

TABLE 1

All 42 Cases in which the SPD Presented Oral Arguments, 2009-10 through 2012-13

Case	Filing Date	Vote	Favorable to SPD?
<i>Manitowoc County v. Samuel J.H.</i> (<i>In re Mental Commitment of Samuel J.H.</i>)	July 11, 2013	7-0	No
<i>Outagamie County v. Melanie L.</i> (<i>In re Mental Commitment of Melanie L.</i>)	July 11, 2013	4-3	Yes
<i>Dane County v. Sheila W.</i> (<i>In re Interest of Sheila W.</i>)	July 10, 2013	4-3 (<i>per curiam</i>)	No
<i>State v. Sobczak</i>	June 20, 2013	4-2	No
<i>State v. Beamon</i>	May 29, 2013	4-2	No
<i>State v. Travis</i>	May 2, 2013	5-1	Yes
<i>State v. Taylor</i>	April 23, 2013	5-2	No
<i>State v. Lonkoski</i>	April 9, 2013	7-0	No
<i>State v. Court of Appeals (In re State v. Buchanan)</i>	April 9, 2013	4-3	Yes
<i>State v. Lemoine</i>	January 8, 2013	6-1	No
<i>State v. Frey</i>	July 17, 2012	7-0	No
<i>State v. Stevens</i>	July 13, 2012	6-1	No
<i>State v. Spaeth</i>	July 13, 2012	6-1	Yes
<i>State v. Soto</i>	July 12, 2012	5-2	No
<i>State v. Smith</i>	July 12, 2012	5-2	No
<i>Wisconsin v. Gilbert (In re Commitment of Carl Gilbert)</i>	June 29, 2012	5-2	No
<i>State v. Cain</i>	June 28, 2012	7-0	No
<i>State v. Miller</i>	June 12, 2012	7-0	No
<i>State v. Rowan</i>	June 8, 2012	7-0	No
<i>State v. Tyler T. (In re Interest of Tyler T.)</i>	May 22, 2012	4-2	No
<i>Fond du Lac County v. Helen E.F.</i> (<i>In re Mental Commitment of Helen E.F.</i>)	May 18, 2012	6-0	Yes
<i>State v. Dinkins</i>	March 13, 2012	5-2	Yes
<i>State v. Sutton</i>	March 8, 2012	7-0	Yes
<i>State v. Domke</i>	November 1, 2011	7-0	No
<i>State v. Nielsen (In re Sanctions Imposed in State v. Nielsen)</i>	November 1, 2011	7-0	Yes
<i>State v. Nordberg</i>	July 26, 2011	5-2	No
<i>State v. West</i>	July 26, 2011	5-2	No
<i>State v. Balliette</i>	July 19, 2011	5-2	No
<i>State v. Kandutsch</i>	July 19, 2011	5-2	No
<i>State v. Lamar</i>	June 29, 2011	5-2	No
<i>State v. St. Martin</i>	June 22, 2011	5-2	No
<i>Tammy W-G. v. Jacob T. (In re Termination of Parental Rights to Gwenevere T.)</i>	May 17, 2011	5-2	No
<i>State v. Kleser</i>	July 16, 2010	5-2	No
<i>State v. Robinson</i>	July 15, 2010	5-2	No
<i>State v. Dearborn</i>	July 15, 2010	5-2	No

continued on next page

<i>State v. Littlejohn</i>	July 15, 2010	5-2	No
<i>State v. Harris</i>	July 14, 2010	7-0	No
<i>State v. Carter</i>	July 14, 2010	4-3 ¹	Yes
<i>State v. Jones</i>	July 8, 2010	7-0	No
<i>State v. Cross</i>	July 8, 2010	7-0	No
<i>State v. Smith</i>	March 19, 2010	5-2	No
<i>State v. Carroll</i>	February 3, 2010	5-2	No

¹ Justice Roggensack concurred in part and dissented in part in *State v. Carter*. If one focuses on the question of the amount of Wisconsin sentence credit that she was prepared to grant Carter for the time that he was in Illinois custody, her opinion could be regarded as a concurrence (and the vote here could be changed to 5-2). The majority opinion granted Carter 305 days of credit, and Justice Roggensack argued for 302 days. In contrast, the two dissenting justices (Prosser and Ziegler) contended that Carter deserved no sentence credit for the period of his detention in Illinois.