

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3
51 (61%)	2 (2%)	18 (22%)	12 (14%) ²
<i>Alberte v. Anew Health Care Servs.</i>	<i>Dixson v. Wisconsin Health Org. Ins. Corp.</i>	<i>Jankee v. Clark County</i>	<i>Totsky v. Riteway Bus Serv.</i>
<i>State v. Thomas</i>	<i>State v. McGill</i>	<i>Vogel v. Russo</i>	<i>State v. Hughes</i>
<i>Stelpflug v. Town Bd.</i>		<i>State v. Orta</i>	<i>State v. Ward</i>
<i>State v. Byrge</i>		<i>ABKA Ltd. P'ship v. Bd. of Review</i>	<i>State v. City of Oak Creek</i>
<i>State ex rel. J. H. Findorff & Son, Inc. v. Circuit Court</i>		<i>State v. Griffith</i>	<i>Willow Creek Ranch, L.L.C. v. Town of Shelby</i>
<i>Roth v. City of Glendale</i>		<i>State v. Oakley</i>	<i>State v. Martwick</i>
<i>County of Jefferson v. Renz</i>		<i>Seider v. O'Connell</i>	<i>State v. Davidson</i>
<i>Einhorn v. Culea</i>		<i>State v. Richter</i>	<i>Ellsworth v. Schelbrock</i>
<i>South Milwaukee Sav. Bank v. Barrett</i>		<i>Strasser v. Transtech Mobile Fleet Serv.</i>	<i>State v. Pallone</i>
<i>Antisdell v. City of Oak Creek Police & Fire Comm'n</i>		<i>State v. Stevenson</i>	<i>State v. Huebner</i>
<i>Morden v. Continental AG</i>		<i>State v. Liebnitz</i>	<i>State v. Hammer</i>
<i>State ex rel. Anderson-El v. Cooke</i>		<i>State v. Dagnall</i>	<i>Yahnke v. Carson</i>
<i>German v. Wisconsin DOT</i>		<i>Aicher v. Wisconsin Patients Compensation Fund</i>	
<i>Gritzner v. Michael R.</i>		<i>Eau Claire County v. General Teamsters Union Local No. 662</i>	
<i>Sopha v. Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corp.</i>		<i>Pinter v. American Family Mut. Ins. Co.</i>	
<i>State v. Anthony D.B.</i>		<i>State ex rel. Cramer v. Wisconsin Court of Appeals (In re Prison Litig. Reform Act)</i>	
<i>Wis. Label Corp. v. Northbrook Prop. & Cas. Ins. Co.</i>		<i>Neiman v. American Nat'l Prop. & Cas. Co.</i>	
<i>Dieter v. Chrysler Corp.</i>		<i>State v. Johnson (4-2)</i>	
<i>State v. Magnuson</i>			
<i>Washington v. Washington</i>			
<i>Rumage v. Gullberg</i>			
<i>Strozinsky v. School Dist.</i>			
<i>State v. Kittilstad</i>			
<i>Aurora Med. Group v. Dep't of Workforce Dev.</i>			
<i>Mireles v. Labor & Indus. Review Comm'n</i>			
<i>Blazekovic v. City of Milwaukee</i>			

(continued on following page)

¹ In *State v. Orta*, Justices Abrahamson and Bradley concurred in part and dissented in part. For this table, and those prepared for other years, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence. Accordingly, the votes by Justices Abrahamson and Bradley in *State v. Orta* have been classified as dissents.

² As a result of rounding, the percentages do not add up to 100.

<i>State v. Floyd</i>
<i>State v. Bollig</i>
<i>Brauneis v. State</i>
<i>Thorp v. Town of Lebanon</i>
<i>Czapinski v. St. Francis Hosp., Inc.</i>
<i>Theis v. Midwest Sec. Ins. Co.</i>
<i>Dowhower v. West Bend Mut. Ins. Co.</i>
<i>Waukesha County v. Steven H. (In re Brittany Ann H.)</i>
<i>State v. Sorenson</i>
<i>State v. Jensen</i>
<i>State v. Wingo</i>
<i>Teague v. Bad River Band</i>
<i>City of Madison v. Wisconsin Empl. Rels. Comm'n</i>
<i>Baldewein Co. v. Tri-Clover, Inc.</i>
<i>State v. Williams</i>
<i>State v. Weidner</i>
<i>State v. Margaret H. (In re Darryl T.-H.)</i>
<i>Hanlon v. Town of Milton</i>
<i>Mullenberg v. Kilgust Mechanical, Inc.</i>
<i>State v. Derango (6-0)</i>
<i>HMO-W Inc. v. SSM Health Care Sys. (6-0)</i>
<i>State v. Thiel (In re Thiel) (6-0)</i>
<i>State v. Church (7-0 per curiam)</i>
<i>Mitsubishi Heavy Industries America, Inc. v. Circuit Court for Milwaukee County (7-0 per curiam)</i>
<i>State v. Miller (In re Miller) (6-0 per curiam)</i>