

Agreement Between “Liberal” and “Conservative” Justices

The first two tables cover civil and criminal cases of all types—that is, not just insurance cases—in which pairs of justices participated during the six terms considered here. When reading the second table, for instance, one finds that Justices Bradley and Ziegler voted together in 46 out of 191 (24%) non-unanimous decisions.

Unanimous and Non-Unanimous Decisions in Cases of All Types

	Roggensack	Ziegler	Gableman
Abrahamson	170/336= 51%	171/332= 52%	169/332= 51%
Bradley	185/337= 55%	187/332= 56%	182/332= 55%

Non-Unanimous Decisions in Cases of All Types

	Roggensack	Ziegler	Gableman
Abrahamson	27/193= 14%	29/190= 15%	28/191= 15%
Bradley	43/195= 22%	46/191= 24%	43/193= 22%

The next two tables specify rates of agreement between pairs of justices in just the 34 insurance cases featured in this post. The information has been extracted from the larger tables in the section titled “Agreement Among Pairs of Justices in Insurance Cases” contained in the complete set of tables referenced at the end of the post.

All 34 Insurance Cases

	Roggensack	Ziegler	Gableman
Abrahamson	17/34= 50%	16/34= 47%	17/34= 50%
Bradley	18/34= 53%	17/34= 50%	16/34= 47%

Non-Unanimous Insurance Cases

	Roggensack	Ziegler	Gableman
Abrahamson	3/20= 15%	2/20= 10%	3/20= 15%
Bradley	4/20= 20%	3/20= 15%	2/20= 10%