

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3
58/73 (79%)	4/73 (5%)	5/73 (7%)	6/73 (8%)
<i>Agnes T. v. Milwaukee County (In re Agnes T.)</i>	<i>Barillari v. City of Milwaukee</i>	<i>Henes v. Morrissey</i>	<i>Citizens' Utility Board v. Klauser</i>
<i>Armor All Products v. Amoco Oil Co.</i>	<i>Kuhn v. Allstate Ins. Co.</i>	<i>Marten Transport Ltd. v. Hartford Specialty</i>	<i>County of Adams v. Romeo</i>
<i>Bindrim v. B. & J. Ins. Agency</i>	<i>Odd S.-G. v. Carolyn S.-G. (In re Kyle S.-G.)</i>	<i>State v. Black</i>	<i>Holtzman v. Knott (In re H.S.H-K)</i>
<i>Bittner by Bittner v. American Honda Motor Co.</i>	<i>State v. Davids</i>	<i>State v. Jones</i>	<i>Matthiesen v. Continental Casualty Co.</i>
<i>Brown County Sheriff's Dep't v. Brown County Sheriff's Dep't Non-Supervisory Employees Ass'n</i>		<i>Pritzlaff v. Archdiocese of Milwaukee (4-2)</i>	<i>State v. Betterley</i>
<i>Carlson & Erickson Builders v. Lampert Yards</i>			<i>State v. Kiper</i>
<i>City of Milwaukee v. Kilgore</i>			
<i>Clark v. Milwaukee County</i>			
<i>Doering v. WEA Ins. Group</i>			
<i>Employers Insurance of Wausau v. Robin A.</i>			
<i>Gorton v. American Cyanamid Co.</i>			
<i>Grall v. Bugher</i>			
<i>Grohmann v. Grohman</i>			
<i>Heitkemper v. Wirsing</i>			
<i>IBM Credit Corp. v. Village of Allouez</i>			
<i>In re Finding of Contempt in State v. Kruse</i>			
<i>Johnson v. ABC Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Kafka v. Pope</i>			
<i>Larson v. City of Tomah</i>			
<i>Leverence v. PFS Corporation</i>			
<i>Lewis v. Sullivan</i>			
<i>Madison Landfills v. Libby Landfill Negotiating Comm.</i>			
<i>Martin by Sceptur v. Richards</i>			
<i>Meiers v. Wang</i>			
<i>Nieuwendorp v. American Family Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Northern States Power Co. v. Bugher</i>			
<i>(continued on following page)</i>			

¹ Due to rounding, the percentages do not add up to 100. In two cases there were justices who concurred in part and dissented in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence. Accordingly, the votes of Justices Abrahamson, Bablitch, and Heffernan in *County of Adams v. Romeo* have been classified as dissents, as have the votes of Justices Wilcox, Steinmetz, and Day in *Holtzman v. Knott (In re H.S.H-K)*.

<i>Payment of Witness Fees v. Brenizer</i>			
<i>Peplinski v. Fobe's Roofing</i>			
<i>Reginald D. v. State (In the Interest of Reginald D.)</i>			
<i>Schaefer v. American Family Mut. Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Schauer v. Deneveu Homeowners Ass'n</i>			
<i>Sievert v. American Family Mut. Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Levine v. Board of Review</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Mace v. Circuit Court</i>			
<i>State v. Ahrling</i>			
<i>State v. Aimee M. (In the Interest of Lauran F.)</i>			
<i>State v. Avila</i>			
<i>State v. Debra A. E.</i>			
<i>State v. Eison</i>			
<i>State v. Garcia</i>			
<i>State v. Gerard</i>			
<i>State v. Grinder</i>			
<i>State v. Marks</i>			
<i>State v. O'Dell</i>			
<i>State v. Randall</i>			
<i>State v. Smith</i>			
<i>State v. Thiel</i>			
<i>State v. Wolverton</i>			
<i>Swatek v. County of Dane</i>			
<i>Village of Oregon v. Bryant</i>			
<i>Virgil D. v. Rock County (In re Virgil D.)</i>			
<i>Wagner Mobil v. City of Madison</i>			
<i>Wyss v. Albee</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Friedrich v. Circuit Court (per curiam)</i>			
<i>Bauernfeind v. Zell (6-0)</i>			
<i>Debaker v. Shah (6-0)</i>			
<i>State v. Williquette (6-0)</i>			
<i>State v. Wills (6-0)</i>			