## Decisions by Vote Split<sup>1</sup>

7-0 (or 6-0)	6-1	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3
48 (53%)	2 (2%)	21 (23%)	20 (22%)
State v. Hahn	Oliveira v. City of Milwaukee	State v. Outagamie County Bd. of Adjustment	State v. Williams
Johnson v. Rogers Mem'l Hosp., Inc.	State v. Douglas D.	Green v. Smith & Nephew AHP, Inc.	State v. Eason
Milwaukee Dist. Council 48 v. Milwaukee County		Musa v. Jefferson County Bank	State v. Hajicek
Robinson v. City of W. Allis		Brunson v. Ward	State v. Canon
Smith v. General Cas. Ins. Co.		Baierl v. McTaggart	Heritage Mut. Ins. Co. v. Larsen
State v. Prihoda		State v. Black	State v. Matejka
Barry v. Emplrs. Mut. Cas. Co.		Minn. Fire & Cas. Ins. Co. v. Paper Recycling	Meyer v. Meyer
Gaugert v. Duve		Koffman v. Leichtfuss	Lambrecht v. Kaczmarczyk
State v. Rutzinski		Martindale v. Ripp	State v. Huck (State v. Franklin)
Shirk v. Bowling, Inc.		Urban v. Grasser	Batteries Plus, LLC v. Mohr
Lewis v. Physicians Ins. Co.		Nat'l Operating, L.P. v. Mut. Life Ins. Co.	Taylor v. Greatway Ins. Co.
Connor v. Connor		State v. Hansen	State v. Trawitzki
State ex rel. Mentek v. Schwarz		Danbeck v. Am. Family Mut. Ins. Co.	State v. Johnson
Van Boxtel v. Van Boxtel		Wis. Conf. Bd. of Trustrees v. Culver	State ex rel. Kaminski v. Schwarz
Matthies v. Positive Safety Mfg. Co.		State v. Henderson	State v. Hanson
Crawford v. Care Concepts		State v. Lindell	Kopke v. A. Hartrodt S.R.L.
Salveson v. Douglas County		State v. Kelsey C.R. (In the Interest of Kelsey C.R.)	Vandenberg v. Cont'l Ins. Co.
Neff v. Pierzina		Reid v. Benz	Wis. Prof'l Police Ass'n v. Lightbourn
State v. Piddington		State ex rel. Hensley v. Endicott	State v. Oakley
Waters v. Pertzborn		Nankin v. Shorewood (4-2)	Landis v. Physicians Ins. Co. of Wis., Inc.
State v. Dismuke		Responsible Use of Rural & Agric. Land v. PSC	
State v. Moffett			
Paul v. Skemp			
State v. Perkins			
State v. Peters			
A.S. v. A.S.			
(continued on following page)			

(continued on following page)

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In Wis. Prof'l Police Ass'n v. Lightbourn, Justice Bablitch concurred in part and dissented in part. For this table, and those prepared for other years, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence. Accordingly, Justice Bablitch's vote in Wis. Prof'l Police Ass'n v. Lightbourn has been classified as a dissent.

In Re the Return of Property in State v. Glass Jensen v. Wis. Patients Comp. Fund State v. T.J. Int'l, Inc. R.W. Docks & Slips v. State Nommensen v. Am. Cont'l Ins. Co. State v. Perez Rabideau v. City of Racine State ex rel. Seibert v. Macht Meier v. Champ's Sport Bar & Grill, Inc. Theuer v. Labor & Industry Review Commission County of Dunn v. Goldie H. (In re Goldie H.) Evelyn C.R. v. Tykila S. (in Re Jayton S.) Mackenzie v. Miller Brewing Co. Fuchsgruber v. Custom Accessories, Inc. (6-0) Magyar v. Wis. Health Care Liab. Ins. Plan (6-0) Filppula-McArthur v. Halloin (6-LaRock v. Wisconsin Dep't of Revenue (6-0) Farm Credit Servs. of N. Cent. Wis., ACA v. Wysocki (6-0) Danner v. Auto-Owners Ins. (6-0) State v. Kelley (6-0) Powell v. Cooper (7-0 per curiam)

State v. Schulpius (In re Schulpius) (6-0 per curiam)