

Table 1

Term	Number of Majority Opinions Authored (excluding <i>per curiam</i>)	Number of Concurring and Dissenting Opinions	Average Number of Concurring and Dissenting Opinions per Majority Opinion	Average Number of Days Between Oral Argument and Decision Filing
2013-14	61	82	1.34	162
2012-13	44	59	1.34	150
2011-12	59	58	0.98	141
2010-11	57	60	1.05	131
2009-10	58	67	1.16	160
2008-09	57	58	1.02	143
2007-08	65	65	1.00	158
2006-07	64	66	1.03	149
2005-06	78	79	1.01	137
2004-05	90	110	1.22	123
2003-04	82 ¹	82	1.00	123
2002-03	86 ²	84	0.98	140
2001-02	83 ³	71	0.86	116
2000-01	89	94	1.06	120
1999-00	81 ⁴	57	0.70	117
1998-99	78	47	0.60	111
1997-98	72	51	0.71	95

¹ This total includes *DeWitt Ross & Stevens, S.C. v. Galaxy Gaming & Racing, Ltd. P'ship*. Here, neither Justice Wilcox nor Justice Prosser participated; Justice Abrahamson dissented on one issue, while Justices Crooks and Sykes dissented on a different issue. As a result, only two justices (Bradley and Roggensack) endorsed the “majority” opinion on all issues, and three did not.

² This total does not include *Raz v. Brown*, in which no oral argument took place. Nor does it include *Digicorp, Inc. v. Ameritech Corp.* Here, Justices Abrahamson and Wilcox did not participate; Justices Bradley and Bablitch dissented; Justices Crooks and Prosser supported the “majority” opinion, and Justice Sykes concurred in part and dissented in part in an opinion that is difficult to categorize as either a concurrence or a dissent according to the guidelines that I follow in these circumstances.

³ This total includes *Putnam v. Time Warner Cable of Southeastern Wisconsin*. Here, only Justices Bradley and Prosser supported the “majority” decision in its entirety. Three justices (Crooks, Sykes, and Wilcox) dissented with regard to one part of the decision, and two justices (Abrahamson and Bablitch) directed their dissent at another portion of the decision.

⁴ This total includes *Vincent v. Voight*. Here, only Justices Crooks and Wilcox supported, or at least concurred with, the “majority” decision, while the other five justices dissented with regard to one portion or another of this opinion.