

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3
18/61 (30%)	5/61 (8%)	22/61 (36%)	16/61 (26%)
<i>Sausen v. Town of Black Creek Bd. of Review</i>	<i>State v. Subdiaz-Osorio</i>	<i>State v. Magett</i>	<i>State v. Pinno</i>
<i>Nowell v. City of Wausau</i>	<i>State v. O'Brien</i>	<i>State v. Anderson</i>	<i>State v. Spaeth (In re Commitment of Spaeth)</i>
<i>Appling v. Walker</i>	<i>Wilcox v. Estate of Hines</i>	<i>State ex rel. Greer v. Wiedenhoeft</i>	<i>State v. Hunt</i>
<i>State v. Williams</i>	<i>Betz v. Diamond Jim's Auto Sales (5-1)</i>	<i>State v. Lopez</i>	<i>Brandenburg v. Briarwood Forestry Servs., LLC</i>
<i>State v. Badzinski</i>	<i>State v. Jackson</i>	<i>Attorney's Title Guar. Fund, Inc. v. Town Bank</i>	<i>Milwaukee Branch of NAACP v. Walker</i>
<i>CED Props., LLC v. City of Oshkosh</i>		<i>State v. Robinson</i>	<i>Data Key Partners v. Permira Advisers LLC</i>
<i>Waranka v. Wadena Ins. Co.</i>		<i>State v. Jenkins</i>	<i>Kochanski v. Speedway SuperAmerica, LLC</i>
<i>State ex rel. Kyles v. Pollard</i>		<i>State v. Romero-Georgana</i>	<i>State v. Wantland</i>
<i>Casey v. Smith</i>		<i>Anthony Gagliano & Co. v. Openfirst, LLC</i>	<i>State v. Bokenyi</i>
<i>Belding v. Demoulin</i>		<i>State v. Nellessen</i>	<i>State v. Cummings</i>
<i>Milwaukee County v. Mary F.-R. (In re Mary F.-R.)</i>		<i>State v. Tate</i>	<i>State v. Rocha-Mayo</i>
<i>State v. Bentsdahl (In re Bentsdahl)</i>		<i>State v. Toliver</i>	<i>Legue v. City of Racine</i>
<i>State v. Matasek</i>		<i>Adams v. Northland Equip. Co.</i>	<i>Force v. Am. Family Mut. Ins. Co.</i>
<i>Phillips v. Parmelee (6-0)</i>		<i>League of Women Voters v. Walker</i>	<i>State v. Myrick</i>
<i>Bd. of Regents - UW Sys. v. Decker (6-0)</i>		<i>Partenfelder v. Rohde</i>	<i>Blasing v. Zurich Am. Ins. Co.</i>
<i>State v. Sarfraz (6-0)</i>		<i>Masri v. State Labor & Indus. Review Comm'n</i>	<i>State v. Brown</i>
<i>Jackson v. Wis. County Mut. Ins. Corp. (6-0)</i>		<i>State v. Purtell</i>	
<i>Dow Family, LLC v. PHH Mortg. Corp. (6-0)</i>		<i>County of Grant v. Vogt</i>	
		<i>Madison Teachers, Inc. v. Walker</i>	
		<i>State v. Nelson</i>	
		<i>Kimble v. Land Concepts, Inc. (4-2)</i>	
		<i>Associated Bank N.A. v. Collier (4-2)</i>	

¹ In three cases there were justices who concurred in part and dissented in part. For this table, and those prepared for other years, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence. Accordingly, the votes of Justices Prosser and Bradley in *State v. Cummings* have been classified as dissents, as have the votes of Justices Abrahamson, Bradley, and Prosser in *Brandenburg v. Briarwood Forestry Servs., LLC* and the vote of Justice Bradley in *Anthony Gagliano & Co. v. Openfirst, LLC*.