. City of Milwaukee</i>			
<i>Mitton v. Wisconsin Dep't of Transp.</i>			
<i>Public Intervenor v. Wisconsin Dep't of Natural Resources</i>			
<i>Sorge v. National Car Rental Sys.</i>			
(continued on following page)			

¹ Due to rounding, the percentages do not add up to 100. In two cases (*State v. Thiel* and *State v. Walkowiak*), Justice Abrahamson concurred in part and dissented in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence. Accordingly, the votes of Justices Abrahamson in these two cases have been classified as dissents.

<i>Sprangers v. Greatway Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>State Dep't of Natural Resources v. City of Waukesha</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Cynthia M.S. v. Michael F.C.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Dowe v. Circuit Court</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Flores v. State</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Parker v. Sullivan</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Shroble v. Prusener</i>			
<i>State v. Braun</i>			
<i>State v. Courtney E. (In the Interest of Courtney E.)</i>			
<i>State v. Heft</i>			
<i>State v. Kerr</i>			
<i>State v. Kurzawa</i>			
<i>State v. Oimen</i>			
<i>State v. P.G. Miron Constr. Co.</i>			
<i>State v. Peete</i>			
<i>State v. Rivera</i>			
<i>State v. Seymour</i>			
<i>State v. Stevens</i>			
<i>Stern v. Thompson & Coates</i>			
<i>Vivid, Inc. v. Fiedler</i>			
<i>Voge v. Anderson</i>			
<i>Wagner v. State Medical Examining Bd.</i>			
<i>Waste Management v. Kenosha County Bd. of Review</i>			
<i>Castaneda by Correll v. Pederson (6-0)</i>			
<i>Jelinek v. St. Paul Fire & Casualty Ins. Co. (6-0)</i>			
<i>Strong v. C.I.R., Inc. (6-0)</i>			